ETH zürich

Measurement of four-jet production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

Journal Article

Author(s):

CMS Collaboration; Chatrchyan, Serguei; Bachmair, Felix; Bäni, Lukas; Bianchini, Lorenzo; Bortignon, Pierluigi; Buchmann, Marco A.; Casal, Bruno; Chanon, Nicolas; Deisher, Amanda; Dissertori, Günther; Dittmar, Michael; Donegà, Mauro; Dünser, M.; Eller, Paul; Grab, Christoph; Hits, Dmitry; Lustermann, Werner; Mangano, Boris; Marini, Alessandro C.; Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, Pablo; Meister, Daniel; Mohr, Niklas; Nägeli, Christoph; Nef, Pascal; Nessi-Tedaldi, Francesca; Pandolfi, Francesco; Pape, Luc; Pauss, Felicitas; Peruzzi, Marco; Quittnat, Milena; Ronga, Frédéric J.; Rossini, Marco; Starodumov, Andrey; Takahashi, Maiko; Tauscher, Ludwig; Theofilatos, Konstantinos; Treille, Daniel; Wallny, Rainer; Weber, H.A.; et al.

Publication date:

2014-05

Permanent link:

https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000086114

Rights / license:

Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported

Originally published in:

Physical Review D 89(9), https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.092010

Measurement of four-jet production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV

S. Chatrchyan *et al.**

(CMS Collaboration) (Received 22 December 2013; published 28 May 2014)

Measurements of the differential cross sections for the production of exactly four jets in proton-proton collisions are presented as a function of the transverse momentum p_T and pseudorapidity η , together with the correlations in azimuthal angle and the p_T balance among the jets. The data sample was collected in 2010 at a center-of-mass energy of 7 TeV with the CMS detector at the LHC, with an integrated luminosity of 36 pb⁻¹. The cross section for exactly four jets, with two hard jets of $p_T > 50$ GeV each, together with two jets of $p_T > 20$ GeV each, within $|\eta| < 4.7$ is measured to be $\sigma = 330 \pm 5(\text{stat.}) \pm 45(\text{syst.})$ nb. It is found that fixed-order matrix element calculations including parton showers describe the measured differential cross sections in some regions of phase space only, and that adding contributions from double parton scattering brings the Monte Carlo predictions closer to the data.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.89.092010

PACS numbers: 12.38.Qk, 12.38.Aw, 13.87.Ce

I. INTRODUCTION

The production of jets with large transverse momenta $(p_{\rm T})$ in high-energy proton-proton collisions can be described within the theory of strong interactions, QCD, by the scattering of partons. The partonic matrix element (ME) is convoluted with the density functions of partons inside the protons. The inclusive cross section for high- $p_{\rm T}$ jets has been measured by the ATLAS [1] and Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) [2] Collaborations and is in good agreement with predictions obtained at next-to-leading order (NLO) in perturbative QCD. The ATLAS Collaboration has measured high- $p_{\rm T}$ multijet cross sections [3] and obtained good agreement with NLO calculations [4,5]. However, the production cross section of a forward jet in association with a jet in the central region of the detector is not very well described [6].

In multijet production, correlations between the jets can be studied in detail. The production of four jets at large p_T involves terms of fourth power in the strong coupling, α_S , and correlations between pairs of jets at different p_T scales can be investigated. The hard scattering process produces two or more partons at high p_T , with the initial- and finalstate QCD radiation resulting in additional jets at lower p_T . This partonic process, coming from single parton scattering (SPS), is a crucial test for higher-order QCD calculations, as well as for the description of high- p_T jets within the parton shower (PS) formalism.

Proton-proton collisions at high center-of-mass energy access the region at low proton longitudinal momentum fractions x, carried by the parton, where the parton densities are large and where the probability to have more than one partonic interaction becomes non-negligible. Events where more than one partonic interaction occurs in the same collision, are commonly referred to as "multiparton interactions" (MPI). In this regime, the pair of hard jets and the pair of softer jets can also be produced via double parton scattering (DPS) [7], consisting of two simultaneous hard interactions in the same collision. Double parton scattering has been observed in Refs. [8-11]. The SPS and DPS processes result in different distributions of angular correlations, as discussed in Ref. [12]. A final state arising from SPS tends to have a strongly correlated configuration in azimuthal angle and $p_{\rm T}$ balance between the two jet systems. In contrast, DPS events generally have uncorrelated topologies for jet pairs. At large jet transverse momenta, the contribution from DPS is expected to be small, or at least much smaller than at low $p_{\rm T}$. Therefore it is essential to perform a differential cross section measurement over a large region of phase space, and to compare it with theoretical predictions. Only if the region at large $p_{\rm T}$ is appropriately described, can an extraction of a possible DPS contribution at smaller $p_{\rm T}$ be performed. The aim of this paper is a measurement of the kinematic variables for the production of exactly four jets and distributions sensitive to DPS.

Four-jet production is measured in pp collisions at a center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV using the data sample collected with the CMS detector at the LHC in 2010 for an integrated luminosity of 36 pb⁻¹. The jets are reconstructed with the anti- $k_{\rm T}$ algorithm [13–15], with a distance parameter of 0.5, in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 4.7$. The pseudorapidity is defined as $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$, where θ is the polar angle with respect to the counterclockwise-beam direction. A final state with exactly four jets $pp \rightarrow 4j + X$ is selected with the two leading (highest- $p_{\rm T}$) jets each having $p_{\rm T} > 50$ GeV and two additional jets each with

^{*} Full author list given at the end of the article.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published articles title, journal citation, and DOI.

 $p_{\rm T} > 20$ GeV, where X stands for all jets and particles with $p_{\rm T} < 20$ GeV in the acceptance region.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, the detector is described and the Monte Carlo (MC) simulation is discussed in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, the event selection, correction procedure, and systematic uncertainties are discussed. Section V covers the results and conclusions are presented in Sec. VI.

II. DETECTOR DESCRIPTION

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Charged-particle trajectories are measured using silicon pixel and strip trackers [16] that cover the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.5$. An electromagnetic crystal calorimeter (ECAL) [17] and a brass/ scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL) [18] surround the tracking volume and cover $|\eta| < 3.0$. A forward quartz-fibre Cherenkov hadron calorimeter [19] extends the coverage to $|\eta| = 5.2$. Events are collected by using a two-level trigger system consisting of level-1 and highlevel triggers (HLT) [20].

The CMS experiment uses a right-handed coordinate system, with the origin at the nominal interaction point, the *x* axis pointing to the center of the LHC ring, the *y* axis pointing up (perpendicular to the plane of the LHC ring), and the *z* axis along the counterclockwise-beam direction. The polar angle θ is measured from the positive *z* axis and the azimuthal angle ϕ is measured in the *x*-*y* plane. A more detailed description of the CMS apparatus can be found in Ref. [21].

The particle-flow event reconstruction consists in reconstructing and identifying each single particle with an optimized combination of all subdetector information. The energy of photons is directly obtained from the ECAL measurement, corrected for zero-suppression effects. The energy of electrons is determined from a combination of the track momentum at the main interaction vertex, the corresponding ECAL cluster energy, and the energy sum of all bremsstrahlung photons attached to the track. The energy of muons is obtained from the corresponding track momentum. The energy of charged hadrons is determined from a combination of the track momentum and the corresponding ECAL and HCAL energies, corrected for zero-suppression effects, and calibrated for the nonlinear response of the calorimeters. Finally the energy of neutral hadrons is obtained from the corresponding calibrated ECAL and HCAL energies [22,23].

For each event, hadronic jets are clustered from these reconstructed particles with the infrared and collinear safe anti- $k_{\rm T}$ algorithm [13–15], operated with a distance parameter of 0.5. The jet momentum is determined as the vectorial sum of all particle momenta in the jet, and is found in the simulation to be within 5% to 10% of the true momentum over the whole $p_{\rm T}$ spectrum and detector

acceptance. Jet-energy corrections are derived from the simulation, and are confirmed with *in situ* measurements of the energy balance of dijet and photon+jet events [24]. Additional selection criteria are applied to each event to remove spurious jet-like features originating from isolated noise patterns in certain HCAL regions. The jet-energy resolution amounts typically to 15% at 10 GeV, 8% at 100 GeV, and 4% at 1 TeV, to be compared to about 40%, 12%, and 5% obtained when the calorimeters alone are used for jet clustering.

Jet transverse momenta are corrected [25] by applying an offset correction to take into account the extra energy clustered in jets due to additional proton-proton interactions within the same beam crossing (pileup). This ranged from nearly zero additional collisions during the very early period of LHC data taking in 2010 to an average of about three near the end of the 2010 running period. Finally, the jet momentum resolution is determined from simulation, as a function of the jet p_T and η . Comparing the p_T balance in dijet events between data and simulation, the jet p_T resolution in the simulation is scaled upwards by 10% in the barrel and by 20% in the end caps to match the resolution in the data [26].

III. MONTE CARLO SIMULATION

The measurements are compared to predictions from MC event generators using $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^2)$ ME improved with PS and MPI and to predictions for dijet production at NLO matched to PS. Comparisons are also made to predictions based on higher-order α_S tree-level calculations that are matched with PS.

Simulated event samples for four-jet production are produced with different MC event generators: PYTHIA 6.426 [27], HERWIG++ (version 2.5.0) [28,29] and PYTHIA 8.140 [30]. In PYTHIA, the PS are generated by ordering the parton splittings in $p_{\rm T}$ and the proton momentum fraction x, carried by the parton. The Lund string model [31] is used for hadronization. In contrast, HERWIG++ generates PS in an angular-ordered region of phase space and uses a cluster fragmentation model for hadronization. MPI are simulated in both PYTHIA and HERWIG. The free parameters describing MPI are obtained from tunes [32] to measurements in ppcollisions at the LHC. The PYTHIA 6 generator with the tune Z2* [33] uses the CTEQ6L1 parton distribution function (PDF) set [34] and applies a new model [35] where MPI are interleaved with parton showering. The PYTHIA 8 generator is used with the tune 4C [36] based on underlying event data from the LHC, using the CTEQ6L1 PDF set. It implements a more sophisticated model for MPI with respect to PYTHIA 6. by introducing color reconnection and rescattering between the partons [37]. The HERWIG++ generator tuned to LHC data (tune LHC-UE-EE-3 [29,38]) with the MRST2008LO** PDF set [39] is also used for comparison.

The data are also compared to perturbative NLO dijet QCD predictions obtained with the POWHEG package [40,41]. POWHEG predictions use the CT10 PDF set [42] and are

matched with PYTHIA 6 PS including the MPI simulation. With the inclusion of parton showers, the NLO dijet calculation can be applied for symmetric $p_{\rm T}$ selections used in this analysis. The description of inclusive jet cross sections [2] and underlying event measurements [43,44] has been verified for different PYTHIA tunes interfaced with the POWHEG BOX [45,46]. A good representation of these data is obtained when the underlying event is provided by PYTHIA 6 tune Z2*. The agreement improves when the contribution of the parton shower in the tune Z2* is decreased [by changing the PYTHIA parameters PARP(67) and PARP(71) to 1.0, from the default value of 4.0], since a hard emission is already included the POWHEG matrix element. These parameters regulate the upper scale of the initial- and final-state radiations, respectively. This modified tune is chosen for the final comparison and it is referred to as Z2' in the following. The MADGRAPH 5 event generator [47,48] with CTEQ6L1 is also used for the comparison. It produces parton-level events with up to four partons in the final state on the basis of leading-order (LO) ME calculations. The ME/PS matching scale is taken to be 10 GeV, within the MLM scheme [49,50]. The PS for MADGRAPH is provided by the PYTHIA 6 tune Z2*, including the contribution of MPI. The goodness of this tune has been verified by comparison to the inclusive jet cross sections and underlying event measurements. A good agreement for the Z2* tune is obtained. Predictions from the SHERPA 1.4.0 event generator [51] with CTEQ6L1 are also considered. This event generator produces tree-level $2 \rightarrow$ 2 + n ME matched to PS (in this analysis n = 0 and 1 is used). The MPI are based on the model used in the PYTHIA 6 underlying event, but with different parameter values [52]. The predictions for the SHERPA generator with these parameters give a good description of inclusive jet cross section measurements but they are not able to reproduce the underlying event data, with discrepancies up to 20%. The PYTHIA, HERWIG and SHERPA predictions are generated by using a transverse momentum of the outgoing partons $\hat{p}_{T} > 45$ GeV. For the MADGRAPH predictions, the $p_{\rm T}$ sum of the four partons, $H_{\rm T}$, is required to be $H_{\rm T} > 100$ GeV, while for the hard process generated with POWHEG, $\hat{p}_{T} > 15$ GeV.

The differences between the Monte Carlo predictions of PYTHIA, HERWIG, SHERPA and MADGRAPH lie in the hard subprocess, as well as in the parton showering and MPI description. PYTHIA and HERWIG use a $2 \rightarrow 2 \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ ME, SHERPA uses up to $2 \rightarrow 3$ and MADGRAPH uses up to $2 \rightarrow 4$ MEs. POWHEG is a NLO prediction for dijets, using $2 \rightarrow 2$ and $2 \rightarrow 3$ MEs, but the selection of four-jet final states requires at least one jet originating from the parton shower. In MADGRAPH, the four jets can originate from the matrix element, while in all the other simulations at least one jet must come from the parton shower or MPI. Since exactly four jets are required in the selection (and a veto is applied on additional jets), only calculations that simulate jets beyond the four selected jets can be used for comparison with our data.

The detector response is simulated in detail by using the GEANT4 package [53]. All simulated samples are processed and reconstructed in the same manner as done for collision data.

IV. EVENT SELECTION AND ANALYSIS

The differential cross sections are measured for the production of exactly four jets $pp \rightarrow 4j + X$ with the two leading (highest- p_T) jets each having $p_T > 50$ GeV and two additional jets each with $p_T > 20$ GeV, where X stands for all jets and particles with $p_T < 20$ GeV in the acceptance region. The cross section as a function of transverse momentum and pseudorapidity of the four jets is measured. In addition, the normalized differential cross sections are measured as a function of correlation variables defined from the hard and soft pair of jets as follows:

(i) the azimuthal angular differences between the jets belonging to the soft pair

$$\Delta\phi_{\text{soft}} = |\phi(\mathbf{j}^{\text{soft}_1}) - \phi(\mathbf{j}^{\text{soft}_2})|; \qquad (1)$$

(ii) the balance in transverse momentum of the two soft jets

$$\Delta_{\text{soft}}^{\text{rel}} p_{\text{T}} = \frac{|\vec{p}_{\text{T}}(\mathbf{j}^{\text{soft}_{1}}) + \vec{p}_{\text{T}}(\mathbf{j}^{\text{soft}_{2}})|}{|\vec{p}_{\text{T}}(\mathbf{j}^{\text{soft}_{1}})| + |\vec{p}_{\text{T}}(\mathbf{j}^{\text{soft}_{2}})|}; \qquad (2)$$

(iii) the azimuthal angle ΔS between the two dijet pairs, defined as

$$\Delta \mathbf{S} = \arccos\left(\frac{\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{j}^{\mathrm{hard}_{1}}, \mathbf{j}^{\mathrm{hard}_{2}}) \cdot \vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{j}^{\mathrm{soft}_{1}}, \mathbf{j}^{\mathrm{soft}_{2}})}{|\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{j}^{\mathrm{hard}_{1}}, \mathbf{j}^{\mathrm{hard}_{2}})| \cdot |\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{j}^{\mathrm{soft}_{1}}, \mathbf{j}^{\mathrm{soft}_{2}})|}\right),\tag{3}$$

where $j^{soft_1}(j^{soft_2})$ and $j^{hard_1}(j^{hard_2})$ stand for the leading (subleading) soft and hard jet pairs, respectively. The systematic uncertainties for the correlation observables are smaller than those for the cross section measurements.

The data, recorded with the CMS detector in 2010 at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, correspond to an integrated luminosity of approximately 36 pb⁻¹ with low-pileup conditions. The mean value of overlapping pp interactions ranges between 1.5 and 3. The MC samples include simulated pileup interactions with a distribution matching that in data. For this study, two HLT trigger sets are analyzed: a trigger with jet threshold of 30 GeV is used for leading jets with $50 < p_T < 140$ GeV, while for jets with $p_T > 140$ GeV, a trigger with threshold of 50 GeV is applied. In the region of transverse momenta between 50 and 80 GeV, where the trigger is not fully efficient, a p_T -and η -dependent trigger efficiency varies between 91% and 96%.

S. CHATRCHYAN et al.

TABLE I. Total systematic uncertainties affecting the differential cross sections for $p_{\rm T}$, and η , and the normalized differential cross sections for $\Delta \phi_{\rm soft}$, $\Delta_{\rm soft}^{\rm rel} p_{\rm T}$, and ΔS . In the last column, the total uncertainty for each observable is listed. The 4% uncertainty from the luminosity measurement is included in the total uncertainty. This is obtained by summing the individual uncertainties in quadrature.

Measured observable	Model	Jet energy scale	Jet energy resolution	Total
Hard-jet $p_{\rm T}$	2%	13%	1%	15%
Soft-jet $p_{\rm T}$	3%	13%	1%	15%
Jet $ \eta \leq 3$	2%	13%	1%	15%
Jet $ \eta > 3$	10%	27%	5%	30%
$\Delta \phi_{\rm soft}$	3%	3%	2%	5%
$\Delta_{\text{soft}}^{\text{rel}} p_{\text{T}}$	3%	3%	2%	5%
ΔS	4%	3%	3%	5%

Events with at least one good primary vertex and exactly four jets in the region $|\eta| < 4.7$ are selected: two of them with $p_T > 50$ GeV and two with $p_T > 20$ GeV. A primary vertex is defined as the vertex to which the charged particle with the largest p_T is associated. The two jets with highest p_T are labelled as "hard-jet pair," while the other two jets form the "soft-jet pair."

The kinematic distributions of the selected jets are in agreement with MC predictions and are described to a 20% accuracy by PYTHIA 6 and HERWIG++ at detector level, except in the forward region of the detector. The pseudorapidity distribution is reasonably described by the simulation in the central region of the detector, while differences (20–80%) are observed between data and simulation for $|\eta| > 3$ for the leading and subleading jets. However, sizable jet energy-scale uncertainties up to 60% are associated with those jets in the forward region [6]. In addition, the predicted cross sections for $|\eta| > 3$ are different by up to 30% depending on whether the PYTHIA or HERWIG generator is used. The PDF uncertainties and effects discussed in Ref. [54] might also be relevant for jets in the forward region. The difference between SHERPA and MADGRAPH predictions is similar in magnitude. Discrepancies of the same order have also been observed at detector level for inclusive and dijet samples with $p_{\rm T} > 50$ GeV. The $p_{\rm T}$ distributions are reproduced by PYTHIA 6 and HERWIG++ at detector level for all the selected jets. The differences with respect to the observed measurements are less than 20% in the whole selected $p_{\rm T}$ range.

The $p_{\rm T}$ and η distributions, and the correlation observables are corrected for selection efficiencies and detector



FIG. 1 (color online). Differential cross sections as a function of the jet transverse momenta p_T (left) and pseudorapidity η (right) compared to predictions of POWHEG, MADGRAPH, SHERPA, and PYTHIA 8. Scale factors of 10⁶, 10⁴ and 10² are applied to the measurement of the leading, subleading and third jet, respectively. The yellow band represents the total uncertainty, including the statistical and systematic components added in quadrature.

MEASUREMENT OF FOUR-JET PRODUCTION IN PROTON- ...

effects. The data are corrected to stable-particle level $(c\tau > 10 \text{ mm})$ by applying an iterative unfolding [55] as implemented in ROOUNFOLD [56]. In addition, a bin-to-bin correction has been performed and found to be in agreement with the iterative unfolding. The response matrix is obtained with PYTHIA 6. A closure test shows that stable results, within 5% with respect to the true distributions, are obtained when HERWIG++ is unfolded with the PYTHIA 6 response matrix. The final correction is performed by taking the average of the unfolded results obtained with PYTHIA 6 and HERWIG++. The deviation from the average value is taken as a systematic uncertainty due to the model dependence, and is applied to the unfolded result. The unfolding to stable-particle level includes corrections for pileup effects.

Various systematic effects are investigated and the corresponding uncertainty is calculated for each of the distributions. The total uncertainties are obtained by summing in quadrature the individual contributions.

The following systematic uncertainties are considered:

- (i) *Model dependence*: The unfolded cross sections obtained with the two different MC generators PYTHIA 6 and HERWIG++ are averaged and the difference of the unfolded results is used as a systematic uncertainty. The resulting uncertainty ranges from 3 to 5% for the absolute cross sections and from 3 to 4% for the normalized cross sections. For jets in the region $|\eta| > 3$, this uncertainty increases up to 10% for the absolute cross sections. This reflects the difference in the response matrix obtained from the two generators.
- (ii) Jet energy scale (JES): The momentum of the jets is varied within the uncertainty associated to the reconstructed $p_{\rm T}$. This leads to an uncertainty of 15–18% in the absolute cross sections, which is the dominant contribution. For jets in the forward region of the detector, at $|\eta| > 3$, this uncertainty increases to 25–30%. For the normalized cross sections, the JES uncertainty is about 3%, i.e. of the same size as the other contributions, and changes the shape of the distributions.
- (iii) Jet energy resolution (JER): The JER differs between data and simulation by 6–19% depending on the pseudorapidity range, which introduces a systematic uncertainty of about 1–4% for both cross section measurements and normalized cross sections, increasing up to 5% for jets at $|\eta| > 3$.
- (iv) *Pileup*: An uncertainty due to pileup modeling in the simulation is evaluated and found to be negligible (< 0.1%) for both cross section measurements and normalized cross sections.
- (v) Luminosity: The systematic uncertainty on the luminosity for 2010 data adds an additional uncertainty of 4% [57] to the cross section.

A summary of the systematic uncertainties is given in Table I. The systematic uncertainties are added in quadrature.

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 89, 092010 (2014) |n| < 4.7 SHERPA POWHEG+P6 Z2' MADGRAPH+P6 Z2' 1st, 2nd jet: p_T > 50 GeV PYTHIA8 4C 3rd, 4th jet: HERWIG++ UE-EE-3 Total Uncertainty p₇ > 20 GeV CMS, $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, L = 36 pb⁻¹, pp \rightarrow 4j+X Leading jet 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 1 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 2 Subleading jet 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 2 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 Third jet 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.2 Fourth je 0.6 0.4 0.2 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 450 500 Jet p_T (GeV) |n| < 4.7SHERPA POWHEG+P6 Z2' MADGRAPH+P6 Z2* 1st. 2nd iet: p_ > 50 GeV PYTHIA8 4C 3rd 4th jet HERWIG++ UE-EE-3 Total Uncertainty p_T > 20 GeV CMS, $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, L = 36 pb⁻¹, pp \rightarrow 4j+X Leading jet

MC/Data

MC/Data

MC/Data

AC/Data



FIG. 2 (color online). Ratios of predictions of POWHEG, MAD-GRAPH, SHERPA, PYTHIA 8 and HERWIG++ to data as a function of the jet transverse momenta $p_{\rm T}$ (left) and pseudorapidity η (right) for each specific jet. The yellow band represents the total uncertainty, including the statistical and systematic components added in quadrature.

V. RESULTS

The cross sections for the production of exactly four jets for $|\eta| < 4.7$ and $p_T > 50(20)$ GeV for the hard (soft) jet pairs are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

The measured value of the cross section for the exactly four-jet final state is 330 ± 5 (stat.) ± 45 (syst.) nb. This value is compared with various theoretical predictions in Table II. While PYTHIA 8, tune 4C, gives a value for the cross section higher than that measured, HERWIG++ is in good agreement with it. The MADGRAPH generator, interfaced with PYTHIA 6, tune Z2*, predicts a lower value, while SHERPA is in good agreement with the measured cross section. It has been verified at stable-particle level with the distributions investigated here that the differences between the predictions obtained with MADGRAPH and SHERPA are due to the different contributions coming from MPI, while the predictions agree with each other if MPI are switched off. The NLO dijet prediction of POWHEG, interfaced with PYTHIA 6, tune Z2', including MPI, is compatible with the measurement. The scales of parton distribution functions for the theory predictions have not been varied, since this would require completely new tunes. However, an estimate of factorization and renormalization scale variations based on tree-level calculations without parton showers would overestimate the uncertainty and has thus not been pursued.

The cross sections as a function of $p_{\rm T}$ and η of each of the four jets are presented in Fig. 1. The cross sections fall rapidly with increasing $p_{\rm T}$ for all the jets in the final state. For the highest- $p_{\rm T}$ jets, the cross section decreases by 2 orders of magnitude for $p_{\rm T}$ between 50 and 200 GeV. For the softer jets, the cross section decreases over 5 orders of magnitude for the same $p_{\rm T}$ range. The shape of the cross section as a function of η (Fig. 1, right) is different for the hard and soft jets. Specifically, the cross section for hard jets drops very rapidly for $|\eta| \sim 4$. Conversely, the distributions of the soft jets are flatter, with the cross section dropping by only about a factor of 10 between $|\eta| \sim 0$ and the forward region $(|\eta| \sim 4.7)$.

The measured cross sections are also compared to predictions. Ratios between the predictions and the observed measurements are presented in Fig. 2. All predictions, except

HERWIG++, are in agreement with the measurement for the leading and subleading jets at large transverse momenta $p_{\rm T} \gtrsim 300$ GeV (Fig. 2, top). However, differences appear at smaller $p_{\rm T}$: POWHEG and SHERPA are in agreement with the measurement for the leading and subleading jets, while PYTHIA 8 and MADGRAPH deviate significantly from the data. The soft jets are not very well described: POWHEG and PYTHIA 8 are significantly above the measurement, while the SHERPA and MADGRAPH predictions are outside the systematic uncertainties for some bins. HERWIG++ is similar in shape to PYTHIA 8 but has a different cross section (Table II), which leads to a better agreement at small $p_{\rm T}$ and a worse description at large $p_{\rm T}$.

The differential cross sections as a function of η are described reasonably well by SHERPA and HERWIG++. The distribution of the leading and subleading jets are described by SHERPA, HERWIG++ and MADGRAPH within the systematic uncertainties, taking into account the differences in the total cross section (Table II), while POWHEG and PYTHIA 8 tend to be below the measurement at large η . The distributions of the soft jets are described only by SHERPA and HERWIG++ for both absolute normalization and shape, while all other predictions are significantly off for $|\eta| > 3$.

In summary, the description of the differential cross section as a function of $p_{\rm T}$ and η for $pp \rightarrow 4j + X$ in $|\eta| < 4.7$ is not trivial. While the description of the cross section at large transverse momenta is reasonable, significant differences arise at smaller $p_{\rm T}$ values, especially for the subleading and soft jets.

The correlation between hard and soft jet pairs can provide additional information on the production process and help to disentangle the contributions of SPS and DPS diagrams. The normalized differential cross section is measured as a function of the correlation observables, defined in Sec. IV. The normalized differential cross section as a function of $\Delta\phi_{\text{soft}}$ is shown in Fig. 3 (top). The distribution has a maximum at $\Delta\phi \sim \pi$ and falls by less than an order of magnitude towards very small $\Delta\phi$. At small $\Delta\phi$ the jets are uncorrelated. A local maximum is visible at values around $\Delta\phi \sim 0.5$ –0.8 because the anti- k_{T} jet algorithm merges jets originating from collinear parton emissions with an angular separation less than the distance parameter of 0.5.

TABLE II. Cross sections for MC predictions and measured data for $pp \rightarrow 4j + X$: the jets are selected within $|\eta| < 4.7$, and with $p_T > 50$ GeV for the two leading jets and $p_T > 20$ GeV for the other jets.

Sample	PDF	Cross section (nb)
PYTHIA 8, tune 4C [36]	CTEQ6L1 [34]	423
HERWIG++, tune UE-EE-3 [29,38]	MRST2008LO** [39]	343
MADGRAPH + PYTHIA 6, tune Z2* [33]	CTEQ6L1 [34]	234
SHERPA tune [51]	CTEQ6L1 [34]	293
POWHEG + PYTHIA 6, tune Z2'	CT10 [42]	378
Data	—	$330 \pm 5(\text{stat.}) \pm 45(\text{syst.})$

MEASUREMENT OF FOUR-JET PRODUCTION IN PROTON- ...

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 89, 092010 (2014)



FIG. 3 (color online). Normalized differential cross sections as a function of the difference in azimuthal angle $\Delta \phi_{\text{soft}}$ (left), $\Delta_{\text{soft}}^{\text{rel}} p_{\text{T}}$ (middle), and ΔS (right) compared to the predictions of POWHEG, MADGRAPH, SHERPA, PYTHIA 8 and HERWIG++. A comparison with the POWHEG predictions interfaced with the parton shower PYTHIA 6 tune Z2' without MPI is also shown. The lower panel shows the ratios of the predictions to the data. The yellow band represents the total uncertainty, including the statistical and systematic components added in quadrature. Systematic uncertainties in the normalized cross sections are smaller than the ones in the absolute cross sections, since they are not affected by the migration effects from outside the selected phase space.

In Fig. 3 (center), the balance in transverse momentum between the soft jets, $\Delta_{\text{soft}}^{\text{rel}} p_{\text{T}}$, is shown. It covers an order of magnitude and has its largest value around unity, indicating that the soft jets are predominantly not balanced in p_{T} . This would be expected if they come from radiation of the initial or final state of the hard pair of jets.

The cross section as a function of the azimuthal angle between the planes of the two dijet systems, ΔS , is shown in Fig. 3 (right). The distribution falls over almost two orders of magnitude over the entire phase space. At low ΔS values, the dijet systems are not correlated.

The normalized differential cross section as a function of $\Delta \phi_{\text{soft}}$ is well described by all predictions, but shows very little sensitivity to contributions from DPS, as illustrated by the POWHEG prediction without MPI. The normalized differential cross section as a function of $\Delta_{\text{soft}}^{\text{rel}} p_{\text{T}}$ is reasonably described by all predictions for $\Delta_{\text{soft}}^{\text{rel}} p_{\text{T}} \gtrsim 0.4$ but significant differences show up at smaller values. The prediction of POWHEG without MPI shows clearly the need of additional contributions in this region. The normalized differential cross section as a function of ΔS is not well described by any of the predictions. In the range $\Delta S < 2.5$, SHERPA is above the data while all other predictions are significantly below the measurement. The prediction from POWHEG without MPI is several standard deviations away from the measurement at small ΔS .

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Measurements of observables for the production of exactly four jets have been performed based on data collected with the CMS experiment in 2010 with an integrated luminosity of 36 pb⁻¹. The cross section for a final state with a pair of hard jets with $p_T > 50$ GeV and another pair with $p_T > 20$ GeV within $|\eta| < 4.7$ is measured to be $\sigma(pp \rightarrow 4j + X) = 330 \pm 5 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 45 \text{ (syst.)}$ nb. The differential cross sections as a function of p_T and η of each of the four jets together with the normalized differential cross sections, as a function of correlation variables $\Delta \phi_{\text{soft}} \Delta_{\text{soft}}^{\text{rel}} p_T$, and ΔS , are compared to several theoretical predictions.

The models considered are able to describe the differential cross sections only in some regions of the phase space. Although the predictions of the differential cross sections at large transverse momenta are reasonable, significant differences arise at smaller $p_{\rm T}$ especially for the subleading and soft jets.

The comparison of the normalized differential cross sections as a function of $\Delta \phi_{\text{soft}}$, $\Delta_{\text{soft}}^{\text{rel}} p_{\text{T}}$, and ΔS for $pp \rightarrow 4j + X$, with two hard jets of $p_{\text{T}} > 50$ GeV each, together with two jets of $p_{\text{T}} > 20$ GeV each, within $|\eta| < 4.7$, shows that the present calculations based on $2 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 3$ and $2 \rightarrow 4$ matrix elements matched with

S. CHATRCHYAN et al.

parton showers and including a simulation of MPI agree within uncertainties only in some regions of the phase space. The contributions from SPS can be improved by higher-order calculations. The predictions including MPI need to be validated with underlying event measurements before a direct extraction of the DPS contribution can be performed. In particular, the Δ S distribution leaves room for additional contributions from SPS at larger values of Δ S. However, the measurements of $\Delta_{\text{soft}}^{\text{rel}} p_{\text{T}}$, and Δ S may be taken as an indication for the need of DPS in the investigated models.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWF and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, SF0690030s09 and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/ IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); NRF and WCU (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss (Switzerland); NSC Funding Agencies (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA). Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the Compagnia di San Paolo (Torino); the HOMING PLUS programme of Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced by EU, Regional Development Fund; and the Thalis and Aristeia programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF.

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 86, 014022 (2012).
- [2] CMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 87, 112002 (2013).
- [3] ATLAS Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1763 (2011).
- [4] S. Badger, B. Biedermann, P. Uwer, and V. Yundin, Phys. Rev. D 89, 034019 (2014).
- [5] Z. Bern, G. Diana, L. J. Dixon, F. F. Cordero, S. Hoeche, D. A. Kosower, H. Ita, D. Maitre, and K. Ozeren, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 042001 (2012).
- [6] S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2012) 036.
- [7] T. Sjöstrand and M. van Zijl, Phys. Rev. D 36, 2019 (1987).
- [8] T. Akesson *et al.* (Axial Field Spectrometer Collaboration), Z. Phys. C 34, 163 (1987).
- [9] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 584 (1997).
- [10] F. Abe *et al.* (CDF Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 56, 3811 (1997).
- [11] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 81, 052012 (2010).
- [12] E. L. Berger, C. B. Jackson, and G. Shaughnessy, Phys. Rev. D 81, 014014 (2010).

- [13] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, Phys. Lett. B 641, 57 (2006).
- [14] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2008) 063.
- [15] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, Eur. Phys. J. C 72, 1896 (2012).
- [16] CMS Collaboration, The CMS tracker system project: Technical Design Report Nos. CMS TDR 5, CERN-LHCC-98-006, 1997.
- [17] CMS Collaboration, JINST 5, T03010 (2010).
- [18] CMS Collaboration, JINST 5, T03012 (2010).
- [19] S. Abdullin et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 53, 139 (2008).
- [20] CMS Collaboration, The TriDAS project: Technical Design Report, Vol. 1: The Trigger Systems, CMS TDR 6-1, CERN-LHCC-2000-038, 2000.
- [21] CMS Collaboration, JINST 3, S08004 (2008).
- [22] CMS Collaboration, Particle–Flow Event Reconstruction in CMS and Performance for Jets, Taus, and E^{miss}_T, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001, 2009, http://cds.cern.ch/record/1194487.
- [23] CMS Collaboration, Commissioning of the Particle-flow Event Reconstruction with the first LHC collisions recorded

in the CMS detector, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-10-001, 2010, http://cds.cern.ch/record/ 1247373.

- [24] CMS Collaboration, Jet Energy Corrections Determination at 7 TeV, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-JME-10-010, 2010, http://cds.cern.ch/record/1308178.
- [25] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, Phys. Lett. B 659, 119 (2008).
- [26] CMS Collaboration, JINST 6, P11002 (2011).
- [27] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2006) 026.
- [28] M. Bähr, S. Gieseke, M. A. Gigg, D. Grellscheid, K. Hamilton, O. Latunde-Dada, S. Plätzer, P. Richardson, M. H. Seymour, A. Sherstnev, and B. R. Webber, Eur. Phys. J. C 58, 639 (2008).
- [29] S. Gieseke, D. Grellscheid, K. Hamilton, A. Papaefstathiou, S. Plätzer, P. Richardson, C. Z. Röhr, P. Ruzicka, A. Siódmok, L. Suter, and D. Winn, arXiv:1102.1672.
- [30] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P.Z. Skands, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178, 852 (2008).
- [31] B. Andersson, *The Lund model* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1998).
- [32] A. Buckley, H. Hoeth, H. Lacker, H. Schulz, and J. E. von Seggern, Eur. Phys. J. C 65, 331 (2010).
- [33] CMS Collaboration, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2013) 072.
- [34] Pumplin, D. R. Stump, J. Huston, H.-L. Lai, P. Nadolsky, and W.-K. Tung, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2002) 012.
- [35] P.Z. Skands and D. Wicke, Eur. Phys. J. C 52, 133 (2007).
- [36] R. Corke and T. Sjöstrand, J. High Energy Phys. 03 (2011) 032.
- [37] R. Corke and T. Sjöstrand, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2010) 035.
- [38] S. Gieseke, C. Rohr, and A. Siodmok, Eur. Phys. J. C 72, 2225 (2012).
- [39] A. Sherstnev and R. S. Thorne, Eur. Phys. J. C 55, 553 (2008).

- [40] P. Nason, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2004) 040.
- [41] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2007) 070.
- [42] H.-L. Lai, M. Guzzi, J. Huston, Z. Li, P. M. Nadolsky, J. Pumplin, and C.-P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 82, 074024 (2010).
- [43] CMS Collaboration, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2011) 109.
- [44] ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 83, 112001 (2011).
- [45] C. Oleari, Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. **205–206**, 36 (2010).
- [46] S. Alioli, K. Hamilton, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, J. High Energy Phys. 04 (2011) 081.
- [47] J. Alwall, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, O. Mattelaer, and T. Stelzer, J. High Energy Phys. 06 (2011) 128.
- [48] F. Maltoni and T. Stelzer, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2003) 027.
- [49] M. Mangano, M. Moretti, and F. Piccinini, J. High Energy Phys. 01 (2007) 013.
- [50] J. Alwall et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 53, 473 (2008).
- [51] T. Gleisberg, S. Hoeche, F. Krauss, M. Schonherr, S. Schumann, F. Siegert, and J. Winter, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2009) 007.
- [52] S. Alekhin et al., arXiv:hep-ph/0601012.
- [53] S. Agostinelli (GEANT4 Collaboration), Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 506, 250 (2003).
- [54] S. Dooling, P. Gunnellini, F. Hautmann, and H. Jung, Phys. Rev. D 87, 094009 (2013).
- [55] G. D'Agostini, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 362, 487 (1995).
- [56] T. Adye, in Proceedings of the PHYSTAT 2011 Workshop, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 2011, edited by H. B. Prosper and L. Lyons (CERN, Geneva, 2011), p. 313.
- [57] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of CMS Luminosity, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-EWK-10-004, 2010, http://cds.cern.ch/record/1279145/.

S. Chatrchyan,¹ V. Khachatryan,¹ A. M. Sirunyan,¹ A. Tumasyan,¹ W. Adam,² T. Bergauer,² M. Dragicevic,² J. Erö,² C. Fabjan,^{2,b} M. Friedl,² R. Frühwirth,^{2,b} V. M. Ghete,² C. Hartl,² N. Hörmann,² J. Hrubec,² M. Jeitler,^{2,b} W. Kiesenhofer,² V. Knünz,² M. Krammer,^{2,b} I. Krätschmer,² D. Liko,² I. Mikulec,² D. Rabady,^{2,c} B. Rahbaran,² H. Rohringer,² R. Schöfbeck,² J. Strauss,² A. Taurok,² W. Treberer-Treberspurg,² W. Waltenberger,² C.-E. Wulz,^{2,b} V. Mossolov,³ N. Shumeiko,³ J. Suarez Gonzalez,³ S. Alderweireldt,⁴ M. Bansal,⁴ S. Bansal,⁴ T. Cornelis,⁴ E. A. De Wolf,⁴ X. Janssen,⁴ A. Knutsson,⁴ S. Luyckx,⁴ L. Mucibello,⁴ S. Ochesanu,⁴ B. Roland,⁴ R. Rougny,⁴ H. Van Haevermaet,⁴ P. Van Mechelen,⁴ N. Van Remortel,⁴ A. Van Spilbeeck,⁴ F. Blekman,⁵ S. Blyweert,⁵ J. D'Hondt,⁵ N. Heracleous,⁵ A. Kalogeropoulos,⁵ J. Keaveney,⁵ T. J. Kim,⁵ S. Lowette,⁵ M. Maes,⁵ A. Olbrechts,⁵ D. Strom,⁵ S. Tavernier,⁵ W. Van Doninck,⁵ P. Van Mulders,⁵ G. P. Van Onsem,⁵ I. Villella,⁵ C. Caillol,⁶ B. Clerbaux,⁶ G. De Lentdecker,⁶ L. Favart,⁶ A. P. R. Gay,⁶ A. Léonard,⁶ P. E. Marage,⁶ A. Mohammadi,⁶ L. Perniè,⁶ T. Reis,⁶ T. Seva,⁶ L. Thomas,⁶ C. Vander Velde,⁶ P. Vanlaer,⁶ J. Wang,⁶ V. Adler,⁷ K. Beernaert,⁷ L. Benucci,⁷ A. Cimmino,⁷ S. Costantini,⁷ S. Dildick,⁷ G. Garcia,⁷ B. Klein,⁷ J. Lellouch,⁷ J. Mccartin,⁷ A. A. Ocampo Rios,⁷ D. Ryckbosch,⁷ S. Salva Diblen,⁷ M. Sigamani,⁷ N. Strobbe,⁷ F. Thyssen,⁷ M. Tytgat,⁷ S. Walsh,⁷ E. Yazgan,⁷ N. Zaganidis,⁷ S. Basegmez,⁸ C. Beluffi,^{8,d} G. Bruno,⁸ R. Castello,⁸ A. Caudron,⁸ L. Ceard,⁸ G. G. Da Silveira,⁸ C. Delaere,⁸ T. du Pree,⁸ D. Favart,⁸ L. Forthomme,⁸ A. Giammanco,^{8,e} J. Hollar,⁸ P. Jez,⁸ M. Komm,⁸ V. Lemaitre,⁸ J. Liao,⁸ O. Militaru,⁸ C. Nuttens,⁸ D. Pagano,⁸ A. Pin,⁸ K. Piotrzkowski,⁸ A. Popov,^{8,f} L. Quertenmont,⁸ M. Selvaggi,⁸ M. Vidal Marono,⁸ J. M. Vizan Garcia,⁸ N. Beliy,⁹ T. Caebergs,⁹ E. Daubie,⁹ G. H. Hammad,⁹ G. A. Alves,¹⁰ M. Correa Martins Junior,¹⁰ T. Martins,¹⁰ M. E. Pol,¹⁰ M. H. G. Souza,¹⁰ W. L. Aldá Júnior,¹¹ W. Carvalho,¹¹ J. Chinellato,^{11,g} A. Custódio,¹¹ E. M. Da Costa,¹¹ D. De Jesus Damiao,¹¹ C. De Oliveira Martins,¹¹ S. Fonseca De Souza,¹¹ H. Malbouisson,¹¹ M. Malek,¹¹ D. Matos Figueiredo,¹¹ L. Mundim,¹¹

H. Nogima,¹¹ W. L. Prado Da Silva,¹¹ J. Santaolalla,¹¹ A. Santoro,¹¹ A. Sznajder,¹¹ E. J. Tonelli Manganote,^{11,g} A. Vilela Pereira,¹¹ C. A. Bernardes,^{12b} F. A. Dias,^{12a,h} T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei,^{12a} E. M. Gregores,^{12b} C. Lagana,^{12a} P. G. Mercadante,^{12b} S. F. Novaes,^{12a} Sandra S. Padula,^{12a} V. Genchev,^{13,c} P. Iaydjiev,^{13,c} A. Marinov,¹³ S. Piperov,¹³ M. Rodozov,¹³ G. Sultanov,¹³ M. Vutova,¹³ A. Dimitrov,¹⁴ I. Glushkov,¹⁴ R. Hadjiiska,¹⁴ V. Kozhuharov,¹⁴ L. Litov,¹⁴ B. Pavlov,¹⁴ P. Petkov,¹⁴ J. G. Bian,¹⁵ G. M. Chen,¹⁵ H. S. Chen,¹⁵ M. Chen,¹⁵ R. Du,¹⁵ C. H. Jiang,¹⁵ D. Liang,¹⁵ S. Liang,¹⁵ X. Meng,¹⁵ R. Plestina,^{15,i} J. Tao,¹⁵ X. Wang,¹⁵ Z. Wang,¹⁵ C. Asawatangtrakuldee,¹⁶ Y. Ban,¹⁶ Y. Guo,¹⁶ Q. Li,¹⁶ W. Li,¹⁶ S. Liu,¹⁶ Y. Mao,¹⁶ S. J. Qian,¹⁶ D. Wang,¹⁶ L. Zhang,¹⁶ W. Zou,¹⁶ C. Avila,¹⁷ C. A. Carrillo Montoya,¹⁷ L. F. Chaparro Sierra,¹⁷ C. Florez,¹⁷ J. P. Gomez,¹⁷ B. Gomez Moreno,¹⁷ J. C. Sanabria,¹⁷ N. Godinovic,¹⁸ D. Lelas,¹⁸ D. Polic,¹⁸ I. Puljak,¹⁸ Z. Antunovic,¹⁹ M. Kovac,¹⁹ V. Brigljevic,²⁰ K. Kadija,²⁰ J. Luetic,²⁰ D. Mekterovic,²⁰ S. Morovic,²⁰ L. Tikvica,²⁰ A. Attikis,²¹ G. Mavromanolakis,²¹ J. Mousa,²¹ C. Nicolaou,²¹ F. Ptochos,²¹ P. A. Razis,²¹ M. Finger,²² M. Finger Jr.,²² A. A. Abdelalim,^{23,j} Y. Assran,^{23,k} S. Elgammal,^{23,j} A. Ellithi Kamel,^{23,1} M. A. Mahmoud,^{23,m} A. Radi,^{23,n,o} M. Kadastik,²⁴ M. Müntel,²⁴ M. Murumaa,²⁴ M. Raidal,²⁴ L. Rebane,²⁴ A. Tiko,²⁴ P. Eerola,²⁵ G. Fedi,²⁵ M. Voutilainen,²⁵ J. Härkönen,²⁶ V. Karimäki,²⁶ R. Kinnunen,²⁶ M. J. Kortelainen,²⁶ T. Lampén,²⁶ K. Lassila-Perini,²⁶ S. Lehti,²⁶ T. Lindén,²⁶ P. Luukka,²⁶ T. Mäenpää,²⁶ T. Peltola,²⁶ E. Tuominen,²⁶ J. Tuominiemi,²⁶ E. Tuovinen,²⁶ L. Wendland,²⁶ T. Tuuva,²⁷ M. Besancon,²⁸ F. Couderc,²⁸ M. Dejardin,²⁸ D. Denegri,²⁸ B. Fabbro,²⁸ J. L. Faure,²⁸ F. Ferri,²⁸ S. Ganjour,²⁸ A. Givernaud,²⁸ P. Gras,²⁸ G. Hamel de Monchenault,²⁸ P. Jarry,²⁸ E. Locci,²⁸ J. Malcles,²⁸ A. Nayak,²⁸ J. Rander,²⁸ A. Rosowsky,²⁸ M. Titov,²⁸ S. Baffioni,²⁹ F. Beaudette,²⁹ P. Busson,²⁹ C. Charlot,²⁹ N. Daci,²⁹ T. Dahms,²⁹ M. Dalchenko,²⁹ L. Dobrzynski,²⁹ A. Florent,²⁹ R. Granier de Cassagnac,²⁹ P. Miné,²⁹ C. Mironov,²⁹ I. N. Naranjo,²⁹ M. Nguyen,²⁹ C. Ochando,²⁹ P. Paganini,²⁹ D. Sabes,²⁹ R. Salerno,²⁹ Y. Sirois,²⁹ C. Veelken,²⁹ Y. Yilmaz,²⁹ A. Zabi,²⁹ J.-L. Agram,^{30,p} J. Andrea,³⁰ D. Bloch,³⁰ J.-M. Brom,³⁰ E. C. Chabert,³⁰ C. Collard,³⁰ E. Conte,^{30,p} F. Drouhin,^{30,p} J.-C. Fontaine,^{30,p} D. Gelé,³⁰ U. Goerlach,³⁰ C. Goetzmann,³⁰ P. Juillot,³⁰ A.-C. Le Bihan,³⁰ P. Van Hove,³⁰ S. Gadrat,³¹ S. Beauceron,³² N. Beaupere,³² G. Boudoul,³² S. Brochet,³² J. Chasserat,³² R. Chierici,³² D. Contardo,³² P. Depasse,³² H. El Mamouni,³² J. Fan,³² J. Fay,³² S. Gascon,³² M. Gouzevitch,³² B. Ille,³² T. Kurca,³² M. Lethuillier,³² L. Mirabito,³² S. Perries,³² J. D. Ruiz Alvarez,³² L. Sgandurra,³² V. Sordini,³² M. Vander Donckt,³² P. Verdier,³² S. Viret,³² H. Xiao,³² Z. Tsamalaidze,^{33,q} C. Autermann,³⁴ S. Beranek,³⁴ M. Bontenackels,³⁴ B. Calpas,³⁴ M. Edelhoff,³⁴ L. Feld,³⁴ O. Hindrichs,³⁴ K. Klein,³⁴ A. Ostapchuk,³⁴ A. Perieanu,³⁴ F. Raupach,³⁴ J. Sammet,³⁴ S. Schael,³⁴ D. Sprenger,³⁴ H. Weber,³⁴ B. Wittmer,³⁴ V. Zhukov,^{34,f} M. Ata,³⁵ J. Caudron,³⁵ E. Dietz-Laursonn,³⁵ D. Duchardt,³⁵ M. Erdmann,³⁵ R. Fischer,³⁵ A. Güth,³⁵ T. Hebbeker,³⁵ C. Heidemann,³⁵ K. Hoepfner,³⁵ D. Klingebiel,³⁵ S. Knutzen,³⁵ P. Kreuzer,³⁵ M. Merschmeyer,³⁵ A. Meyer,³⁵ M. Olschewski,³⁵ K. Padeken,³⁵ P. Papacz,³⁵ H. Reithler,³⁵ S. A. Schmitz,³⁵ L. Sonnenschein,³⁵ D. Teyssier,³⁵ S. Thüer,³⁵ M. Weber,³⁵ V. Cherepanov,³⁶ Y. Erdogan,³⁶ G. Flügge,³⁶ H. Geenen,³⁶ M. Geisler,³⁶ W. Haj Ahmad,³⁶ F. Hoehle,³⁶ B. Kargoll,³⁶ T. Kress,³⁶ Y. Kuessel,³⁶ J. Lingemann,^{36,c} A. Nowack,³⁶ I. M. Nugent,³⁶ L. Perchalla,³⁶ O. Pooth,³⁶ A. Stahl,³⁶ I. Asin,³⁷ N. Bartosik,³⁷ J. Behr,³⁷ W. Behrenhoff,³⁷ U. Behrens,³⁷ A. J. Bell,³⁷ M. Bergholz,^{37,r} A. Bethani,³⁷ K. Borras,³⁷ A. Burgmeier,³⁷ A. Cakir,³⁷ L. Calligaris,³⁷ A. Campbell,³⁷ S. Choudhury,³⁷ F. Costanza,³⁷ C. Diez Pardos,³⁷ S. Dooling,³⁷ T. Dorland,³⁷ G. Eckerlin,³⁷ D. Eckstein,³⁷ T. Eichhorn,³⁷ G. Flucke,³⁷ A. Geiser,³⁷ A. Grebenyuk,³⁷ P. Gunnellini,³⁷ S. Habib,³⁷ J. Hauk,³⁷ G. Hellwig,³⁷ M. Hempel,³⁷ D. Horton,³⁷ H. Jung,³⁷ M. Kasemann,³⁷ P. Katsas,³⁷ J. Kieseler,³⁷ C. Kleinwort,³⁷ M. Krämer,³⁷ D. Krücker,³⁷ W. Lange,³⁷ J. Leonard,³⁷ K. Lipka,³⁷ W. Lohmann,^{37,r} B. Lutz,³⁷ R. Mankel,³⁷ I. Marfin,³⁷ I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann,³⁷ A. B. Meyer,³⁷ J. Mnich,³⁷ A. Mussgiller,³⁷ S. Naumann-Emme,³⁷ O. Novgorodova,³⁷ F. Nowak,³⁷ H. Perrey,³⁷ A. Petrukhin,³⁷ D. Pitzl,³⁷ R. Placakyte,³⁷ A. Raspereza,³⁷ P. M. Ribeiro Cipriano,³⁷ C. Riedl,³⁷ E. Ron,³⁷ M. Ö. Sahin,³⁷ J. Salfeld-Nebgen,³⁷ P. Saxena,³⁷ R. Schmidt,^{37,r} T. Schoerner-Sadenius,³⁷ M. Schröder,³⁷ M. Stein,³⁷ A. D. R. Vargas Trevino,³⁷ R. Walsh,³⁷ C. Wissing,³⁷ M. Aldaya Martin,³⁸ V. Blobel,³⁸ H. Enderle,³⁸ J. Erfle,³⁸ E. Garutti,³⁸ K. Goebel,³⁸ M. Görner,³⁸ M. Gosselink,³⁸ J. Haller,³⁸ R. S. Höing,³⁸ H. Kirschenmann,³⁸ R. Klanner,³⁸ R. Kogler,³⁸ J. Lange,³⁸ T. Lapsien,³⁸ I. Marchesini,³⁸ J. Ott,³⁸ T. Peiffer,³⁸ N. Pietsch,³⁸ D. Rathjens,³⁸ C. Sander,³⁸ H. Schettler,³⁸ P. Schleper,³⁸ E. Schlieckau,³⁸ A. Schmidt,³⁸ M. Seidel,³⁸ J. Sibille,^{38,s} V. Sola,³⁸ H. Stadie,³⁸ G. Steinbrück,³⁸ D. Troendle,³⁸ E. Usai,³⁸ L. Vanelderen,³⁸ C. Barth,³⁹ C. Baus,³⁹ J. Berger,³⁹ C. Böser,³⁹ E. Butz,³⁹ T. Chwalek,³⁹ W. De Boer,³⁹ A. Descroix,³⁹ A. Dierlamm,³⁹ M. Feindt,³⁹ M. Guthoff,^{39,c} F. Hartmann,^{39,c} T. Hauth,^{39,c} H. Held,³⁹ K. H. Hoffmann,³⁹ U. Husemann,³⁹ I. Katkov,^{39,f} A. Kornmayer,^{39,c} E. Kuznetsova,³⁹ P. Lobelle Pardo,³⁹ D. Martschei,³⁹ M. U. Mozer,³⁹ Th. Müller,³⁹ M. Niegel,³⁹ A. Nürnberg,³⁹ O. Oberst,³⁹ G. Quast,³⁹ K. Rabbertz,³⁹ F. Ratnikov,³⁹ S. Röcker,³⁹ F.-P. Schilling,³⁹ G. Schott,³⁹ H. J. Simonis,³⁹ F. M. Stober,³⁹ R. Ulrich,³⁹ J. Wagner-Kuhr,³⁹ S. Wayand,³⁹ T. Weiler,³⁹ R. Wolf,³⁹ M. Zeise,³⁹ G. Anagnostou,⁴⁰ G. Daskalakis,⁴⁰ T. Geralis,⁴⁰ S. Kesisoglou,⁴⁰ A. Kyriakis,⁴⁰

D. Loukas,⁴⁰ A. Markou,⁴⁰ C. Markou,⁴⁰ E. Ntomari,⁴⁰ A. Psallidas,⁴⁰ I. Topsis-giotis,⁴⁰ L. Gouskos,⁴¹ A. Panagiotou,⁴¹ N. Saoulidou,⁴¹ E. Stiliaris,⁴¹ X. Aslanoglou,⁴² I. Evangelou,⁴² G. Flouris,⁴² C. Foudas,⁴² J. Jones,⁴² P. Kokkas,⁴² N. Manthos,⁴² I. Papadopoulos,⁴² E. Paradas,⁴² G. Bencze,⁴³ C. Hajdu,⁴³ P. Hidas,⁴³ D. Horvath,^{43,t} F. Sikler,⁴³ V. Veszpremi,⁴³ G. Vesztergombi,^{43,u} A. J. Zsigmond,⁴³ N. Beni,⁴⁴ S. Czellar,⁴⁴ J. Molnar,⁴⁴ J. Palinkas,⁴⁴ Z. Szillasi,⁴⁴ J. Karancsi,⁴⁵ P. Raics,⁴⁵ Z. L. Trocsanyi,⁴⁵ B. Ujvari,⁴⁵ S. K. Swain,⁴⁶ S. B. Beri,⁴⁷ V. Bhatnagar,⁴⁷ N. Dhingra,⁴⁷ R. Gupta,⁴⁷ M. Kaur,⁴⁷ M. Z. Mehta,⁴⁷ M. Mittal,⁴⁷ N. Nishu,⁴⁷ A. Sharma,⁴⁷ J. B. Singh,⁴⁷ Ashok Kumar,⁴⁸ Arun Kumar,⁴⁸ S. Ahuja,⁴⁸ A. Bhardwaj,⁴⁸ B. C. Choudhary,⁴⁸ A. Kumar,⁴⁸ S. Malhotra,⁴⁸ M. Naimuddin,⁴⁸ K. Ranjan,⁴⁸ V. Sharma,⁴⁸ R. K. Shivpuri,⁴⁸ S. Banerjee,⁴⁹ S. Bhattacharya,⁴⁹ K. Chatterjee,⁴⁹ S. Dutta,⁴⁹ B. Gomber,⁴⁹ Sa. Jain,⁴⁹ Sh. Jain,⁴⁹ R. Khurana,⁴⁹ A. Modak,⁴⁹ S. Mukherjee,⁴⁹ D. Roy,⁴⁹ S. Sarkar,⁴⁹ M. Sharan,⁴⁹ A. P. Singh,⁴⁹ A. Abdulsalam,⁵⁰ D. Dutta,⁵⁰ S. Kailas,⁵⁰ V. Kumar,⁵⁰ A. K. Mohanty,^{50,c} L. M. Pant,⁵⁰ P. Shukla,⁵⁰ A. Topkar,⁵⁰ T. Aziz,⁵¹ R. M. Chatterjee,⁵¹ S. Ganguly,⁵¹ S. Ghosh,⁵¹ M. Guchait,^{51,v} A. Gurtu,^{51,w} G. Kole,⁵¹ S. Kumar,⁵¹ M. Maity,^{51,x} G. Majumder,⁵¹ K. Mazumdar,⁵¹ G. B. Mohanty,⁵¹ B. Parida,⁵¹ K. Sudhakar,⁵¹ N. Wickramage,^{51,y} S. Banerjee,⁵² S. Dugad,⁵² H. Arfaei,⁵³ H. Bakhshiansohi,⁵³ H. Behnamian,⁵³ S. M. Etesami,^{53,z} A. Fahim,^{53,aa} A. Jafari,⁵³ M. Khakzad,⁵³ M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, ⁵³ M. Naseri, ⁵³ S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, ⁵³ B. Safarzadeh, ^{53,bb} M. Zeinali, ⁵³ M. Grunewald, ⁵⁴ M. Abbrescia, ^{55a,55b} L. Barbone, ^{55a,55b} C. Calabria, ^{55a,55b} S. S. Chhibra, ^{55a,55b} A. Colaleo, ^{55a} D. Creanza, ^{55a,55c} N. De Filippis, ^{55a,55c} M. De Palma, ^{55a,55b} L. Fiore, ^{55a} G. Iaselli, ^{55a,55c} G. Maggi, ^{55a,55c} M. Maggi, ^{55a} B. Marangelli, ^{55a,55b} S. My,^{55a,55c} S. Nuzzo,^{55a,55b} N. Pacifico,^{55a} A. Pompili,^{55a,55b} G. Pugliese,^{55a,55c} R. Radogna,^{55a,55b} G. Selvaggi,^{55a,55b} L. Silvestris,^{55a} G. Singh,^{55a,55b} R. Venditti,^{55a,55b} P. Verwilligen,^{55a} G. Zito,^{55a} G. Abbiendi,^{56a} A. C. Benvenuti,^{56a} D. Bonacorsi,^{56a,56b} S. Braibant-Giacomelli,^{56a,56b} L. Brigliadori,^{56a,56b} R. Campanini,^{56a,56b} P. Capiluppi,^{56a,56b} A. Castro,^{56a,56b} F. R. Cavallo,^{56a} G. Codispoti,^{56a,56b} M. Cuffiani,^{56a,56b} G. M. Dallavalle,^{56a} F. Fabbri,^{56a} A. Fanfani,^{56a,56b} A. Castro, F. K. Cavano, G. Codispoli, M. Culliani, G. M. Danavane, F. Paboli, A. Paniani,
D. Fasanella,^{56a,56b} P. Giacomelli,^{56a} C. Grandi,^{56a} L. Guiducci,^{56a,56b} S. Marcellini,^{56a} G. Masetti,^{56a} M. Meneghelli,^{56a,56b}
A. Montanari,^{56a} F. L. Navarria,^{56a,56b} F. Odorici,^{56a} A. Perrotta,^{56a} F. Primavera,^{56a,56b} A. M. Rossi,^{56a,56b} T. Rovelli,^{56a,56b} G. P. Siroli,^{56a,56b} N. Tosi,^{56a,56b} R. Travaglini,^{56a,56b} S. Albergo,^{57a,57b} G. Cappello,^{57a} M. Chiorboli,^{57a,57b} S. Costa,^{57a,57b} F. Giordano, 57a,c R. Potenza, 57a,57b A. Tricomi, 57a,57b C. Tuve, 57a,57b G. Barbagli, 58a V. Ciulli, C. Civinini, 58a R. D'Alessandro,^{58a,58b} E. Focardi,^{58a,58b} E. Gallo,^{58a} S. Gonzi,^{58a,58b} V. Gori,^{58a,58b} P. Lenzi,^{58a,58b} M. Meschini,^{58a} S. Paoletti,^{58a} G. Sguazzoni,^{58a} A. Tropiano,^{58a,58b} L. Benussi,⁵⁹ S. Bianco,⁵⁹ F. Fabbri,⁵⁹ D. Piccolo,⁵⁹ P. Fabbricatore,^{60a} R. Ferretti,^{60a,60b} F. Ferro,^{60a} M. Lo Vetere,^{60a,60b} R. Musenich,^{60a} E. Robutti,^{60a} S. Tosi,^{60a,60b} A. Benaglia,^{61a} M. E. Dinardo, ^{61a,61b} S. Fiorendi, ^{61a,61b,c} S. Gennai, ^{61a} R. Gerosa, ^{61a} A. Ghezzi, ^{61a,61b} P. Govoni, ^{61a,61b} M. T. Lucchini, ^{61a,61b,c} S. Malvezzi, ^{61a} R. A. Manzoni, ^{61a,61b,c} A. Martelli, ^{61a,61b,c} B. Marzocchi, ^{61a} D. Menasce, ^{61a} L. Moroni, ^{61a} M. Paganoni, ^{61a,61b} S. Malvezzi, ^{61a} R. A. Manzoni, ^{61a,61b} N. Redaelli, ^{61a} T. Tabarelli de Fatis, ^{61a,61b} S. Buontempo, ^{62a} N. Cavallo, ^{62a,62c}
F. Fabozzi, ^{62a,62c} A. O. M. Iorio, ^{62a,62b} L. Lista, ^{62a} S. Meola, ^{62a,62d,c} M. Merola, ^{62a} P. Paolucci, ^{62a,c} P. Azzi, ^{63a} N. Bacchetta, ^{63a} D. Bisello, ^{63a,63b} A. Branca, ^{63a,63b} R. Carlin, ^{63a,63b} P. Checchia, ^{63a} T. Dorigo, ^{63a} S. Fantinel, ^{63a} M. Galanti, ^{63a,63b}, ^{63a,63b} P. Giubilato, ^{63a,63b} A. Gozzelino, ^{63a} M. Gulmini, ^{63a,c3b} M. Galanti, ^{63a,63c} S. Lacaprara, ^{63a} I. Lazzizzera, ^{63a,63c} M. Margoni, ^{63a,63b} G. Maron, ^{63a,c3b} E. Torassa, ^{63a} M. Tosi, ^{63a,63b} P. Zotto, ^{63a,63b} A. Zucchetta, ^{63a,63b} M. Gabusi, ^{64a,64b} S. P. Ratti, ^{64a,64b} C. Riccardi, ^{64a,64b} P. Vitulo, ^{64a,64b} M. Biasini, ^{65a,65b} G. M. Bilei, ^{65a} L. Fanò, ^{65a,65b} P. Lariccia, ^{65a,65b} G. Mantovani, ^{65a,65b} M. Menichelli, ^{65a} F. Romeo, ^{65a,65b} A. Saha, ^{65a} A. Santocchia, ^{65a,65b} A. Spiezia, ^{65a,65b} K. Androsov, ^{66a,dd} P. Azzurri, ^{66a} G. Bagliesi, ^{66a} J. Bernardini, ^{66a} T. Boccali, ^{66a} G. Broccolo, ^{66a,66c} R. Castaldi,^{66a} M. A. Ciocci,^{66a,dd} R. Dell'Orso,^{66a} F. Fiori,^{66a,66c} L. Foà,^{66a,66c} A. Giassi,^{66a} M. T. Grippo,^{66a,dd} A. Kraan,^{66a} F. Ligabue,^{66a,66c} T. Lomtadze,^{66a} L. Martini,^{66a,66b} A. Messineo,^{66a,66b} C. S. Moon,^{66a,ee} F. Palla,^{66a} A. Rizzi,^{66a,66b} A. Savoy-Navarro,^{66a,ff} A. T. Serban,^{66a} P. Spagnolo,^{66a} P. Squillacioti,^{66a,dd} R. Tenchini,^{66a} G. Tonelli,^{66a,66b} A. Venturi,^{66a} P. G. Verdini,^{66a} C. Vernieri,^{66a,66c} L. Barone,^{67a,67b} F. Cavallari,^{67a} D. Del Re,^{67a,67b} M. Diemoz,^{67a} M. Grassi,^{67a,67b} C. Jorda,^{67a} E. Longo,^{67a,67b} F. Margaroli,^{67a,67b} P. Meridiani,^{67a} F. Micheli,^{67a,67b} S. Nourbakhsh,^{67a,67b} G. Organtini,^{67a,67b} R. Paramatti, 67a S. Rahatlou, 67a,67b C. Rovelli, 67a L. Soffi, 67a,67b P. Traczyk, 67a,67b N. Amapane, 68a,68b R. Arcidiacono, 68a,68c S. Argiro, 68a,68b M. Arneodo, 68a,68c R. Bellan, 68a,68b C. Biino, 68a N. Cartiglia, 68a S. Casasso, 68a,68b M. Costa, 68a,68b A. Degano,^{68a,68b} N. Demaria,^{68a} C. Mariotti,^{68a} S. Maselli,^{68a} E. Migliore,^{68a,68b} V. Monaco,^{68a,68b} M. Musich,^{68a} M. M. Obertino,^{68a,68c} G. Ortona,^{68a,68b} L. Pacher,^{68a,68b} N. Pastrone,^{68a} M. Pelliccioni,^{68a,c} A. Potenza,^{68a,68b} A. Romero,^{68a,68b} M. Ruspa,^{68a,68c} R. Sacchi,^{68a,68b} A. Solano,^{68a,68b} A. Staiano,^{68a} U. Tamponi,^{68a} S. Belforte,^{69a} V. Candelise, ^{69a,69b} M. Casarsa, ^{69a} F. Cossutti, ^{69a} G. Della Ricca, ^{69a,69b} B. Gobbo, ^{69a} C. La Licata, ^{69a,69b} M. Marone, ^{69a,69b}

D. Montanino,^{69a,69b} A. Penzo,^{69a} A. Schizzi,^{69a,69b} T. Umer,^{69a,69b} A. Zanetti,^{69a} S. Chang,⁷⁰ T. Y. Kim,⁷⁰ S. K. Nam,⁷⁰ D. H. Kim,⁷¹ G. N. Kim,⁷¹ J. E. Kim,⁷¹ M. S. Kim,⁷¹ D. J. Kong,⁷¹ S. Lee,⁷¹ Y. D. Oh,⁷¹ H. Park,⁷¹ D. C. Son,⁷¹ J. Y. Kim,⁷² Zero J. Kim,⁷² S. Song,⁷² S. Choi,⁷³ D. Gyun,⁷³ B. Hong,⁷³ M. Jo,⁷³ H. Kim,⁷³ Y. Kim,⁷³ K. S. Lee,⁷³ S. K. Park,⁷³ Y. Roh,⁷³ M. Choi,⁷⁴ J. H. Kim,⁷⁴ C. Park,⁷⁴ I. C. Park,⁷⁴ S. Park,⁷⁴ G. Ryu,⁷⁴ Y. Choi,⁷⁵ Y. K. Choi,⁷⁵ J. Goh,⁷⁵ E. Kwon,⁷⁵ B. Lee,⁷⁵ J. Lee,⁷⁵ S. Lee,⁷⁵ H. Seo,⁷⁵ I. Yu,⁷⁵ A. Juodagalvis,⁷⁶ J. R. Komaragiri,⁷⁷ H. Castilla-Valdez,⁷⁸ E. De La Cruz-Burelo,⁷⁸ I. Heredia-de La Cruz,^{78,gg} R. Lopez-Fernandez,⁷⁸ J. Martínez-Ortega,⁷⁸ A. Sanchez-Hernandez,⁷⁸ L. M. Villasenor-Cendejas,⁷⁸ S. Carrillo Moreno,⁷⁹ F. Vazquez Valencia,⁷⁹ H. A. Salazar Ibarguen,⁸⁰ E. Casimiro Linares,⁸¹ A. Morelos Pineda,⁸¹ D. Krofcheck,⁸² P. H. Butler,⁸³ R. Doesburg,⁸³ S. Reucroft,⁸³ M. Ahmad,⁸⁴ M. I. Asghar,⁸⁴ J. Butt,⁸⁴ H. R. Hoorani,⁸⁴ S. Khalid,⁸⁴ W. A. Khan,⁸⁴ T. Khurshid,⁸⁴ S. Qazi,⁸⁴ M. A. Shah,⁸⁴ M. Shoaib,⁸⁴ H. Bialkowska,⁸⁵ M. Bluj,^{85,hh} B. Boimska,⁸⁵ T. Frueboes,⁸⁵ M. Górski,⁸⁵ M. Kazana,⁸⁵ K. Nawrocki,⁸⁵ K. Romanowska-Rybinska,⁸⁵ M. Szleper,⁸⁵ G. Wrochna,⁸⁵ P. Zalewski,⁸⁵ G. Brona,⁸⁶ K. Bunkowski,⁸⁶ M. Cwiok,⁸⁶ W. Dominik,⁸⁶ K. Doroba,⁸⁶ A. Kalinowski,⁸⁶ M. Konecki,⁸⁶ J. Krolikowski,⁸⁶ M. Misiura,⁸⁶ W. Wolszczak,⁸⁶ P. Bargassa,⁸⁷ C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva,⁸⁷ P. Faccioli,⁸⁷ P. G. Ferreira Parracho,⁸⁷ M. Gallinaro,⁸⁷ F. Nguyen,⁸⁷ J. Rodrigues Antunes,⁸⁷ J. Seixas,^{87,c} J. Varela,⁸⁷ P. Vischia,⁸⁷ P. Bunin,⁸⁸ M. Gavrilenko,⁸⁸ I. Golutvin,⁸⁸ I. Gorbunov,⁸⁸ A. Kamenev,⁸⁸ V. Karjavin,⁸⁸ V. Konoplyanikov,⁸⁸ G. Kozlov,⁸⁸ A. Lanev,⁸⁸ A. Malakhov,⁸⁸ V. Matveev,^{88,ii} P. Moisenz,⁸⁸ V. Palichik,⁸⁸ V. Perelygin,⁸⁸ S. Shmatov,⁸⁸ N. Skatchkov,⁸⁸ V. Smirnov,⁸⁸ A. Zarubin,⁸⁸ V. Golovtsov,⁸⁹ Y. Ivanov,⁸⁹ V. Kim,⁸⁹ P. Levchenko,⁸⁹ V. Murzin,⁸⁹ V. Oreshkin,⁸⁹ I. Smirnov,⁸⁹ V. Sulimov,⁸⁹ L. Uvarov,⁸⁹ S. Vavilov,⁸⁹ A. Vorobyev,⁸⁹ An. Vorobyev,⁸⁹ Yu. Andreev,⁹⁰ A. Dermenev,⁹⁰ S. Gninenko,⁹⁰ N. Golubev,⁹⁰ M. Kirsanov,⁹⁰ N. Krasnikov,⁹⁰ A. Pashenkov,⁹⁰ D. Tlisov,⁹⁰ A. Toropin,⁹⁰ V. Epshteyn,⁹¹ V. Gavrilov,⁹¹ N. Lychkovskaya,⁹¹ V. Popov,⁹¹ G. Safronov,⁹¹ S. Semenov,⁹¹ A. Spiridonov,⁹¹ V. Stolin,⁹¹ E. Vlasov,⁹¹ A. Zhokin,⁹¹ V. Andreev,⁹² M. Azarkin,⁹² I. Dremin,⁹²
M. Kirakosyan,⁹² A. Leonidov,⁹² G. Mesyats,⁹² S. V. Rusakov,⁹² A. Vinogradov,⁹² A. Belyaev,⁹³ E. Boos,⁹³ L. Dudko,⁹³ A. Gribushin,⁹³ L. Khein,⁹³ V. Klyukhin,⁹³ O. Kodolova,⁹³ I. Lokhtin,⁹³ S. Obraztsov,⁹³ S. Petrushanko,⁹³ A. Proskuryakov,⁹³ V. Savrin,⁹³ A. Snigirev,⁹³ I. Azhgirey,⁹⁴ I. Bayshev,⁹⁴ S. Bitioukov,⁹⁴ V. Kachanov,⁹⁴ A. Kalinin,⁹⁴ D. Konstantinov,⁹⁴ V. Krychkine,⁹⁴ V. Petrov,⁹⁴ R. Ryutin,⁹⁴ A. Sobol,⁹⁴ L. Tourtchanovitch,⁹⁴ S. Troshin,⁹⁴ N. Tyurin,⁹⁴ A. Uzunian,⁹⁴ A. Volkov,⁹⁴ P. Adzic,^{95,ij} M. Djordjevic,⁹⁵ M. Ekmedzic,⁹⁵ J. Milosevic,⁹⁵ M. Aguilar-Benitez,⁹⁶ J. Alcaraz Maestre,⁹⁶ C. Battilana,⁹⁶ E. Calvo,⁹⁶ M. Cerrada,⁹⁶ M. Chamizo Llatas,^{96,c} N. Colino,⁹⁶ B. De La Cruz,⁹⁶ A. Delgado Peris,⁹⁶ D. Domínguez Vázquez,⁹⁶ C. Fernandez Bedoya,⁹⁶ J. P. Fernández Ramos,⁹⁶ A. Ferrando,⁹⁶ J. Flix,⁹⁶ M. C. Fouz,⁹⁶ P. Garcia-Abia,⁹⁶ O. Gonzalez Lopez,⁹⁶ S. Goy Lopez,⁹⁶ J. M. Hernandez,⁹⁶ M. I. Josa,⁹⁶ G. Merino,⁹⁶ E. Navarro De Martino,⁹⁶ J. Puerta Pelayo,⁹⁶ A. Quintario Olmeda,⁹⁶ I. Redondo,⁹⁶ L. Romero,⁹⁶ M. S. Soares,⁹⁶ C. Willmott,⁹⁶ C. Albajar,⁹⁷ J. F. de Trocóniz,⁹⁷ M. Missiroli,⁹⁷ H. Brun,⁹⁸ J. Cuevas,⁹⁸ J. Fernandez Menendez,⁹⁸ S. Folgueras,⁹⁸ I. Gonzalez Caballero,⁹⁸ L. Lloret Iglesias,⁹⁸ J. A. Brochero Cifuentes,⁹⁹ I. J. Cabrillo,⁹⁹ A. Calderon,⁹⁹ S. H. Chuang,⁹⁹ J. Duarte Campderros,⁹⁹ M. Fernandez,⁹⁹ G. Gomez,⁹⁹ J. Gonzalez Sanchez,⁹⁹ A. Graziano,⁹⁹ A. Lopez Virto,⁹⁹ J. Marco,⁹⁹ R. Marco,⁹⁹ C. Martinez Rivero,⁹⁹ F. Matorras,⁹⁹ F. J. Munoz Sanchez,⁹⁹ J. Piedra Gomez,⁹⁹ T. Rodrigo,⁹⁹ A. Y. Rodríguez-Marrero,⁹⁹ A. Ruiz-Jimeno,⁹⁹ L. Scodellaro,⁹⁹ I. Vila,⁹⁹ R. Vilar Cortabitarte,⁹⁹ D. Abbaneo,¹⁰⁰ E. Auffray,¹⁰⁰ G. Auzinger,¹⁰⁰ M. Bachtis,¹⁰⁰ P. Baillon,¹⁰⁰ A. H. Ball,¹⁰⁰ D. Barney,¹⁰⁰ J. Bendavid,¹⁰⁰ L. Benhabib,¹⁰⁰ J. F. Benitez,¹⁰⁰ C. Bernet,^{100,i} G. Bianchi,¹⁰⁰ P. Bloch,¹⁰⁰ A. Bocci,¹⁰⁰ A. Bonato,¹⁰⁰ O. Bondu,¹⁰⁰ C. Botta,¹⁰⁰ H. Breuker,¹⁰⁰ T. Camporesi,¹⁰⁰ G. Cerminara,¹⁰⁰ T. Christiansen,¹⁰⁰ J. A. Coarasa Perez,¹⁰⁰ S. Colafranceschi,^{100,kk} M. D'Alfonso,¹⁰⁰ D. d'Enterria,¹⁰⁰ A. Dabrowski,¹⁰⁰ A. David,¹⁰⁰ F. De Guio,¹⁰⁰ A. De Roeck,¹⁰⁰ S. De Visscher,¹⁰⁰ S. Di Guida,¹⁰⁰ M. Dobson,¹⁰⁰ N. Dupont-Sagorin,¹⁰⁰ A. Elliott-Peisert,¹⁰⁰ J. Eugster,¹⁰⁰ G. Franzoni,¹⁰⁰ W. Funk,¹⁰⁰ M. Giffels,¹⁰⁰ D. Gigi,¹⁰⁰ K. Gill,¹⁰⁰ M. Girone,¹⁰⁰ M. Giunta,¹⁰⁰ F. Glege,¹⁰⁰ R. Gomez-Reino Garrido,¹⁰⁰ S. Gowdy,¹⁰⁰ R. Guida,¹⁰⁰ J. Hammer,¹⁰⁰ M. Hansen,¹⁰⁰ P. Harris,¹⁰⁰ V. Innocente,¹⁰⁰ P. Janot,¹⁰⁰ E. Karavakis,¹⁰⁰ K. Kousouris,¹⁰⁰ K. Krajczar,¹⁰⁰ P. Lecoq,¹⁰⁰ C. Lourenço,¹⁰⁰ N. Magini,¹⁰⁰ L. Malgeri,¹⁰⁰ M. Mannelli,¹⁰⁰ L. Masetti,¹⁰⁰ F. Meijers,¹⁰⁰ S. Mersi,¹⁰⁰ E. Meschi,¹⁰⁰ F. Moortgat,¹⁰⁰ M. Mulders,¹⁰⁰ P. Musella,¹⁰⁰ L. Orsini,¹⁰⁰ E. Palencia Cortezon,¹⁰⁰ E. Perez,¹⁰⁰ L. Perrozzi,¹⁰⁰ A. Petrilli,¹⁰⁰ G. Petrucciani,¹⁰⁰ A. Pfeiffer,¹⁰⁰ M. Pierini,¹⁰⁰ M. Pimiä,¹⁰⁰ D. Piparo,¹⁰⁰ M. Plagge,¹⁰⁰ A. Racz,¹⁰⁰ W. Reece,¹⁰⁰ G. Rolandi,^{100,II} M. Rovere,¹⁰⁰ H. Sakulin,¹⁰⁰ F. Santanastasio,¹⁰⁰ C. Schäfer,¹⁰⁰ C. Schwick,¹⁰⁰ S. Sekmen,¹⁰⁰ A. Sharma,¹⁰⁰ P. Siegrist,¹⁰⁰ P. Silva,¹⁰⁰ M. Simon,¹⁰⁰ P. Sphicas,^{100,mm} J. Steggemann,¹⁰⁰ B. Stieger,¹⁰⁰ M. Stoye,¹⁰⁰ A. Tsirou,¹⁰⁰ G. I. Veres,^{100,u} J. R. Vlimant,¹⁰⁰ H. K. Wöhri,¹⁰⁰ W. D. Zeuner,¹⁰⁰ W. Bertl,¹⁰¹ K. Deiters,¹⁰¹ W. Erdmann,¹⁰¹ R. Horisberger,¹⁰¹ Q. Ingram,¹⁰¹ H. C. Kaestli,¹⁰¹ S. König,¹⁰¹ D. Kotlinski,¹⁰¹ U. Langenegger,¹⁰¹ D. Renker,¹⁰¹ T. Rohe,¹⁰¹ F. Bachmair,¹⁰² L. Bäni,¹⁰² L. Bianchini,¹⁰² P. Bortignon,¹⁰²

M. A. Buchmann,¹⁰² B. Casal,¹⁰² N. Chanon,¹⁰² A. Deisher,¹⁰² G. Dissertori,¹⁰² M. Dittmar,¹⁰² M. Donegà,¹⁰² M. Dünser,¹⁰² P. Eller,¹⁰² C. Grab,¹⁰² D. Hits,¹⁰² W. Lustermann,¹⁰² B. Mangano,¹⁰² A. C. Marini,¹⁰² P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol,¹⁰² D. Meister, ¹⁰² N. Mohr, ¹⁰² C. Nägeli, ^{102,nn} P. Nef, ¹⁰² F. Nessi-Tedaldi, ¹⁰² F. Pandolfi, ¹⁰² L. Pape, ¹⁰² F. Pauss, ¹⁰² M. Peruzzi, ¹⁰² M. Quittnat, ¹⁰² F. J. Ronga, ¹⁰² M. Rossini, ¹⁰² A. Starodumov, ^{102,00} M. Takahashi, ¹⁰² L. Tauscher, ^{102,a} K. Theofilatos, ¹⁰² D. Treille, ¹⁰² R. Wallny, ¹⁰² H. A. Weber, ¹⁰² C. Amsler, ¹⁰³ P. V. Chiochia, ¹⁰³ A. De Cosa, ¹⁰³ C. Favaro, ¹⁰³ D. Treille, ¹⁰³ R. Wallny, ¹⁰² H. A. Weber, ¹⁰³ C. Amsler, ¹⁰³ P. Chiochia, ¹⁰³ A. De Cosa, ¹⁰³ C. Favaro, ¹⁰³ D. Treille, ¹⁰³ R. Wallny, ¹⁰² H. A. Weber, ¹⁰³ C. Amsler, ¹⁰³ P. Chiochia, ¹⁰³ A. De Cosa, ¹⁰³ C. Favaro, ¹⁰³ D. ¹⁰³ R. ¹⁰³ A. Hinzmann,¹⁰³ T. Hreus,¹⁰³ M. Ivova Rikova,¹⁰³ B. Kilminster,¹⁰³ B. Millan Mejias,¹⁰³ J. Ngadiuba,¹⁰³ P. Robmann,¹⁰³ H. Snoek,¹⁰³ S. Taroni,¹⁰³ M. Verzetti,¹⁰³ Y. Yang,¹⁰³ M. Cardaci,¹⁰⁴ K. H. Chen,¹⁰⁴ C. Ferro,¹⁰⁴ C. M. Kuo,¹⁰⁴ S. W. Li,¹⁰⁴ H. Snoek,¹⁰³ S. Taroni,¹⁰³ M. Verzetti,¹⁰³ Y. Yang,¹⁰³ M. Cardaci,¹⁰⁴ K. H. Chen,¹⁰⁴ C. Ferro,¹⁰⁴ C. M. Kuo,¹⁰⁴ S. W. Li,¹⁰⁴ W. Lin,¹⁰⁴ Y. J. Lu,¹⁰⁴ R. Volpe,¹⁰⁴ S. S. Yu,¹⁰⁴ P. Bartalini,¹⁰⁵ P. Chang,¹⁰⁵ Y. H. Chang,¹⁰⁵ Y. W. Chang,¹⁰⁵ Y. Chao,¹⁰⁵ K. F. Chen,¹⁰⁵ P. H. Chen,¹⁰⁵ C. Dietz,¹⁰⁵ U. Grundler,¹⁰⁵ W.-S. Hou,¹⁰⁵ Y. Hsiung,¹⁰⁵ K. Y. Kao,¹⁰⁵ Y. J. Lei,¹⁰⁵ Y. F. Liu,¹⁰⁵ R. S. Lu,¹⁰⁵ D. Majumder,¹⁰⁵ E. Petrakou,¹⁰⁵ X. Shi,¹⁰⁵ J. G. Shiu,¹⁰⁵ Y. M. Tzeng,¹⁰⁵ M. Wang,¹⁰⁵ R. Wilken,¹⁰⁵
B. Asavapibhop,¹⁰⁶ N. Suwonjandee,¹⁰⁶ A. Adiguzel,¹⁰⁷ M. N. Bakirci,^{107,qq} S. Cerci,^{107,rr} C. Dozen,¹⁰⁷ I. Dumanoglu,¹⁰⁷
E. Eskut,¹⁰⁷ S. Girgis,¹⁰⁷ G. Gokbulut,¹⁰⁷ E. Gurpinar,¹⁰⁷ I. Hos,¹⁰⁷ E. E. Kangal,¹⁰⁷ A. Kayis Topaksu,¹⁰⁷ G. Onengut,^{107,ss}
K. Ozdemir,¹⁰⁷ S. Ozturk,^{107,qq} A. Polatoz,¹⁰⁷ K. Sogut,^{107,tt} D. Sunar Cerci,^{107,rr} B. Tali,^{107,rr} H. Topakli,^{107,qq} M. Vergili,¹⁰⁷
I. V. Akin,¹⁰⁸ T. Aliev,¹⁰⁸ B. Bilin,¹⁰⁸ S. Bilmis,¹⁰⁸ M. Deniz,¹⁰⁸ H. Gamsizkan,¹⁰⁸ A. M. Guler,¹⁰⁸ G. Karapinar,^{109,uu}
K. Ocalan,¹⁰⁸ A. Ozpineci,¹⁰⁸ M. Serin,¹⁰⁸ R. Sever,¹⁰⁸ U. E. Surat,¹⁰⁸ M. Yalvac,¹⁰⁸ M. Zeyrek,¹⁰⁸ E. Gülmez,¹⁰⁹
B. Isildak,^{109,vv} M. Kaya,^{109,ww} O. Kaya,^{109,ww} S. Ozkorucuklu,^{109,xx} H. Bahtiyar,^{110,yy} E. Barlas,¹¹⁰ K. Cankocak,¹¹⁰ Y. O. Günaydin,^{110,zz} F. I. Vardarlı,¹¹⁰ M. Yücel,¹¹⁰ L. Levchuk,¹¹¹ P. Sorokin,¹¹¹ J. J. Brooke,¹¹² E. Clement,¹¹² D. Cussans,¹¹² H. Flacher,¹¹² R. Frazier,¹¹² J. Goldstein,¹¹² M. Grimes,¹¹² G. P. Heath,¹¹² H. F. Heath,¹¹² J. Jacob,¹¹² L. Kreczko,¹¹² C. Lucas,¹¹² Z. Meng,¹¹² D. M. Newbold,^{112,aaa} S. Paramesvaran,¹¹² A. Poll,¹¹² S. Senkin,¹¹² V. J. Smith,¹¹² T. Williams,¹¹² K. W. Bell,¹¹³ A. Belyaev,^{113,bbb} C. Brew,¹¹³ R. M. Brown,¹¹³ D. J. A. Cockerill,¹¹³ J. A. Coughlan,¹¹³ K. Harder,¹¹³ S. Harper,¹¹³ J. Ilic,¹¹³ E. Olaiya,¹¹³ D. Petyt,¹¹³ C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous,¹¹³ A. Thea,¹¹³ I. R. Tomalin,¹¹³ W. J. Womersley,¹¹³ S. D. Worm,¹¹³ M. Baber,¹¹⁴ R. Bainbridge,¹¹⁴ O. Buchmuller,¹¹⁴ D. Burton,¹¹⁴ I. R. Tomaini, W. J. Womersley, S. D. Worm, M. Baber, R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, D. Burton,
D. Colling,¹¹⁴ N. Cripps,¹¹⁴ M. Cutajar,¹¹⁴ P. Dauncey,¹¹⁴ G. Davies,¹¹⁴ M. Della Negra,¹¹⁴ W. Ferguson,¹¹⁴ J. Fulcher,¹¹⁴ D. Futyan,¹¹⁴ A. Gilbert,¹¹⁴ A. Guneratne Bryer,¹¹⁴ G. Hall,¹¹⁴ Z. Hatherell,¹¹⁴ J. Hays,¹¹⁴ G. Iles,¹¹⁴ M. Jarvis,¹¹⁴ G. Karapostoli,¹¹⁴ M. Kenzie,¹¹⁴ R. Lane,¹¹⁴ R. Lucas,^{114,aaa} L. Lyons,¹¹⁴ A.-M. Magnan,¹¹⁴ J. Marrouche,¹¹⁴ B. Mathias,¹¹⁴ R. Nandi,¹¹⁴ J. Nash,¹¹⁴ A. Nikitenko,^{114,oo} J. Pela,¹¹⁴ M. Pesaresi,¹¹⁴ K. Petridis,¹¹⁴ M. Pioppi,^{114,ccc} D. M. Raymond,¹¹⁴ S. Rogerson,¹¹⁴ A. Rose,¹¹⁴ C. Seez,¹¹⁴ P. Sharp,^{114,a} A. Sparrow,¹¹⁴ A. Tapper,¹¹⁴ M. Vazquez Acosta,¹¹⁴ T. Virdee,¹¹⁴ S. Wakefield,¹¹⁴ N. Wardle,¹¹⁴ J. E. Cole,¹¹⁵ P. R. Hobson,¹¹⁵ A. Khan,¹¹⁵ P. Kyberd,¹¹⁵ D. Leggat,¹¹⁵ D. Leslie,¹¹⁵ W. Martin,¹¹⁵ I. D. Reid,¹¹⁵ P. Symonds,¹¹⁵ L. Teodorescu,¹¹⁵ M. Turner,¹¹⁵ J. Dittmann,¹¹⁶ K. Hatakeyama,¹¹⁶ A. Kasmi,¹¹⁶ H. Liu,¹¹⁶ T. Scarborough,¹¹⁶ O. Charaf,¹¹⁷ S. I. Cooper,¹¹⁷ C. Henderson,¹¹⁷ P. Rumerio,¹¹⁷ A. Avetisyan,¹¹⁸ T. Bose,¹¹⁸ C. Fantasia,¹¹⁸ A. Heister,¹¹⁸ P. Lawson,¹¹⁸ D. Lazic,¹¹⁸ J. Rohlf,¹¹⁸ D. Sperka,¹¹⁸ J. St. John,¹¹⁸ L. Sulak,¹¹⁸ J. Alimena,¹¹⁹ S. Bhattacharya,¹¹⁹ G. Christopher,¹¹⁹ D. Cutts,¹¹⁹ Z. Demiragli,¹¹⁹ A. Ferapontov,¹¹⁹ A. Garabedian,¹¹⁹ U. Heintz,¹¹⁹ S. Jabeen,¹¹⁹ G. Kukartsev,¹¹⁹ E. Laird,¹¹⁹ G. Landsberg,¹¹⁹ M. Luk,¹¹⁹ M. Narain,¹¹⁹ M. Segala,¹¹⁹ T. Sinthuprasith,¹¹⁹ T. Speer,¹¹⁹ J. Swanson,¹¹⁹ R. Breedon,¹²⁰ G. Breto,¹²⁰ M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez,¹²⁰ S. Chauhan,¹²⁰ M. Chertok,¹²⁰ J. Conway,¹²⁰ R. Conway,¹²⁰ P. T. Cox,¹²⁰ R. Erbacher,¹²⁰ M. Gardner,¹²⁰ W. Ko,¹²⁰ A. Kopecky,¹²⁰ R. Lander,¹²⁰ T. Miceli,¹²⁰ D. Pellett,¹²⁰ J. Pilot,¹²⁰ F. Ricci-Tam,¹²⁰ B. Rutherford,¹²⁰ M. Searle,¹²⁰ S. Shalhout,¹²⁰ J. Smith,¹²⁰ M. Searle, D. Fencu, J. Fliot, F. Ricci-Tain, B. Rumerford, M. Searle, S. Snaihout, J. Smith, M. Squires, ¹²⁰ M. Tripathi, ¹²⁰ S. Wilbur, ¹²⁰ R. Yohay, ¹²⁰ V. Andreev, ¹²¹ D. Cline, ¹²¹ R. Cousins, ¹²¹ S. Erhan, ¹²¹ P. Everaerts, ¹²¹ C. Farrell, ¹²¹ M. Felcini, ¹²¹ J. Hauser, ¹²¹ M. Ignatenko, ¹²¹ C. Jarvis, ¹²¹ G. Rakness, ¹²¹ P. Schlein, ¹²¹ a. E. Takasugi, ¹²¹ V. Valuev, ¹²¹ M. Weber, ¹²¹ J. Babb, ¹²² R. Clare, ¹²² J. Ellison, ¹²² J. W. Gary, ¹²² G. Hanson, ¹²² J. Heilman, ¹²² P. Jandir, ¹²² F. Lacroix, ¹²² O. R. Long, ¹²² A. Luthra, ¹²² M. Malberti, ¹²³ H. Nguyen, ¹²² A. Shrinivas, ¹²² J. Sturdy, ¹²³ S. Sumowidagdo, ¹²² S. Wimpenny, ¹²² W. Andrews, ¹²³ J. G. Branson, ¹²³ G. B. Cerati, ¹²³ S. Cittolin, ¹²³ R. T. D'Agnolo, ¹²³ D. Ferrera ¹²³ A. Helman, ¹²³ D. K. H. ¹²³ D. K. Helman, ¹²³ D. K. Helman, ¹²³ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁴ H. Marker, ¹²³ A. Helman, ¹²³ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁴ H. Marker, ¹²³ A. Helman, ¹²³ D. K. Helman, ¹²³ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁴ H. Marker, ¹²³ A. Helman, ¹²⁴ H. Marker, ¹²³ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁴ H. Marker, ¹²³ M. K. Helman, ¹²⁴ H. Marker, ¹²³ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁴ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁵ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁵ H. Helman, ¹²⁶ H. Helman, ¹²⁶ H. Helman, ¹²⁷ H. Helman, ¹²⁸ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁸ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁹ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁹ H. Helman, ¹²⁹ H. Helman, ¹²⁰ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁰ D. K. Helman, ¹²³ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁴ H. Helman, ¹²⁴ H. Helman, ¹²⁵ H. Helman, ¹²⁵ H. Helman, ¹²⁵ H. Helman, ¹²⁶ H. Helman, ¹²⁶ H. Helman, ¹²⁷ H. Helman, ¹²⁸ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁸ D. K. Helman, ¹²⁹ H. Helman, ¹²⁰ H. Helman, ¹²⁰ H. Helman, ¹²⁰ H. Helman, ¹²¹ H. Helman, ¹²³ H. Helman, ¹²³ H. Helman, ¹²⁴ H. Helman, ¹²⁵ H. Helman, ¹²⁵ H. Helman, ¹²⁶ H. Helman, ¹²⁶ H. Helman, ¹²⁷ H. Helman, ¹²⁸ H. Helman, ¹²⁸ H. Helman, ¹²⁹ H. Helm S. Sulliowidagdo, S. Willipelniy, W. Andrews, J. G. Branson, G. B. Cerati, S. Chtolili, K. I. D'Agholo, D. Evans,¹²³ A. Holzner,¹²³ R. Kelley,¹²³ D. Kovalskyi,¹²³ M. Lebourgeois,¹²³ J. Letts,¹²³ I. Macneill,¹²³ S. Padhi,¹²³ C. Palmer,¹²³ M. Pieri,¹²³ M. Sani,¹²³ V. Sharma,¹²³ S. Simon,¹²³ E. Sudano,¹²³ M. Tadel,¹²³ Y. Tu,¹²³ A. Vartak,¹²³ S. Wasserbaech,^{123,ddd} F. Würthwein,¹²³ A. Yagil,¹²³ J. Yoo,¹²³ D. Barge,¹²⁴ C. Campagnari,¹²⁴ T. Danielson,¹²⁴ K. Flowers,¹²⁴ P. Geffert,¹²⁴ C. George,¹²⁴ F. Golf,¹²⁴ J. Incandela,¹²⁴ C. Justus,¹²⁴ R. Magaña Villalba,¹²⁴ N. Mccoll,¹²⁴ V. Pavlunin,¹²⁴ J. Richman,¹²⁴ R. Rossin,¹²⁴ D. Stuart,¹²⁴ W. To,¹²⁴ C. West,¹²⁴ A. Apresyan,¹²⁵ A. Bornheim,¹²⁵ J. Bunn,¹²⁵ Y. Chen,¹²⁵ E. Di Marco,¹²⁵ J. Duarte,¹²⁵ D. Kcira,¹²⁵ A. Mott,¹²⁵ H. B. Newman,¹²⁵ C. Pena,¹²⁵ C. Rogan,¹²⁵ M. Spiropulu,¹²⁵ V. Timciuc,¹²⁵ R. Wilkinson,¹²⁵ S. Xie,¹²⁵ R. Y. Zhu,¹²⁵ V. Azzolini,¹²⁶ A. Calamba,¹²⁶ R. Carroll,¹²⁶ T. Ferguson,¹²⁶ Y. Iiyama,¹²⁶ D. W. Jang,¹²⁶ M. Paulini,¹²⁶ J. Russ,¹²⁶ H. Vogel,¹²⁶ I. Vorobiev,¹²⁶ J. P. Cumalat,¹²⁷

B. R. Drell,¹²⁷ W. T. Ford,¹²⁷ A. Gaz,¹²⁷ E. Luiggi Lopez,¹²⁷ U. Nauenberg,¹²⁷ J. G. Smith,¹²⁷ K. Stenson,¹²⁷ K. A. Ulmer,¹²⁷ S. R. Wagner,¹²⁷ J. Alexander,¹²⁸ A. Chatterjee,¹²⁸ N. Eggert,¹²⁸ L. K. Gibbons,¹²⁸ W. Hopkins,¹²⁸ A. Khukhunaishvili,¹²⁸ B. Kreis,¹²⁸ N. Mirman,¹²⁸ G. Nicolas Kaufman,¹²⁸ J. R. Patterson,¹²⁸ A. Ryd,¹²⁸ E. Salvati,¹²⁸ W. Sun,¹²⁸ W. D. Teo,¹²⁸ J. Thom,¹²⁸ J. Thompson,¹²⁸ J. Tucker,¹²⁸ Y. Weng,¹²⁸ L. Winstrom,¹²⁸ P. Wittich,¹²⁸ D. Winn,¹²⁹ S. Abdullin,¹³⁰ M. Albrow,¹³⁰ J. Anderson,¹³⁰ G. Apollinari,¹³⁰ L. A. T. Bauerdick,¹³⁰ A. Beretvas,¹³⁰ J. Berryhill,¹³⁰ P. C. Bhat,¹³⁰ K. Burkett, ¹³⁰ J. N. Butler, ¹³⁰ V. Chetluru, ¹³⁰ H. W. K. Cheung, ¹³⁰ F. Chlebana, ¹³⁰ S. Cihangir, ¹³⁰ V. D. Elvira, ¹³⁰ I. Fisk, ¹³⁰ J. Freeman, ¹³⁰ Y. Gao, ¹³⁰ E. Gottschalk, ¹³⁰ L. Gray, ¹³⁰ D. Green, ¹³⁰ S. Grünendahl, ¹³⁰ O. Gutsche, ¹³⁰ D. Hare, ¹³⁰ R. M. Harris,¹³⁰ J. Hirschauer,¹³⁰ B. Hooberman,¹³⁰ S. Jindariani,¹³⁰ M. Johnson,¹³⁰ U. Joshi,¹³⁰ K. Kaadze,¹³⁰ B. Klima,¹³⁰ S. Kwan,¹³⁰ J. Linacre,¹³⁰ D. Lincoln,¹³⁰ R. Lipton,¹³⁰ J. Lykken,¹³⁰ K. Maeshima,¹³⁰ J. M. Marraffino,¹³⁰ V. I. Martinez Outschoorn,¹³⁰ S. Maruyama,¹³⁰ D. Mason,¹³⁰ P. McBride,¹³⁰ K. Mishra,¹³⁰ S. Mrenna,¹³⁰ Y. Musienko,^{130,ii} S. Nahn,¹³⁰ C. Newman-Holmes,¹³⁰ V. O'Dell,¹³⁰ O. Prokofyev,¹³⁰ N. Ratnikova,¹³⁰ E. Sexton-Kennedy,¹³⁰ S. Sharma,¹³⁰ W. J. Spalding,¹³⁰ L. Spiegel,¹³⁰ L. Taylor,¹³⁰ S. Tkaczyk,¹³⁰ N. V. Tran,¹³⁰ L. Uplegger,¹³⁰ E. W. Vaandering,¹³⁰ R. Vidal,¹³⁰ M. J. Spadnig, L. Spiegel, L. Taylor, S. TKaczyk, N. V. Hall, L. Opieggel, E. W. Vaanderling, K. Vidar, A. Whitbeck,¹³⁰ J. Whitmore,¹³⁰ W. Wu,¹³⁰ F. Yang,¹³⁰ J. C. Yun,¹³⁰ D. Acosta,¹³¹ P. Avery,¹³¹ D. Bourilkov,¹³¹ T. Cheng,¹³¹ S. Das,¹³¹ M. De Gruttola,¹³¹ G. P. Di Giovanni,¹³¹ D. Dobur,¹³¹ R. D. Field,¹³¹ M. Fisher,¹³¹ Y. Fu,¹³¹ I. K. Furic,¹³¹ J. Hugon,¹³¹ B. Kim,¹³¹ J. Konigsberg,¹³¹ A. Korytov,¹³¹ A. Kropivnitskaya,¹³¹ T. Kypreos,¹³¹ J. F. Low,¹³¹ K. Matchev,¹³¹ P. Milenovic,^{131,eee} G. Mitselmakher,¹³¹ L. Muniz,¹³¹ A. Rinkevicius,¹³¹ L. Shchutska,¹³¹ N. Skhirtladze,¹³¹ M. Snowball,¹³¹ J. Yelton,¹³¹ M. Zakaria,¹³¹ V. Gaultney,¹³² S. Hewamanage,¹³² S. Linn,¹³² P. Markowitz,¹³² G. Martinez,¹³² J. L. Rodriguez,¹³² T. Adams,¹³³ A. Askew,¹³³ J. Bochenek,¹³³ J. Chen,¹³³ B. Diamond,¹³³ J. Haas,¹³³ S. Hagopian,¹³³ V. Hagopian,¹³³ K. F. Johnson,¹³³ H. Prosper,¹³³ V. Veeraraghavan,¹³³ M. Weinberg,¹³³ M. M. Baarmand,¹³⁴ B. Dorney,¹³⁴ M. Hohlmann,¹³⁴ H. Kalakhety,¹³⁴ F. Yumiceva,¹³⁴ M. R. Adams,¹³⁵ L. Apanasevich,¹³⁵ V. E. Bazterra,¹³⁵ R. R. Betts,¹³⁵ I. Bucinskaite,¹³⁵ R. Cavanaugh,¹³⁵ O. Evdokimov,¹³⁵ L. Gauthier,¹³⁵ C. E. Gerber,¹³⁵ D. J. Hofman,¹³⁵ S. Khalatyan,¹³⁵ P. Kurt,¹³⁵ D. H. Moon,¹³⁵ C. O'Brien,¹³⁵ C. Silkworth,¹³⁵ P. Turner,¹³⁵ N. Varelas,¹³⁵ U. Akgun,¹³⁶ E. A. Albayrak,^{136,yy} Ruft, D. H. Moon, C. O'Bhell, C. Shkworth, T. Turner, N. Valetas, O. Akgun, E. A. Albaytak, B. Bilki, ¹³⁶fff W. Clarida, ¹³⁶ K. Dilsiz, ¹³⁶ F. Duru, ¹³⁶ M. Haytmyradov, ¹³⁶ J.-P. Merlo, ¹³⁶ H. Mermerkaya, ¹³⁶, ¹³⁶gg
A. Mestvirishvili, ¹³⁶ A. Moeller, ¹³⁶ J. Nachtman, ¹³⁶ H. Ogul, ¹³⁶ Y. Onel, ¹³⁶ F. Ozok, ¹³⁶, ys S. Sen, ¹³⁶ P. Tan, ¹³⁶ E. Tiras, ¹³⁶ J. Wetzel, ¹³⁶ T. Yetkin, ^{136,hhh} K. Yi, ¹³⁶ B. A. Barnett, ¹³⁷ B. Blumenfeld, ¹³⁷ S. Bolognesi, ¹³⁷ D. Fehling, ¹³⁷ A. V. Gritsan, ¹³⁷ P. Maksimovic, ¹³⁷ C. Martin, ¹³⁷ M. Swartz, ¹³⁷ P. Baringer, ¹³⁸ A. Bean, ¹³⁸ G. Benelli, ¹³⁸ R. P. Kenny III, ¹³⁸ M. Murray, ¹³⁸ F. F. Kanny H. Sharinger, ¹³⁸ F. F. Kanny H. Sharinger, ¹³⁸ F. Kanny H. Sharinger, ¹³⁹ F. Kanny H. Sharinger, D. Noonan,¹³⁸ S. Sanders,¹³⁸ J. Sekaric,¹³⁸ R. Stringer,¹³⁸ Q. Wang,¹³⁸ J. S. Wood,¹³⁸ A. F. Barfuss,¹³⁹ I. Chakaberia,¹³⁹ A. Ivanov,¹³⁹ S. Khalil,¹³⁹ M. Makouski,¹³⁹ Y. Maravin,¹³⁹ L. K. Saini,¹³⁹ S. Shrestha,¹³⁹ I. Svintradze,¹³⁹ J. Gronberg,¹⁴⁰ D. Lange,¹⁴⁰ F. Rebassoo,¹⁴⁰ D. Wright,¹⁴⁰ A. Baden,¹⁴¹ B. Calvert,¹⁴¹ S. C. Eno,¹⁴¹ J. A. Gomez,¹⁴¹ N. J. Hadley,¹⁴¹
R. G. Kellogg,¹⁴¹ T. Kolberg,¹⁴¹ Y. Lu,¹⁴¹ M. Marionneau,¹⁴¹ A. C. Mignerey,¹⁴¹ K. Pedro,¹⁴¹ A. Skuja,¹⁴¹ J. Temple,¹⁴¹
M. B. Tonjes,¹⁴¹ S. C. Tonwar,¹⁴¹ A. Apyan,¹⁴² R. Barbieri,¹⁴² G. Bauer,¹⁴² W. Busza,¹⁴² I. A. Cali,¹⁴² M. Chan,¹⁴² L. Di Matteo,¹⁴² V. Dutta,¹⁴² G. Gomez Ceballos,¹⁴² M. Goncharov,¹⁴² D. Gulhan,¹⁴² M. Klute,¹⁴² Y. S. Lai,¹⁴² Y.-J. Lee,¹⁴² A. Levin,¹⁴² P. D. Luckey,¹⁴² T. Ma,¹⁴² C. Paus,¹⁴² D. Ralph,¹⁴² C. Roland,¹⁴² G. Roland,¹⁴² G. S. F. Stephans,¹⁴² F. Stöckli,¹⁴² K. Sumorok,¹⁴² D. Velicanu,¹⁴² J. Veverka,¹⁴² B. Wyslouch,¹⁴² M. Yang,¹⁴² A. S. Yoon,¹⁴² M. Zanetti,¹⁴² P. Stockli, K. Sulliorok, D. Veličanu, J. Veverka, B. Wyslouch, M. rang, A. S. rooh, M. Zahetti,
V. Zhukova,¹⁴² B. Dahmes,¹⁴³ A. De Benedetti,¹⁴³ A. Gude,¹⁴³ S. C. Kao,¹⁴³ K. Klapoetke,¹⁴³ Y. Kubota,¹⁴³ J. Mans,¹⁴³ N. Pastika,¹⁴³ R. Rusack,¹⁴³ A. Singovsky,¹⁴³ N. Tambe,¹⁴³ J. Turkewitz,¹⁴³ J. G. Acosta,¹⁴⁴ L. M. Cremaldi,¹⁴⁴
R. Kroeger,¹⁴⁴ S. Oliveros,¹⁴⁴ L. Perera,¹⁴⁴ R. Rahmat,¹⁴⁴ D. A. Sanders,¹⁴⁴ D. Summers,¹⁴⁴ E. Avdeeva,¹⁴⁵ K. Bloom,¹⁴⁵ S. Bose,¹⁴⁵ D. R. Claes,¹⁴⁵ A. Dominguez,¹⁴⁵ R. Gonzalez Suarez,¹⁴⁵ J. Keller,¹⁴⁵ D. Knowlton,¹⁴⁵ I. Kravchenko,¹⁴⁵ J. Lazo-Flores,¹⁴⁵ S. Malik,¹⁴⁵ F. Meier,¹⁴⁵ G. R. Snow,¹⁴⁵ J. Dolen,¹⁴⁶ A. Godshalk,¹⁴⁶ I. Iashvili,¹⁴⁶ S. Jain,¹⁴⁶ A. Kharchilava,¹⁴⁶ A. Kumar,¹⁴⁶ S. Rappoccio,¹⁴⁶ G. Alverson,¹⁴⁷ E. Barberis,¹⁴⁷ D. Baumgartel,¹⁴⁷ M. Chasco,¹⁴⁷ J. Haley,¹⁴⁷ A. Massironi,¹⁴⁷ D. Nash,¹⁴⁷ T. Orimoto,¹⁴⁷ D. Trocino,¹⁴⁷ D. Wood,¹⁴⁷ J. Zhang,¹⁴⁷ A. Anastassov,¹⁴⁸ J. Haley, A. Massironi, D. Nasii, T. Orinioto, D. Trocino, D. Wood, J. Zhang, A. Anastassov,
K. A. Hahn, ¹⁴⁸ A. Kubik, ¹⁴⁸ L. Lusito, ¹⁴⁸ N. Mucia, ¹⁴⁸ N. Odell, ¹⁴⁸ B. Pollack, ¹⁴⁸ A. Pozdnyakov, ¹⁴⁸ M. Schmitt, ¹⁴⁸
S. Stoynev, ¹⁴⁸ K. Sung, ¹⁴⁸ M. Velasco, ¹⁴⁸ S. Won, ¹⁴⁸ D. Berry, ¹⁴⁹ A. Brinkerhoff, ¹⁴⁹ K. M. Chan, ¹⁴⁹ A. Drozdetskiy, ¹⁴⁹
M. Hildreth, ¹⁴⁹ C. Jessop, ¹⁴⁹ D. J. Karmgard, ¹⁴⁹ N. Kellams, ¹⁴⁹ J. Kolb, ¹⁴⁹ K. Lannon, ¹⁴⁹ W. Luo, ¹⁴⁹ S. Lynch, ¹⁴⁹
N. Marinelli, ¹⁴⁹ D. M. Morse, ¹⁴⁹ T. Pearson, ¹⁴⁹ M. Planer, ¹⁴⁹ R. Ruchti, ¹⁴⁹ J. Slaunwhite, ¹⁴⁹ N. Valls, ¹⁴⁹ M. Wayne, ¹⁴⁹
M. Wolf, ¹⁴⁹ A. Woodard, ¹⁴⁹ L. Antonelli, ¹⁵⁰ B. Bylsma, ¹⁵⁰ L. S. Durkin, ¹⁵⁰ S. Flowers, ¹⁵⁰ C. Hill, ¹⁵⁰ R. Hughes, ¹⁵⁰ K. Kotov,¹⁵⁰ T. Y. Ling,¹⁵⁰ D. Puigh,¹⁵⁰ M. Rodenburg,¹⁵⁰ G. Smith,¹⁵⁰ C. Vuosalo,¹⁵⁰ B. L. Winer,¹⁵⁰ H. Wolfe,¹⁵⁰ H. W. Wulsin,¹⁵⁰ E. Berry,¹⁵¹ P. Elmer,¹⁵¹ V. Halyo,¹⁵¹ P. Hebda,¹⁵¹ J. Hegeman,¹⁵¹ A. Hunt,¹⁵¹ P. Jindal,¹⁵¹ S. A. Koay,¹⁵¹ P. Lujan,¹⁵¹ D. Marlow,¹⁵¹ T. Medvedeva,¹⁵¹ M. Mooney,¹⁵¹ J. Olsen,¹⁵¹ P. Piroué,¹⁵¹ X. Quan,¹⁵¹ A. Raval,¹⁵¹ H. Saka,¹⁵¹

D. Stickland,¹⁵¹ C. Tully,¹⁵¹ J. S. Werner,¹⁵¹ S. C. Zenz,¹⁵¹ A. Zuranski,¹⁵¹ E. Brownson,¹⁵² A. Lopez,¹⁵² H. Mendez,¹⁵³ J. E. Ramirez Vargas,¹⁵² E. Alagoz,¹⁵³ D. Benedetti,¹⁵³ G. Bolla,¹⁵³ D. Bortoletto,¹⁵³ M. De Mattia,¹⁵³ A. Everett,¹⁵³ Z. Hu,¹⁵³ M. Jones,¹⁵³ B. C. Radburn-Smith,¹⁵³ I. Shipsey,¹⁵³ D. Silvers,¹⁵³ J. Maroussov,¹⁵³ P. Merkel,¹⁵³ D. H. Miller,¹⁵³ N. Neumeister,¹⁵³ B. C. Radburn-Smith,¹⁵³ I. Shipsey,¹⁵³ D. Silvers,¹⁵³ A. Svyatkovskiy,¹⁵³ F. Wang,¹⁵³ W. Xie,¹⁵³ H. D. Yoo,¹⁵³ J. Zablocki,¹⁵³ Y. Zheng,¹⁵³ N. Parashar,¹⁵⁴ A. Adair,¹⁵⁵ B. Akgun,¹⁵⁵ K. M. Ecklund,¹⁵⁵ F. J. M. Geurts,¹⁵⁵ B. Michlin,¹⁵⁵ B. Padley,¹⁵⁵ R. Redjimi,¹⁵⁵ J. Roberts,¹⁵⁵ J. Zabel,¹⁵⁵ B. Betchar,¹⁵⁶ A. Bodek,¹⁵⁶ R. Covarelli,¹⁵⁶ P. de Barbaro,¹⁵⁶ R. Demina,¹⁵⁶ Y. Eshaq,¹⁵⁶ T. Ferbel,¹⁵⁶ A. Garcia-Bellido,¹⁵⁶ P. Goldenzweig,¹⁵⁶ J. Han,¹⁵⁶ A. Harel,¹⁵⁶ D. C. Miner,¹⁵⁶ G. Petrillo,¹⁵⁶ D. Vishnevskiy,¹⁵⁶ M. Zielinski,¹⁵⁶ A. Bartter,¹⁵⁸ S. Contreras-Campana,¹⁵⁸ D. C. Miner,¹⁵⁸ D. Duggan,¹⁵⁸ D. Ferencek,¹⁵⁸ Y. Gershtein,¹⁵⁸ S. Salur,¹⁵⁸ S. Contreras-Campana,¹⁵⁸ E. Ontreras-Campana,¹⁵⁸ D. Duggan,¹⁵⁸ D. Ferencek,¹⁵⁸ V. Rekovic,¹⁵⁸ J. Robe,¹⁵⁸ S. Salur,¹⁵⁸ S. Schnetzer,¹⁵⁸ C. Seitz,¹⁵⁸ S. Somalwar,¹⁵⁸ S. Panwalkar,¹⁵⁸ M. Park,¹⁵⁸ W. Rekovic,¹⁵⁸ J. Robels,¹⁵⁸ S. Salur,¹⁵⁸ S. Schnetzer,¹⁵⁸ C. Seitz,¹⁵⁸ S. Somalwar,¹⁵⁹ O. Bouhali,^{160,161} R. Eusebi,¹⁶⁰ W. Flanagan,¹⁶⁰ J. Gilmore,¹⁶⁰ T. Kamon,^{160,161} Y. Knutelyov,¹⁶⁰ A. Tatarinov,¹⁶⁰ D. Toback,¹⁶⁰ N. Akchurin,¹⁶¹ C. Cowden,¹⁶¹ J. Damgov,¹⁶¹ C. Dragoiu,¹⁶¹ P. R. Dudero,¹⁶¹ A. Kovitanggoon,¹⁶² W. Johns,¹⁶² C. Maguire,¹⁶² Y. Mao,¹⁶³ B. Francis,¹⁶³ A. Jeolok,¹⁶⁴ P. Sheldon,¹⁶³ S. Tuo,¹⁶⁴ A. Guerola,¹⁶⁴ M. Wartenton,¹⁶³ B. Coucle,¹⁶⁴ J. Damgov,¹⁶¹ P. Sheldon,¹⁶⁵ S. Tuo,¹⁶⁵ A. Guerola,¹⁶⁴ A. Ledvoska,¹⁶² M. W. Arenton,¹⁶³ S. Goulapinni,¹⁶⁴ R. Harr,¹⁶⁴ P. Sheldo

(CMS Collaboration)

¹Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

²Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

³National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

⁴Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

⁵Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

⁶Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

⁷Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁸Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

⁹Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

¹⁰Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

¹¹Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

^{12a}Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil

^{12b}Universidade Federal do ABC, São Paulo, Brazil

¹³Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria

¹⁴University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

¹⁵Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China

¹⁶State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China

Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia

¹⁸Technical University of Split, Split, Croatia

¹⁹University of Split, Split, Croatia

²⁰Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia

²¹University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus ²²Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

²³Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of

High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt

²⁴National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia

²⁵Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

²⁶Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland

²⁷Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland

²⁸DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France ²⁹Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France ³⁰Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France ³¹Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/ IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France ³²Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France ³³Institute of High Energy Physics and Informatization, Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia ⁴*RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany* ³⁵RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany ³⁶RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany ³⁷Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany ³⁸University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany ³⁹Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany ⁴⁰Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece ⁴¹University of Athens, Athens, Greece ⁴²University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece ⁴³Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary ⁴⁴Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary ⁴⁵University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary ⁴⁶National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India Panjab University, Chandigarh, India ⁴⁸University of Delhi, Delhi, India ⁴⁹Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India ⁵⁰Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India ⁵¹Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - EHEP, Mumbai, India ⁵²Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HECR, Mumbai, India ⁵³Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran ⁵⁴University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland ^{55a}INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{55b}Università di Bari, Bari, Italy ⁵⁵ Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{56a}INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{56b}Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{57a}INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy ^{57b}Università di Catania, Catania, Italy ⁵⁷cCSFNSM, Catania, Italy ^{58a}INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ^{58b}Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ⁵⁹INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy ^{60a}INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{60b}Università di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{61a}INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^{61b}Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^{62a}INFN Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy ^{62b}Università di Napoli 'Federico II', Napoli, Italy ^{62c}Università della Basilicata (Potenza), Napoli, Italy ^{62d}Università G. Marconi (Roma), Napoli, Italy ^{63a}INFN Sezione di Padova, Padova, Italy ^{63b}Università di Padova, Padova, Italy ^{63c}Università di Trento (Trento), Padova, Italy ^{54a}INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{64b}Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{65a}INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{65b}Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{66a}INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{66b}Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{66c}Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{57a}INFN Sezione di Roma, Roma, Italy

^{67b}Università di Roma, Roma, Italy ^{68a}INFN Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy ^{68b}Università di Torino, Torino, Italy ^{68c}Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara), Torino, Italy ^{69a}INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ^{69b}Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ⁷⁰Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea ⁷¹Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea ⁷²Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea ⁷³Korea University, Seoul, Korea ⁷⁴University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea ⁷⁵Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea ⁶Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania ⁷⁷University of Malaya Jabatan Fizik, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ⁷⁸Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico ⁷⁹Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico ⁸⁰Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico ⁸¹Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico ⁸²University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand ⁸³University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand ⁸⁴National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan ⁵National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland ⁸⁶Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland 7 Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal ⁸⁸ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia ⁸⁹Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia ⁹⁰Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia ⁹¹Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia ⁹²P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia ⁹³Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia ⁹⁴State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia ⁹⁵University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia ⁹⁶Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain ⁹⁷Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain ⁹⁸Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain ⁹⁹Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain ¹⁰⁰CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland ¹⁰¹Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland ¹⁰²Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹⁰³Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹⁰⁴National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan ¹⁰⁵National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan ¹⁰⁶Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand ¹⁰⁷Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey ¹⁰⁸Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey ¹⁰⁹Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹¹⁰Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹¹¹National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine ¹¹²University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom ¹¹³Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom ¹¹⁴Imperial College, London, United Kingdom ¹¹⁵Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom ¹¹⁶Baylor University, Waco, USA ¹¹⁷The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA ¹¹⁸Boston University, Boston, USA ¹¹⁹Brown University, Providence, USA ¹²⁰University of California, Davis, Davis, USA ¹²¹University of California, Los Angeles, USA ¹²²University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA ¹²³University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA

¹²⁴University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA ²⁵California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA ¹²⁶Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA ¹²⁷University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA ¹²⁸Cornell University, Ithaca, USA ¹²⁹Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA ¹³⁰Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA ¹³¹University of Florida, Gainesville, USA ¹³²Florida International University, Miami, USA ¹³³Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA ¹³⁴Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA ¹³⁵University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA ¹³⁶The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA ¹³⁷Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA ¹³⁸The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA ¹³⁹Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA ¹⁴⁰Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA ¹⁴¹University of Maryland, College Park, USA ¹⁴²Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA ¹⁴⁴University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA ¹⁴⁵University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA ¹⁴⁶State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA ¹⁴⁷Northeastern University, Boston, USA ¹⁴⁸Northwestern University, Evanston, USA ¹⁴⁹University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA ¹⁵⁰The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA ¹⁵¹Princeton University, Princeton, USA ¹⁵²University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA ¹⁵³Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA ¹⁵⁴Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA ¹⁵⁵Rice University, Houston, USA ¹⁵⁶University of Rochester, Rochester, USA ¹⁵⁷The Rockefeller University, New York, USA ¹⁵⁸Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA ¹⁵⁹University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA ¹⁶⁰Texas A&M University, College Station, USA ¹⁶¹Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA ¹⁶²Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA ¹⁶³University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA ¹⁶⁴Wayne State University, Detroit, USA ¹⁶⁵University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA

^aDeceased.

- ^bAlso at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.
- ^cAlso at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ^dAlso at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France.
- ^eAlso at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia.
- ^fAlso at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
- ^gAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.
- ^hAlso at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA.
- ⁱAlso at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France.
- ^jAlso at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt.
- ^kAlso at Suez Canal University, Suez, Egypt.
- ¹Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^mAlso at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt.
- ⁿAlso at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^oNow at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^pAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.

- ^qAlso at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.
- ^rAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.
- ^sAlso at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA.
- ^tAlso at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^uAlso at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^vAlso at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research HECR, Mumbai, India.
- ^wNow at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
- ^xAlso at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- ^yAlso at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka.
- ^zAlso at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
- ^{aa}Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran.
- ^{bb}Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.
- ^{cc}Also at Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro dell'INFN, Legnaro, Italy.
- ^{dd}Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.
- ^{ee}Also at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) IN2P3, Paris, France.
- ^{ff}Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.
- ^{gg}Also at Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Morelia, Mexico.
- ^{hh}Also at National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland.
- ⁱⁱAlso at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{jj}Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{kk}Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.
- ¹¹Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.
- ^{mm}Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ⁿⁿAlso at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland.
- ⁰⁰Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{pp}Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland.
- ^{qq}Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.
- ^{rr}Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ^{ss}Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ^{tt}Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ^{uu}Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- ^{vv}Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ww}Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ^{xx}Also at Istanbul University, Faculty of Science, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{yy}Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{2Z}Also at Kahramanmaras Sütcü Imam University, Kahramanmaras, Turkey.
- ^{aaa}Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom.
- bbb Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ccc Also at INFN Sezione di Perugia, Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy.
- ^{ddd}Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.
- eee Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.
- fffAlso at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA.
- ^{ggg}Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- hhh Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- iii Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ^{jjj}Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.