

Building a planning tool for the E-Bike City

Conference Poster

Author(s): Scheiring, Elina; Bauckhage, Mischa; <u>Grisiute, Ayda</u> (b); Wiedemann, Nina; <u>Raubal, Martin</u> (b)

Publication date: 2024

Permanent link: https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000695506

Rights / license: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International



Building a planning tool for the **E-Bike City**

Elina Scheiring, Mischa Bauckhage, Ayda Grisiute, Nina Wiedemann, Martin Raubal Institute of Cartography and Geoinformation, ETH Zurich

1 Introduction

The E-Bike City project envisions a radical re-distribution of the available street space to prioritize cycling. To design the street network, we introduced a new mathematical approach that optimizes the trade-off between car and bike travel times through Pareto optimality [1].

However, accessible tools are needed to help planners use and evaluate optimization strategies for planning bike networks. We developed a user-friendly web application that enables planners to reallocate road space comparing multiple optimization strategies and bike and car network efficiency.

4 Discussion

- The web application allows for running a bike network optimization algorithms, dynamically visualizing and evaluating the resulting networks.
- The tool utilizes OpenStreetMap (OSM) data, making it adaptable to various locations.
- The dashboard allows to easily compare networks based on various criteria but should be expanded with more diverse set of metrics [2].
- Usability studies are needed to assess the user-friendliness of the developed web application.

2 Web-app design

User input Hönaa | new run To design a new bike network, please specify which planning algorithm you would like to use and set the parameters according to your preferences. Flexibly optimize neighbourhoods, districts or entire city networks. new rur Project name Optimize (O) Area of interest ở₀ How many lanes should become bike lanes? ⑦ 10 % of the lanes Factor by how much the perceived bike travel time increases if evolving on a car lane (2) A What should be the importance of the car travel time Chosen weighting: 0.7 OpenStreetMap networks are used as an initial network input. ↑ How often to re-run the optimization ⑦ Other necessary inputs for the Re-run algorithm 30 times

Optimized Bike and Car Network Visualization



Dashboard

80

70

50



Travel Times Changes e

3 Methods

additional criteria.

optimization strategies. The list can

be further extended to account for

Web application: the application architecture is built on a Vue.js, a Python Flask backend providing RESTful APIs and a PostgreSQL database for data storage. A GeoServer handles geospatial data services (WMS/WFS). The entire system is hosted on an Apache HTTP Linux Server of ETH.

Expected calculation time: ~0.92 min

Back

5 Conclusion

The web-based tool simplifies interaction with mathematical models for bike network optimization, improving anticipation of road space allocation impacts on other transportation modes.

-20

optimization scenarios.

Network design setting: The street infrastructure is assumed to be fixed, but lane widths and directions can be changed.

Available network optimization strategies:

- Optimization approach: a linear programming formulation minimizes a weighted sum of car and bike travel times.
- Betweenness Biketime: allocates bike lanes iteratively, starting with car lanes with high betweenness centrality.
- Betweenness Cartime: allocates bike lanes iteratively, starting with car lanes with low betweenness centrality.

Partner/Sponsor: 👽

Schweizerische Eidgenosse Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra



The web interface also aids urban planning by visualizing various scenarios, fostering collaboration, and enhancing communication among stakeholders.

References

- 1. Wiedemann, N., Nöbel, C., Martin, H., Ballo, L., & Raubal, M. (2024). Bike network planning in limited urban space. https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.01770
- 2. Grisiute, A., Wiedemann, N., Herthogs, P., & Raubal, M. (2024) An ontology-based approach for harmonizing metrics in bike network evaluations. under review. http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4769010_







wiss Federal Office of Energy SFO