


Formation of a GNSS network in space based on geodetic satellite missions

Other Conference Item**Author(s):**

Müller, Lukas; Rothacher, Markus; [Soja, Benedikt](#) ; Jäggi, Adrian; Arnold, Daniel

Publication date:

2024-07-18

Permanent link:

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000691715>

Rights / license:


[In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted](#)



Formation of a GNSS network in space
based on geodetic satellite missions


Lukas Müller, Markus Rothacher, Benedikt Soja,
Adrian Jäggi and Daniel Arnold

Motivation



- Increasing number of LEOs with GNSS receivers
- Possibility to form GNSS baselines connecting multiple satellites
 - Double-difference processing
 - Polyhedron in space with highly accurate relative geometry
- Idea: use space-based GNSS double-differences to estimate
 - LEO and GNSS satellite orbits
 - Earth's gravity field
 - Ionospheric tomography
- Study: Formation of a pseudo-constellation using GNSS data from geodetic Earth observation missions


Motivation




- Increasing number of LEOs with GNSS receivers
- Possibility to form GNSS baselines connecting multiple satellites
 - Double-difference processing
 - Polyhedron in space with highly accurate relative geometry
- Idea: use space-based GNSS double-differences to estimate
 - LEO and GNSS satellite orbits
 - Earth's gravity field
 - Ionospheric tomography
- Study: Formation of a pseudo-constellation using GNSS data from geodetic Earth observation missions

Data

GPS observations from 13 geodetic satellite missions in August 2021




Orbits on 01/08/2021




Method

Network processing setup



Method

Network processing setup




Single-satellite orbit determination

Processing strategy


<i>Software</i>	Bernese GNSS software 5.3
<i>Observations</i>	GPS L1/L2 phase and code observations
Gravity model	GOCO06s
<i>Non-gravitational forces</i>	No modelling
Antenna offset, PCV, PCO	applied
Parameterization	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Constant accelerations (r,s,w)- Once-per-revolution periodicity (r,s,w)- Piecewise-constant accelerations every 6 min
Arc-length	1 day
Sampling	10 s

Single-satellite orbit determination

Differences between kinematic and reduced-dynamic orbit fits (Daily RMS values averaged over one month)




Carrier-phase L3 residuals



Baseline formation

Daily number of GPS L1+L2 averaged over one month




- Most baseline observations for formation-flying satellites
 - GRACE-C and -D
 - SWARM-A and -C
- Smaller number of observations for the tandem Sentinel-6 and Jason-3
- Generally fewer observations for Jason-3
 - Orbit height
 - Data screening on zero-difference level


Baseline formation

Integer ambiguity resolution: Median over one month

Widelane ambiguities




Narrowlane ambiguities




Baseline formation

Integer ambiguity resolution: GRACE-C/D

Fixing rate per day




Fractional parts of estimated ambiguities




Baseline formation

Integer ambiguity resolution: SWARM-A/C

Fixing rate per day




Fractional parts of estimated ambiguities




Baseline formation

Integer ambiguity resolution: SWARM-A – Sentinel-3A

Fixing rate per day



Fractional parts of estimated ambiguities



Conclusions

- Good ambiguity fixing rates mainly for GRACE-FO C/D and partly for SWARM A/C
- No resolved narrowlane ambiguities at all for baselines involving Sentinel-1 and -2
 - Poor a-priori orbits and time difference > 1 sec between combined observations
- No resolution of widelane ambiguities for the Sentinel-6A and Jason-3 tandem
 - General problem with wideline fixing with Sentinel-6A due to different types of code observations
 - Poor quality of Jason-3 code observations
- The advantages of network processing in space will only take effect with a high number (> 50) and a uniform distribution of satellites

Next steps

- Improvements of force modelling → inclusion non-gravitational forces
- Refined estimation of PCOs/PCVs
- Better strategies for ambiguity-resolution, e.g. bootstrapping with iterations over the entire constellation