ETH zürich

Corneal Strain Induced by Intracorneal Ring Segment Implantation Visualized With Optical Coherence Elastography

Journal Article

Author(s): Torres-Netto, Emilio A.; Kling, Sabine

Publication date: 2022-03

Permanent link: https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000539656

Rights / license: In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted

Originally published in: Journal of Refractive Surgery 38(3), <u>https://doi.org/10.3928/1081597X-20211214-01</u>

Funding acknowledgement:

174113 - Measuring local corneal biomechanical properties by multi-frequency vibrography: Moving towards an earlier diagnosis of pathologies and personalized computer simulations (SNF)

1 Corneal strain induced by intrastromal ring segment implantation visualized

2 with optical coherence elastography

3 Emilio A. Torres-Netto^{1,2,3,4}, MD, PhD, Sabine Kling⁵, PhD

- 4
- ⁵ ¹ Laboratory of Ocular Cell Biology, Center for Applied Biotechnology and Molecular
- 6 Medicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- 7 ² Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland
- ⁸ ³ Department of Ophthalmology, Paulista School of Medicine, Federal University of
- 9 Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil
- ⁴ Department of Ophthalmology, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich,
- 11 Zurich, Switzerland
- ¹² ⁵ OPTIC team, Computer Vision Laboratory, ETH Zurich, Switzerland
- 13
- 14 *Word count*: 2365
- 15
- 16 Corresponding author:
- 17 Sabine Kling, OPTIC-team, Computer Vision Laboratory, Department of Information
- 18 Technology and Electrical Engineering, ETH Zurich, Sternwartstrasse 7, 8092 Zurich,
- 19 Switzerland, klings@ee.ethz.ch
- 20
- 21 Financial disclosure:
- 22 SK (none), ET (none)
- 23
- 24

25 **Abstract:**

Purpose: To record the axial strain field in the cornea directly after creating a stromal
 tunnel and implanting an intracorneal ring segment (ICRS).

28 Methods: Freshly enucleated porcine eyes were obtained and assigned either to 29 ICRS implantation, tunnel creation only or virgin control. Immediately after manual 30 tunnel creation and ICRS positioning, the entire eye globe was mounted on a 31 customized holder and intraocular pressure (IOP) was adjusted to 15 mmHg. Then, 32 IOP was increased in steps of 1 mmHg to 20 mmHg and decreased again. At each 33 step, an optical coherence tomography volume scan was recorded. Displacements between subsequent scans were retrieved using a vector-based phase difference 34 35 method. The induced corneal strain direction was determined by taking the axial 36 gradient. In addition, corneal surface was detected and sagittal curvature maps 37 computed.

Results: Corneal tissue presented a localized compressive strain in the direct vicinity of the stromal tunnel, which was independent on IOP change. The central and peripheral (exterior to the ICRS) cornea demonstrated compressive strains upon IOP increase, and tensile strains upon IOP decrease. ICRS induced an annular shaped tensile strain at its inner border, particularly during IOP increase. The compressive strains close to the tunnel remained after ICRS implantation. Corneal curvature changes were concentrated on regions where strain was induced.

45 Conclusions: ICRS implantation induces localized strains in the regions subjected to
46 refractive changes, suggesting that corneal strain and curvature are directly related.
47 Studying corneal strain in response to surgical intervention may provide new insights
48 on underlying working principles.

49 Introduction:

50 Intracorneal ring segments (ICRS) have been developed as a tool for refractive correction in myopia¹, astigmatism² and especially corneal ectasias like keratoconus³. 51 52 ICRS have been designed to selectively flatten the cornea and consequently achieve 53 a refractive adjustment. Changes in corneal shape can be roughly predicted by the Barraguer thickness law⁴: in order to achieve a similar refractive outcome, either an 54 equal amount of material could be theoretically removed from the central cornea or be 55 56 added to the corneal periphery. In this sense, additive surgery like ICRS implantation 57 is a technique of great potential as it permits a potentially permanent refractive 58 correction without introducing a structural weakening, such as with laser ablation. Yet, 59 the most important downside is that ICRS implantation remains poorly predictable. 60 Commercially available ICRS are typically made of polymethylmethacrylate and are available in different dimensions with variations in thickness (150 to 350 µm), arc 61 length (90 to 240°), optical zone (5 or 6 mm diameter), base width (600 to 800 μ m) 62 63 and cross-sectional shape (triangular, hexagonal, oval). Thicker rings with larger base 64 width and small optical zone are considered to induce the highest correction⁵, while 65 long arc lengths (>180°) are rather used for myopic correction and short arc lengths 66 for astigmatic corrections ⁶.

67 Clinical studies have mainly assessed geometrical and refractive changes associated 68 with ICRS implantation^{3,7} and accordingly nomograms were created. Such 69 nomograms recommend ICRS dimension based on the location of the cone with 70 regard to a reference meridian. It has also been suggested that the keratoconus 71 phenotype visible on the refractive curvature map should be considered for ICRS 72 geometrical design and selection.⁸ Numerical studies have addressed ICRS 73 implantation from a more theoretical perspective. Especially parametric analyses are

helpful to disentangle the effect of different geometric and surgical factors.^{9–11}
However, these models often overestimate the achieved outcome, possibly because
the corneal material is not sufficiently well characterized, or because long-term postsurgical processes such as epithelial or corneal remodeling have not been accounted
for.

79 We hypothesize that in order to better understand the underlying mechanisms of the 80 ICRS-induced refractive change, it would be fundamental to quantify the stress and 81 strain fields provoked by ICRS implantation. A recent numerical study evaluated the change in corneal stress distribution after ICRS implantation⁹ and found that in the 82 anterior stroma stress relaxed, while in the posterior stroma stress increased. 83 84 Interestingly, the authors also showed that the ICRS implants were not able to stiffen 85 the cornea globally. In the direct surrounding of the implant, stress was reported to 86 have an uneven distribution, which was not further specified. Strain is the direct 87 (deformation) response of the cornea to a stress field induced either physiologically 88 through the intraocular pressure (IOP), or e.g. during refractive surgery. In an isotropic material, strain is linearly related to stress, but even in an anisotropic material like the 89 90 cornea, the strain field is an important piece of information for mechanical 91 characterization. To the best of our knowledge, the strain field induced in response to ICRS implantation has not been measured before. 92

Recently, we reported a novel technique^{12,13} to visualize corneal strain based on optical coherence tomography (OCT) imaging and small-amplitude IOP modulation that permits an evaluation of corneal biomechanics in a condition very close to the eye's natural state. Applied to patterned corneal cross-linking,¹⁴ this approach was able to detect a positive shift in strain limited to the irradiated (i.e. treated) area. While this information is not only relevant for a better understanding of involved

99 biomechanical processes in refractive treatments, it might also be an indicator of 100 treatment success. The purpose of the current study was to experimentally quantify 101 the axial strain field that is induced during ICRS implantation at different steps of the 102 surgery.

103

104 **Methods**:

105 Implantation procedure

Freshly enucleated porcine eyes were obtained from the slaughterhouse and used 106 107 within 8 hours. The ICRS investigated in here had a triangular cross-section, a 108 thickness of 300 μ m, an optical zone of 5 mm and did span over an arc length of 325° 109 (Keraring, Mediphacos Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil). This geometry was chosen to guarantee a pronounced effect even in the porcine cornea, which is thicker 110 than the human cornea (878 μ m¹⁵ vs 515 μ m¹⁶) the ICRS was designed for. 111 112 Furthermore, a large arc length was taken to maximize the induced strains. Tunnel 113 creation was performed manually with dissectors dedicated for this purpose. The 114 control conditions consisted of (i) a full (360°) corneal tunnel only and (ii) a virgin 115 cornea.

116

117 Optical coherence elastography (OCE)

Imaging was conducted with a spectrometer based custom-built optical coherence tomography system described earlier.^{12,14} Briefly, the system had an axial and lateral resolution of 3.9 and 12.4 μ m in tissue, respectively. The intraocular pressure (IOP) was adjusted to 15 mmHg before the first measurement was taken. Subsequently, the IOP was increased in steps of 1 mmHg from 15 to 20 mmHg and back to 15 mmHg using a needle connected to a water column and a syringe. At each pressure step, a

124 volume scan consisting of 1000 x 100 A-scans spanning over an area of 10x10 mm was recorded. Large scale motion (more than 1 pixel) between two subsequently 125 recorded volume scans was determined using a cross-correlation approach. Then 126 127 axially induced corneal strain was determined by calculating the axial gradient (in 128 direction of the OCT beam) of the phase difference between the two scans, following a vector-based phase approach described in more detail earlier.^{12,14} In this context, 129 axial compressive strains (meaning tissue compaction) can be observed during IOP 130 131 increase and axial tensile strains (meaning tissue expansion / stretching) during IOP decrease. Our previous study demonstrated¹² adequate controls with similar post-132 mortem time are important when looking at comparisons of the strain profile. 133 134 Therefore, we paid attention that the different conditions were measured in close 135 temporal distance. Overall, the measurement of a single cornea took 5 min.

136

137 <u>Curvature analysis</u>

Surface detection of the anterior cornea was implemented by strongest reflection tracking starting from the apex. The mean surface corresponding to an IOP between 15 and 20 mmHg was used for subsequent analyses. Next, the highest point of the cornea was determined and corneal elevation centered on this point. Finally, sagittal radius of curvature was computed and converted into dioptric power using a corneal refractive index of 1.375.

144

145 **Results**:

146 Optical coherence elastography

Figure 1 presents the cross-sectional view of the corneal structure and axial strain
during pressure increase and decrease. As expected, during pressure increase

149 corneas experienced compression resulting in negative axial strain and during 150 pressure decrease corneas recovered resulting in positive axial strain. The virgin 151 cornea demonstrated a homogenous strain distribution across the entire cornea (panel 152 a). Manual tunnel creation alone did cause localized compressive axial strain above 153 and below the cut (panel b), independent of pressure increase or decrease, while the 154 central portion of the cornea showed a similar response as the virgin cornea.

155 Figure 2 presents corneal cross-sectional strain distribution after ICRS implantation at different locations of the cornea. In the periphery – outside of the ICRS – the tissue 156 157 showed similar compressive and tensile behavior as the virgin cornea. In the direct 158 vicinity of the corneal tunnel (panel a), the compressive strain was similar to the cornea 159 with tunnel creation only. At the outer edge of the ICRS (panel b), during IOP increase 160 the implant induced tensile strain located under the bottom corners, which got further 161 enhanced during IOP decrease. Furthermore, compressive strain was induced at the 162 peak of the ICRS, particularly during IOP increase. At approx. ¹/₄ of the ICRS (panel 163 c), the implant caused tensile strain in the anterior cornea, precisely coinciding with 164 the region between the two arcs of the ICRS, both during IOP increase and decrease. 165 Towards the center of the ICRS where the distance between the arcs were higher (panel d), the implant induced localized tensile strains, which were predominantly 166 167 located in the posterior cornea. The central anterior cornea presented compressive 168 strain during IOP increase, which however did not fully recover during IOP decrease. 169 Figure 3 shows the corresponding enface view of corneal structure and axial strain

during pressure increase and decrease. The compressive strain in the vicinity of the tunnel is visible along its entire length. After ICRS implantation (panel c), during IOP increase a second ring of positive strain (red color) became visible at the inner edge of the ICRS, indicating a region of localized tissue relaxation. During IOP decrease

174 mostly positive strains were observed, hence localized relaxation due to ICRS 175 implantation is visible with less contrast. Notably however, after ICRS implantation the 176 strain amplitude in the center was higher than in virgin and tunnel-only controls. **Table** 177 **1** summarizes the axial strain distribution in the cornea observed with the different 178 conditions.

179 Axial strain profile

180 **Figure 4** presents the axial strain profile during IOP increase in the most central optical 181 zone of 2 mm diameter as well as the corresponding axial displacement as a function 182 of IOP. During IOP increase (panel a), central corneal strain in virgin and tunnel-only corneas were similar and tended to have small negative values (indicating 183 184 compression) throughout the whole cornea. In contrast, corneas with an ICRS 185 implanted presented a pronounced - yet not significant - positive axial strain 186 (relaxation) in the posterior 20% of the tissue. During IOP decrease (panel b), corneal 187 strain values were generally larger and had positive sign, except in the anterior 10% 188 of the cornea where negative strains were observed. Similar to IOP increase, after 189 ICRS implantation the posterior 20% of the cornea demonstrated significantly higher 190 positive strain values than virgin or tunnel-only corneas (at 850µm depth: virgin vs ICRS, p=0.003; tunnel-only vs ICRS, p=0.026) indicating stronger posterior relaxation 191 192 with ICRS. Axial displacement (panel c) was largest in the virgin cornea and smallest after ICRS implantation. Noticeably, the induced deformation during IOP modulation 193 194 was mostly reversible in the cornea with ICRS, however all conditions demonstrated 195 a hysteresis. Hysteresis in this context refers to the remaining deformation after IOP 196 modulation, which was assessed the second time an IOP of 15 mmHg was reached 197 (accumulated deformation in panel C).

198 Curvature analysis

199 Figure 5 presents the sagittal curvature map of the cornea before and after ICRS 200 implantation. Tunnel creation alone (panel a) left corneal curvature unaffected 201 (41.1±2.1 diopters), except for few localized aberrations. After ICRS implantation 202 (panel b) a pronounced decrease in corneal curvature to 21.8±9.0 diopters was observed inside the ICRS implantation area, which went along with a ring of curvature 203 204 increase to 53.5±8.9 diopters located in the periphery, outside of the ICRS implantation. Interestingly, the region that flattened most did match surprisingly well 205 206 with the region in which localized strain alterations were observed, compare dashed 207 reference circles in Figures 3 and 5.

208

209 **Discussion**:

210 We report for the first-time corneal strain alterations resulting from ICRS implantation 211 measured under close-to-physiologic loading conditions. We demonstrate that 212 localized corneal curvature changes are mostly restricted to the region in which 213 corneal strain is induced. This observation is in line with previous literature suggesting 214 that the regularizing effect of an ICRS on the cornea is attributed to local bulking rather 215 than globalized stiffening.⁹ The observed annular region of positive strain that was 216 induced interior to the ICRS corresponds to the predicted relaxation of the anterior 217 cornea in simulations⁹. The corneal strain profile demonstrated that in the central 218 tissue posterior relaxation was even more dominant. Due to the positive sign of strains 219 induced by the ICRS, differences were more apparent in OCT images during IOP 220 increase (global stressing) than decrease (global relaxation). Overall, the location of ICRS-based strains matched well with the observed sagittal curvature changes 221 222 suggesting that corneal strain amplitude and curvature were directly related. 223 Interestingly, the induced displacement was more reversible after ICRS implantation.

224 This was likely a result of the ICRS reducing corneal strain by taking up part of the 225 applied stress, which results in shifting the physiologic state towards the left (i.e. towards the linear elastic region) in the stress-strain diagram and reducing the risk of 226 227 plastic deformation. In the end, these observations suggest that corneal tissue interior to a 325° arc length ICRS becomes protected from mechanical stress, which might be 228 229 favorable for preventing keratoconus progression, if the implant is located close to the 230 focal weakening. Since the distribution of stress may be potentially different when two 231 segments of ICRS are implanted - instead of just one, as this study evaluated - this 232 same hypothesis might not be interchangeable in such a situation. Further studies are 233 needed to evaluate such a hypothesis.

234 Corneal tunnel creation alone did only cause few localized optical aberrations, which 235 were likely associated with epithelial defects. The fact that compressive strains in the 236 vicinity of the tunnel were independent of IOP increase or decrease indicates that 237 these strains likely resulted from tissue insult during manual tunnel creation. Manual 238 creation of corneal tunnel requires the use of a dissector that tears up the cornea along 239 a lamellar plane by rotating the dissector. Due to this local application of brute force, 240 it is reasonable to expect a longer lasting mechanical impact on neighboring tissue that even outlasts the measurement period of the current study. 241

Interestingly, the induced sagittal curvature changes observed after ICRS implantation were substantially higher (-19.3 diopters) than expected clinically (~ -6 diopters) for long-arc ICRS dimensions^{17,18}. On one hand, this difference may be expected from the fact that refractive corrections after ICRS implantation decrease within the initial post-operative time. Assessment of the refractive state in clinical studies occurred as early as 1-day post-operative¹⁹. Follow-up after 3 months showed that refractive corrections became 13% less pronounced¹⁹, suggesting that corneal stroma and

249 epithelium undergo important remodeling after surgery. On the other hand, this 250 difference could be related to the fact that tunnel depth was slightly shallower (56 to 251 64%) in the current study than recommended in patients (70% to 75%). A numerical study¹⁰ suggests that a more shallow implantation depth provokes a larger reduction 252 253 of spherical equivalent. An additional interesting point observed here was the 254 compressive strain induced at the outer edge of the ICRS, particularly during the IOP 255 increase. Clinically, such a compressive force could explain late extrusions and 256 recurrent epithelial erosions observed in cases where the rings are superficially 257 implanted.

258 This study is not exempt of limitations. First of all, the stromal tunnel was created 259 manually without access to a surgical microscope, which made it challenging to 260 achieve an appropriate tunnel depth and avoid corneal penetration. However, corneas 261 that had perforation were naturally excluded from this analysis. Second, the resulting 262 limited number of eyes that were successfully implanted and measured. Third, the fact 263 that healthy porcine eves were investigated. Porcine corneas are reportedly^{15,16} thicker than human corneas (factor ~1.7). The difference is even more pronounced 264 265 when considering ectatic (e.g. keratoconic) human corneas, in which thickness is locally reduced and in which ICRS are typically implanted. Therefore, the strain 266 267 amplitude and strain pattern observed in the current study may result noticeably 268 different in those corneas. Future research is demanded to overcome these issues 269 and to quantify the induced strain field with different ICRS dimensions, arc lengths and depths of corneal implantation, as well as in a disease model of corneal ectasia. 270

In conclusion, the current study proves the usefulness of OCE for the assessment of the spatially highly-resolved strain field induced by additive surgery such as ICRS implantation. In particular, we demonstrated that the corneal curvature map and the

- axial strain field are directly related, which might open a new way to better understand
- and predict the underlying mechanisms of ICRS surgery.
- 276
- 277

278 Acknowledgement:

This study received funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation (Ambizione PZ00P2_174113 to SK). The authors thank Mediphacos (Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil) for sponsoring the intrastromal ring segments and the surgical kit required for implantation.

283 **References**:

- 1. Schanzlin, D. J., Asbell, P. A., Burris, T. E. & Durrie, D. S. The Intrastromal
- 285 Corneal Ring Segments: Phase II Results far the Correction of Myopia.

286 *Ophthalmology* **104**, 1067–1078 (1997).

- Arriola-Villalobos, P. *et al.* Intrastromal corneal ring segment implantation for high
 astigmatism after penetrating keratoplasty. *J. Cataract Refract. Surg.* 35, 1878–
 1884 (2009).
- 290 3. Miranda, D. et al. Ferrara intrastromal corneal ring segments for severe
- 291 *keratoconus*. (Slack Incorporated Thorofare, NJ, 2003).
- Barraquer, J. I. Modification of refraction by means of intracorneal inclusions. *Int. Ophthalmol. Clin.* 6, 53–78 (1966).
- 5. Giacomin, N. T. *et al.* Intracorneal ring segments implantation for corneal ectasia. *J. Refract. Surg.* **32**, 829–839 (2016).
- 296 6. Ruckhofer, J., Stoiber, J., Twa, M. D. & ünther Grabner, G. Correction of
- astigmatism with short arc-length intrastromal corneal ring segments: preliminary
- 298 results. *Ophthalmology* **110**, 516–524 (2003).
- 7. Kubaloglu, A. *et al.* Intrastromal corneal ring segment implantation for the
 treatment of keratoconus. *Cornea* **30**, 11–17 (2011).
- 301 8. Fernández-Vega Cueto, L. *et al.* Intrastromal corneal ring segment implantation
- in 409 paracentral keratoconic eyes. *Cornea* **35**, 1421–1426 (2016).
- 303 9. Ariza-Gracia, M. Á., Flecha-Lescún, J., Büchler, P. & Calvo, B. Corneal
- 304 biomechanics after intrastromal ring surgery: Optomechanical in silico
- 305 assessment. *Transl. Vis. Sci. Technol.* **9**, 26–26 (2020).

- 306 10. Ariza-Gracia, M. A., Flecha-Lescún, J., Calzada, B. C. & Büchler, P.
- Biomechanically-driven simulations of the MyoRing treatment in subjects with
 high myopia. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 61, 4722–4722 (2020).
- 309 11. Kling, S. & Marcos, S. Finite-element modeling of intrastromal ring segment
- 310 implantation into a hyperelastic cornea. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 54, 881–889
 311 (2013).
- 12. Kling, S., Khodadadi, H. & Goksel, O. Optical Coherence Elastography-Based

313 Corneal Strain Imaging During Low-Amplitude Intraocular Pressure Modulation.

314 *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **7**, (2019).

- 315 13. Kling, S., Torres-Netto, E. A., Spiru, B., Sekundo, W. & Hafezi, F. Quasi-static
- 316 optical coherence elastography to characterize human corneal biomechanical

317 properties. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **61**, 29–29 (2020).

- 318 14. Kling, S. Optical coherence elastography by ambient pressure modulation for
- high-resolution strain mapping applied to patterned cross-linking. J. R. Soc.
- 320 *Interface* **17**, 20190786 (2020).
- 321 15. Sanchez, I., Martin, R., Ussa, F. & Fernandez-Bueno, I. The parameters of the
 322 porcine eyeball. *Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* 249, 475–482 (2011).
- 323 16. OLSEN, T. & EHLERS, N. The thickness of the human cornea as determined by
- a specular method. *Acta Ophthalmol. (Copenh.)* **62**, 859–871 (1984).
- 325 17. Izquierdo Jr, L., Rodríguez, A. M., Sarquis, R. A., Altamirano, D. & Henriquez, M.
- 326 A. Intracorneal circular ring implant with femtosecond laser: Pocket versus
- 327 tunnel. *Eur. J. Ophthalmol.* 1120672121994729 (2021).
- 328 18. Sadoughi, M. M. et al. Femtosecond laser implantation of a 340-degree
- 329 intrastromal corneal ring segment in keratoconus: short-term outcomes. J.
- 330 *Cataract Refract. Surg.* **43**, 1251–1256 (2017).

- 331 19. Michael, R. *et al.* Refractive and biomechanical changes with intrastromal ring
- 332 segments for keratoconus. *Acta Ophthalmol. Scand.* **85**, (2007).

333

- 335 Figures:
- 336



337

Figure 1. Cross-sectional view of standard structural imaging (left panel) and strain imaging (a) in a virgin cornea, (b) after tunnel creation, during IOP increase (middle panel) and IOP decrease (right panel). Red color means positive axial strain (i.e. relaxation), blue color means negative axial strain (i.e. compression). Predominantly, axial compressive strains are observed during IOP increase and axial tensile strains during IOP decrease. After tunnel creation, localized compressive strains occurred in the direct vicinity of the tunnel.



346

Figure 2. Cross-sectional view with standard structural imaging (left panel) and strain imaging after ICRS (300μm thickness, arc length 325°) implantation at different

349 locations of the cornea from periphery (top line) to center (bottom line), during IOP 350 increase (middle panel) and IOP decrease (right panel). Red color means positive 351 axial strain (i.e. relaxation), blue color means negative axial strain (i.e. compression). 352 The panels a-d represent different locations on the cornea: at direct vicinity of the corneal tunnel (panel a), the outer edge of the ICRS (panel b), at approx. 1/4 of the 353 ICRS (panel c) and at a higher distance between arcs (panel d).ICRS implantation 354 355 introduced tensile strains located in the anterior cornea at the inner edge of the 356 segment, but hardly affected tissue strains in the periphery of the segment. The 357 implant also induced some localized strains in its direct vicinity.

358





Figure 3. Enface view with standard structural imaging (left panel) and strain imaging in (a) a virgin cornea, (b) after tunnel creation, (c) after ICRS (300µm thickness, arc length 325°) implantation, during IOP increase (middle panel) and IOP decrease (right panel). Dashed circles are a reference for comparison with Figure 5. Red color means relaxation, blue color means compression. After ICRS implantation a second ring of positive strain (red color) was observed at the inner edge of the ICRS, indicating localized tissue relaxation.



368

Figure 4. Axial strain profile in the central optical zone of 4mm diameter. (**A**) During IOP increase. Without an ICRS, strain amplitudes are mostly small and of compressive nature. With an ICRS, a trend towards tissue relaxation in the posterior cornea is observed. (**B**) During IOP decrease. Generally larger strain amplitudes are observed. With an ICRS, the posterior cornea demonstrated a significantly increased tissue relaxation. (**C**) Axial displacement. With an ICRS, the smallest displacement was observed.

376



377

Figure 5. Sagittal curvature map of the cornea with (A) tunnel creation only, (B) ICRS
 implantation. The dashed circle is a reference for comparison with Figure 3.
 Substantial corneal flattening was observed interior to the ICRS and localized corneal
 steepening in the direct periphery of the ICRS.

- 382
- 383
- 384
- 385 386
- 387

Table 1. Overview of axial strain in the 80% anterior and 20% posterior corneal stroma, both during IOP increase and decrease. Central refers to the region interior (i.e. the optical zone) of the ICRS. comp. = compressive (negative) strains; relax. = tensile (positive) strains

		IOP个		IOP↓	
		central	periphery	central	periphery
virgin	ant	comp.		relax.	
	post	comp.		relax.	
	ant	comp.	comp.	relax.	relax.

	tunnel-					
	only	post	comp.	comp.	relax.	relax.
_	ICRS	ant	comp.	comp.	relax.	relax.
		post	relax.	comp.	relax.↑	relax.
393						
394						