

On page 116 of the doctoral thesis, table 15 has an error with the standardized beta for the predictors “chemophobia” and “importance of naturalness” for the “gene editing” group. We apologize for the small error and we have fixed it in the table below.

Table 15. Experiment 1: Regression analyses on respondents' acceptance of crop-protection measures by group.

Acceptance of crop-protection measures

Predictors	Synthetic pesticides (n=168)			Natural pesticides (n=168)			Gene transfer (n=149)			Gene editing (n=158)		
	<i>B</i> (<i>se</i>)	95% <i>CI</i>	β	<i>B</i> (<i>se</i>)	95% <i>CI</i>	β	<i>B</i> (<i>se</i>)	95% <i>CI</i>	β	<i>B</i> (<i>se</i>)	95% <i>CI</i>	β
Constant	115.21 (11.32)	[92.86, 137.55]		83.41 (11.89)	[59.94, 106.89]		107.40 (13.42)	[80.87, 133.93]		115.93 (13.59)	[89.07, 142.78]	
Age	0.24 (0.12)	[0.01, 0.47]	0.15*	-0.07 (0.12)	[-0.30, 0.17]	-0.04	0.05 (0.14)	[-0.22, 0.31]	0.03	0.08 (0.13)	[-0.17, 0.33]	0.05
Gender	7.87 (3.91)	[0.15, 15.58]	0.14*	-5.63 (3.77)	[-13.07, 1.81]	-0.11	-1.38 (4.50)	[-10.27, 7.51]	-0.03	-7.58 (4.12)	[-15.73, 0.56]	-0.14
Education	-0.00 (1.23)	[-2.42, 2.42]	0.00	0.75 (1.22)	[-1.66, 3.15]	0.05	1.12 (1.47)	[-1.79, 4.03]	0.06	-1.94 (1.32)	[-4.55, 0.67]	-0.12
Chemophobia	-8.46 (2.06)	[-12.53, -4.38]	-0.32***	-9.04 (1.96)	[-12.92, -5.17]	-0.39***	-4.90 (2.29)	[-9.42, -0.37]	-0.19*	-5.17 (2.13)	[-9.37, -0.67]	-0.20*
Importance of naturalness in food	-14.74 (3.37)	[-21.40, -8.08]	-0.35***	2.08 (2.86)	[-3.58, 7.73]	0.06	-8.54 (3.56)	[-15.56, -1.51]	-0.21*	-11.08 (3.39)	[-17.78, -4.37]	-0.27**
R ² adjusted	0.25			0.14			0.08			0.15		
F (df1, df2)	11.83*** (5, 162)			6.44*** (5, 162)			3.64** (5, 143)			6.51*** (5, 152)		

B is the non-standardized regression coefficient. For *B*, the 95% confidence interval is shown. β is the standardized regression coefficient. df: degrees of freedom. Significance: * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; and *** $p < 0.001$.

Education encompass 7 different levels, from 1 (mandatory school) to 7 (university degree).