ETH zürich

Permeability and compaction behaviour of air-texturised glass fibre rovings: A characterisation study

Journal Article

Author(s):

Sandberg, Michael; Kabachi, Ayyoub; Volk, Maximilian (); Bo Salling, Filip; Ermanni, Paolo (); Hattel, Jesper H.; Spangenberg, Jon

Publication date: 2020-11-01

Permanent link: https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000418129

Rights / license: In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted

Originally published in: Journal of Composite Materials 54(27), <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0021998320926703</u> Permeability and compaction behaviour of air-texturised glass fibre rovings: a characterisation study Journal of Composite Materials XX(X):2–?? ©The Author(s) 0000 Reprints and permission: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/ToBeAssigned www.sagepub.com/ SAGE

- ² Michael Sandberg¹, Ayyoub Kabachi², Maximilian Volk², Filip Bo Salling¹, Paolo
- ³ Ermanni², Jesper H. Hattel¹, and Jon Spangenberg¹

Abstract

Air-texturisation is a process that adds bulkiness to bundles of fibres. In this study, the permeability and compaction behaviour of air-texturised glass fibre rovings are experimentally characterised and compared to conventional unidirectional (UD) rovings. Based on radial impregnation experiments and single-step compaction/decompaction tests, the following main findings are highlighted: Compared to conventional UD-rovings, the normalised permeability of the air-texturised rovings was approximately three times higher along the fibre direction and 40 times higher transverse to the fibre direction. Accordingly, the degree of anisotropy was approximately one magnitude lower. At a compaction pressure of 1 and 5 bar, the air-texturised rovings were compacted to a volume fraction of $V_f = 0.34$ and 0.43, respectively, which was approximately 30% lower than the volume fraction achieved for the conventional UD-rovings. Finally, it was observed that the decompaction of air-texturised rovings exhibits a more distinct elastic response when unloaded.

Keywords

5

Permeability; Compaction; Texturisation; Rovings; Liquid composite moulding

Nomenclature

A_w	Areal weight	$ ho_f$	Density of fibres
A_s	Spring constant, or fitting parameter	r	Radius, radial coordinate
'n	Displacement rate of crosshead	r_{f}	Radial distance to flow front
h	Cavity thickness in permeability cell and distance between discs in compaction tests	R_f	Fibre radius
K'	Quasi-isotropic permeability	r	Radius of inlet
k_1	Constant in power law	t	Time
K_i, ψ	Principal permeabilities and direction	u	Velocity
μ	Viscosity	V_f	Fibre volume fraction
n_i	Exponents in power law	V_0	Fibre volume fraction for sample at rest, or
p	Pressure		fitting parameter
p_i	Pressere at pressure sensor i	V_a	Maximum available fibre volume fraction,
p_{in}	Inlet pressure		or fitting parameter
Q	Inflow rate	x_i, x_i'	Spatial coordinates, global and local

6 Introduction

Impregnation flow is governed by the ability of fibrous materials to transmit a fluid during impregnation (the permeability) as well as the mechanical response when subject to a pressure state (the compaction behaviour defined as the constitutive relation between stress and strain or volume fraction). In liquid composite moulding (LCM) processes, most fibre-reinforced composite parts are composed of a layup of fabrics, mats, and flow 10 media. By designing a layup of appropriate fibre architectures, engineers can time the impregnation step to a 11 suitable process window. In pultrusion, which belongs to the family of LCM processes, a layup can consist 12 of rovings of fibres 1^{-4} . Here, instead of controlling the local permeability by selecting special types of fabrics 13 or mats, permeability can be altered by applying texturisation to rovings. Air-texturised rovings can also be 14 combined with matrix-forming filaments to form a commingled yarn, braided into technical fabrics, or directly 15 enter the fibre layup in other continuous composite manufacturing processes. 16

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark ²Laboratory of Composite Materials and Adaptive Structures, ETH Zürich

Corresponding author:

Produktionstorvet, Building 425, Room 225, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark Email: misan@mek.dtu.dk

In air-texturisation, one or more bundle of fibres are drawn through an air-jet nozzle, which creates a clutter of individual fibres and adds volume to the roving. The resulting roving is suitable for applications where a lower fibre volume fraction is desired and fast resin impregnation is critical. Fast impregnation flow is crucial for thick composite parts and a low fibre volume fraction can be a cost-wise desired choice for non-structural parts.

As the aforementioned characteristics are distinct features of air-texturised rovings, it is important to quantify what the effect of applying texturisation has on the impregnation flow when used in LCM processes. To the authors' knowledge, there exists no such characterisation studies of air-texturised rovings in the current literature and this study seeks to bridge this gap. As the focus of this study is permeability and compaction behaviour, we briefly review some of the existing literature concerning conventional fibrous materials.

27 State-of-the-art

Early models of compaction behaviour are based on the concept that bundles of fibres are essentially aligned 28 cylinders with multiple contact points. Following this terminology, Gutowski et al.^{5,6} introduced an analytical 29 model for the quasi-static nonlinear stress-strain behaviour of a bundle of fibres in terms of the compaction 30 pressure. Essentially, Gutowski et al.'s model describes the relationship between the compaction pressure, σ , 31 and the volume fraction, V_f , using a power-law function. The function is bounded by horizontal and vertical 32 asymptotes, which correspond to at some level the fibrous material is a rest, $\sigma \to 0$, and at another level, a 33 maximum fibre volume fraction is achieved, $V_f \rightarrow V_a$. In this context, V_a is often referred to as the "maximum 34 available fibre volume fraction", and is related to the densest possible fibre packing in the fibrous material. 35 In experiments, Gutowski et al.⁵ showed that misaligned (i.e. disordered) fibres will generally have a lower 36 V_a -value. 37

While other researchers have explored and developed additional compaction models for unidirectional fibres and fabrics ^{7–10}, generic polynomial expansions can also be applied for fitting experimental data ^{11–13}. The model proposed by Gutowski et al. ^{5,6} is suitable for a fibrous material exhibiting fully elastic behaviour, which means it provides a good fit for a fibre compaction without any subsequent unloading. Researchers have later reported that the response of fibrous materials exhibits both inelastic and time-dependent behaviour. These effects include
 irreversible deformation ^{14,15} and viscoelastic effects ^{16,17}. Furthermore, repeated loading and unloading yield a
 hysteresis with cyclic softening ^{18,19}.

For a bank of aligned fibres, there exist several semi-empirical models for estimating the permeability. These models are based on an idealised hexagonal or square packing of fibres, and their empirical background is commonly established using CFD simulations. While there are differences between the various models, permeability is seen to scale proportionally to the fibre radius squared, R_f^2 , and to decrease with increasing fibre volume fraction, V_f^{20-24} . In addition, models have shown that the permeability increases with increasing disordering of fibre packing^{25,26}.

Obviously, a bank of aligned cylinders is a poor representation of many fibre architectures, including 51 many fabrics and mats. Consequently, conducting impregnation and compaction experiments is a necessity 52 for material characterisation of many fibrous materials. As layups of mats and fabrics resemble the ply 53 configuration in many LCM processes, several setups for this type of material characterisation can be found 54 in the literature. For permeability characterisation, special moulds have been designed for controlled linear or 55 radial impregnation experiments (see e.g.^{27–29}). Similarly, for compaction experiments, a conventional universal 56 testing machine can be used to test the compaction behaviour of a layup of fabrics or mats^{17,19}. For some LCM 57 processes, a layup is not necessarily composed of fabrics or mats, but of single rovings. This can be the case in 58 pultrusion processes, where individual rovings are drawn directly through the pultrusion die. In such a layup, 59 rovings are not intertwined or bound by back-threading. A few studies of this type of layup can also be found 60 in the literature. For example, Schell et al.³⁰ were able to measure the longitudinal and transverse permeability 61 of this fibre configuration using a special mould enclosing a single roving. Bezerra et al.^{31,32} designed a setup 62 intended to represent pultrusion processes. In this setup, rovings are guided through a permeability cell or 63 compaction mould using perforated plates. This system fixates the stack of rovings while impregnation (radial) 64 or compaction experiments take place. 65

67

68

69

70

Based on the literature review in this section, we briefly discuss how air-texturisation is expected to affect the permeability and compaction behaviour of rovings. For this purpose, X-ray μ -CT scans of composite parts prepared with conventional UD-rovings as well as air-texturised rovings are depicted in Fig. 1. A description of how these scans were conducted can be found in Rasmussen et al.³³ and a summary is given in Appendix A.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, the fibre architecture of the part prepared with conventional UD-rovings has a high degree of uniformity. This configuration is seen to be well-represented by the concept that a bundle of fibres is essentially cylinders with multiple contact points. On the other hand, the part prepared with air-texturised rovings has a very disordered fibre architecture. The texturisation process introduces out-of-plane fibres as individual fibres cross over and intertwine. In addition, there are several areas with highly agglomerated fibres that increase the non-uniformity of fibre distribution as the figure illustrates.

In summary, the increased disorder in the fibre architecture is expected to increase the permeability 25,26 as well as decrease the compliance by lowering the "available fibre volume fraction"^{5,6}.

⁷⁹ [Figure 1 around here]

80 Method

⁸¹ Sample preparation

To prepare a layup where rovings were not intertwined or bound by back threading, rovings were drawn through two fibre guides mounted on a rack. See Fig. 2(a). The fibre guides were two polypropylene plates which were perforated with a hole pattern corresponding to the typical equipment used in pultrusion processes³². This pattern aligned five rovings per 15 mm. Essentially, this procedure was similar to Bezerra et al.^{31,32}, but instead of drawing rovings from individual bobbins, a single roving was drawn back and forth between the two perforated plates. This simplified the setup as the number of bobbins needed was reduced from between 50-100 to a single bobbin.

⁸⁹ [Figure 2 around here]

Prepared using sagej.cls

After the layup of rovings was prepared in the rack, a small amount of a powder soluble (approximately 2 g/sample of FILCO 661MG020, Coim) was sprinkled on the locations that were excess to the area in contact with the testing machine (Fig. 2(a)). This area was subsequently heated with a heat gun and clamped. Finally, an inlet hole (r = 7.5 mm) was cut (ZUND M1600 CNC textile cutting machine) in the samples for permeability tests, see Fig. 2(b). To ensure the inlet hole dimensions, the samples were placed on a vacuum table and cut beneath a vacuum foil. This preparation method gave a ply-like sample of rovings, where the testing area was unaffected of the processing steps.

97 Permeability experiments

The prepared sample was placed inside a permeability cell designed for radial injection tests. The permeability cell consisted of a 40 mm thick top and bottom solid steel plates. An industrial type press (30 tonnes) was used to close the top and bottom plate, and mechanical spacers ensured the cavity thickness (*h*). The setup can be seen in Fig. 3(a).

¹⁰² [Figure 3 around here]

¹⁰³ Through the inlet hole, a test fluid (Bluesil 47 V 100, 40-123.KN.K025, $\mu = 0.1$ Pa·s) was pumped into the ¹⁰⁴ centre of the sample at a constant injection rate. To verify that the dimensions and shape had not changed during ¹⁰⁵ impregnation, the inlet hole was visually inspected before and after the experiments. While the impregnation ¹⁰⁶ took place, seven pressure sensors in the bottom plate of the permeability cell continuously monitored the ¹⁰⁷ development in fluid pressure. Six of the sensors were located in a radial pattern on one-half of the bottom plate ¹⁰⁸ and one sensor was positioned at the inlet (see Fig. 3(b)).

As the method for estimating the permeability in this paper was based on the work by Louis et al.³⁴, only a summary of the approach is given below. Please note that the same setup was used in a recent benchmark exercise, see May et al.²⁹.

The permeability measurements were based on closed-form solutions of Darcy's law for creeping flow in porous media assuming a fully developed saturated zone, constant fluid viscosity, incompressible fluid, rigid fibrous material, and a negligible level of capillary pressure. Darcy's law states that the fluid velocity, **u**, scales proportionally to the fluid pressure gradient:

$$(1 - V_f)\mathbf{u} = \frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mu}\nabla p \tag{1}$$

where **K** is permeability tensor of the fibrous material and μ is the viscosity of the fluid. The volume fraction was calculated based on the areal weight of each sample, A_w , and the cavity thickness in the testing machine, *h*, specifically:

$$V_f = \frac{A_w}{\rho_f h} \tag{2}$$

where ρ_f is the density of fibres ($\rho_f = 2550 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$, E-glass fibres).

The fibrous material will in this relation be referred to as the porous medium and it is characterised with principal permeabilities, K_1 and K_2 . These permeabilities apply for the orientation angle, ψ , where off-diagonal terms in the permeability tensor **K** are zero. For aligned fibres, K_1 follows the fibre direction and K_2 is transverse to the fibre direction. The analytical solution for the radial impregnation flow in an anisotropic porous medium was based on a coordinate transformation of the anisotropic domain into a quasi-isotropic domain^{35,36}. The quasi-isotropic permeability and the coordinate transformation follow the relation (see Fig. 3(b)):

$$K' = \sqrt{K_1 K_2}, \quad x'_1 = \sqrt{K'/K_1} x_1, \quad x'_2 = \sqrt{K'/K_2} x_2$$
 (3)

In the quasi-isotropic domain, the flow front develops in the shape of a circle. By simple geometrical considerations, a relation between time, t, and the radius of this circle, r_f , was established:

$$r_f(t) = \sqrt{\frac{Qt}{\pi (1 - V_f)h} + r_{in}^2}$$
(4)

where Q is the inflow rate of the test fluid. The pressure at the inlet was found using the relation:

$$p_{in}(t) = \frac{Q\mu}{4\pi hK'} \ln\left(1 + \frac{Qt}{(1 - V_f)\pi hr_{in}^2}\right)$$
(5)

Prepared using sagej.cls

Once the inlet pressure and flow front radius, r_f , was determined, the pressure level within the saturated domain was estimated as:

$$p(r,t) = p_{in}(t) \left(1 - \frac{\log(r/r_{in})}{\log(r_f/r_{in})} \right)$$
(6)

The estimated pressure level in the saturated domain was then mapped to individual sensor locations, $p(x_i, y_i)$, using the principal direction, ψ , and the coordinate transformation in Eq. (3). Finally, the principal permeabilities and direction, K_1 , K_2 , and ψ , were determined by minimising the sum of squared residuals wrt. the measured pressure level of sensor i, $p_{i,exp}$:

$$\text{Residual} = \sum_{i}^{n_{sensor}} \left(p_{i,exp} - p(x_i, y_i) \right)^2 \tag{7}$$

where n_{sensor} is the number of pressure sensors. As the impregnation took place and the impregnated zone expanded, the principal permeabilities and direction, K_1 , K_2 , and ψ converged. The reported values of K_1 , K_2 , and ψ were taken as average values of the last 10 seconds in each experiment.

After the permeabilities at different volume fractions were determined, Gebart's model²¹, Eq. (8), was fitted by calculating a best-fit fibre radius, R_f :

$$K_1 = \frac{8}{53} \frac{(1 - V_f)^3}{V_f^2} R_f^2, \quad K_2 = \frac{16}{9\pi\sqrt{6}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{V_a}{V_f}} - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R_f^2$$
(8)

5

In this relation, V_a was set to the theoretical maximum fibre packing for hexagonal stacking of cylinders, $V_a = \pi/(2\sqrt{3})$.

142 Compaction experiments

The compaction behaviour of the sample was tested using a Zwick 1474 universal testing machine mounted with a 100 kN load cell, see Fig. 4. Two circular steel plates ($\emptyset = 135$ mm) were fitted to the crosshead of the testing machine. In the experiments, the crosshead was set to move with a constant velocity, \dot{h} , which meant that the sample was compacted with a constant linear strain rate. For all tests, virgin samples were used. The dimensions of the samples were $250 \times 150 \text{ mm}^2$. This means the samples were larger than the circular steel plate and the testing area was not exposed to the powder soluble used for the sample preparation. The compliance of the testing machine was measured by conducting a test with no sample in place, which was used to compensate the measured deflection in all results presented. The dimensions and weight of all samples were measured before the tests, to calculate the areal weight and fibre volume fraction (cf. Eq. 2).

¹⁵² [Figure 4 around here]

As discussed in the introduction, Gutowski et al.^{5,6} presented in early research models which are capable 153 of capturing the quasi-static response of a fibrous material being compacted. As fibrous materials are normally 154 subject to subsequential unloading, such models are inadequate for these applications. To allow for the inclusion 155 of a subsequent unloading step, Michaud and Månson¹² fitted a high-order polynomial to data from single-step 156 compaction experiments. While a high-order polynomial gives the freedom to fit virtually any data, we found 157 that this approach tended to overfit the data from our experiments. To cope with this issue, we instead used a 158 linear combination of power-laws. The benefit of this as compared to a high-order polynomial is that power-159 laws are well-representative of the physics of compacting a fibrous material. As the early model suggested by 160 Gutowski et al.^{5,6}, a power-law has asymptotic limits when constructed correctly, such that $\sigma \to 0$ when $V_f \to 0$ 161 and $\sigma \to \infty$ when $V_f \to V_a$. 162

¹⁶³ For the loading history of samples, a single three-parameter power-law was fitted:

$$\sigma = A_s (V_f - V_0)^{n_1} \tag{9}$$

Following the terminology in Gutowski et al.^{5,6}, A_s holds the spring stiffness of the fibrous material, V_0 is the volume fraction of the free-standing fibrous material, and n_1 is the power-law exponent. In this study, A_s , V_0 , and n_1 were all treated as fitting parameters.

Once these fitting parameters have been determined based on the loading history of the samples, three additional parameters were fitted to the unloading history. We found a single power-law inadequate of capturing this response, whereby a linear combination of two power-laws was used to characterise the unloading history:

$$\sigma = k_1 \sigma_c \left(\frac{V_f - V_0}{V_{fc} - V_0}\right)^{n_2} + (1 - k_1) \sigma_c \left(\frac{V_f - V_0}{V_{fc} - V_0}\right)^{n_3}$$
(10)

where n_2 and n_3 are power-law exponents, k_1 is a constant describing the mixture ratio between the two power-laws, σ_c is the stress state of the sample before unloading, and V_{fc} is the corresponding volume fraction calculated using Eq. (9). In Fig. 5, Eqs. (9-10) are plotted for some selected compaction/decompaction levels. To summarize, Eqs. (9-10) present an approach to include the inelastic response of a fibrous material loaded in a single compaction/decompaction step without considering plasticity explicitly. Finally, it is noted that no viscoelastic or viscoplastic effects are considered, which means Eqs. (9-10) are only valid for quasi-static loading or the specific strain rate applied in the compaction experiments.

¹⁷⁷ [Figure 5 around here]

178 Results and discussions

Following the procedures in the previous section, the experimental characterisation of the permeability and compaction behaviour is described in this section. To establish a basis for discussing the effect of texturisation, experiments of both air-texturised and UD-rovings were carried out, see Table 1.

182 [Table 1 around here]

183 Permeability experiments

Following the test conditions given in Table 2, radial impregnation tests were conducted of twelve samples of air-texturised fibres. As listed in Table 1, the air-texturised roving was a commercial material acquired from the industrial vendor "Vetrotex Saint Gobain". The results are plotted and compared to nominal and best fit fibre radii from Eq. (8), in Fig. 6 and Table 3. The mean value of the permeability and fibre volume fraction, together with coefficients of variation, c_v^* , are listed in Table 4. Typical pressure histories and the evolution of the principal permeabilities and direction, K_1 , K_2 , and ψ , are examplified for one sample in Appendix B.

 $[*]c_v =$ std. deviation/arithmic mean

¹⁹⁰ [Tables 2, 3, and 4 around here]

Conventional UD-rovings In addition to the experiments of air-texturised rovings, twelve reference 19 experiments of conventional UD-rovings were conducted as well. However, this fibre configuration showed a 192 strongly anisotropic behaviour. In fact, based on the shape of the elliptic imprint from the test fluid on the bottom 193 plate of the permeability cell, a degree of anisotropy of approximately $\alpha = K_1/K_2 \approx 100$ was obtained[†]. As 194 the test fluid only reached a single pressure sensor during the test, there was not enough basis for determining the 195 principal permeabilities based on these experiments. For the purpose of comparison, normalised permeabilities 196 from Bezerra et al.^{31,32} are plotted in Fig. 7. Please note that Bezerra et al.^{31,32} reported a similar magnitude of 197 anisotropy for their experiments on conventional UD-rovings. 198

Analysis of results From the results listed in Table 4, it can be read that the characteristic value, c_v , varied 199 from 0.63% to 1.05% wrt. the fibre volume fraction of the samples. This is on par with what was reported by 200 participants in a recent benchmark exercise by May et al.²⁹. For the principal permeability along the fibre 201 direction, K_1 , a c_v -value between 14.41% to 28.20% was obtained. For K_2 , this was between 12.20% to 202 21.57%. In both these cases, the highest c_v value was obtained for the experiments with high V_f . As this 203 configurations required the highest compaction pressure and had the smallest cavity thickness, this was to be 204 expected. Finally, based on the angle of the principal axis indicated in Fig. 6, there was no noticeable bias of the 205 principal direction to report. 206

²⁰⁷ While the c_v -values for the permeability results are higher than what was on average reported in the ²⁰⁸ benchmark exercise by May et al.²⁹ (7.8% to 12.2%, depending on fabric type), it is still on the same level ²⁰⁹ or lower than several individual participants. Bearing in mind that participants in the benchmark exercise²⁹ ²¹⁰ tested a mass-manufactured commercial technical fabric, the c_v -values in this study demonstrated reasonable ²¹¹ repeatability and control of variations.

To compare the permeability of an air-texturised roving to a conventional UD-roving, results were compared to the experimental results from Bezerra et al.^{31,32}. In their work, a layup of single rovings was guided

[†]This was based on (Length of elliptical imprint/width of elliptical imprint)² ≈ 100

12

into a permeability cell using perforated plates. Similar to this paper, their permeability characterisation was 214 also completed by conducting radial injection tests with pressure sensors monitoring the impregnation flow. 215 Compared to Bezerra et al.'s results, the normalised permeability of the air-texturised roving was approximately 216 three times higher along the fibre direction, K_1 , and 40 times higher transverse to the fibre direction, K_2 (cf. 217 Fig. 7). For reference, when compared to Gebart's empirical model²¹, the permeability was four times higher 218 for K_1 and three times higher for K_2 (cf. Fig. 6). It is clear that air-texturisation increases permeability. This 219 follows the expected behaviour, as the texturisation introduces disorder in the fibre architecture, which increases 220 the permeability^{25,26}. In addition to increasing the permeability, the degree of anisotropy was decreased with 221 approximately one magnitude as well. 222

While the disorder in fibre architecture increases the permeability, the texturisation introduces out-of-plane fibres. Compared to the conventional UD-roving, the flow now needs to pass additional fibres in the 1-direction, which increases the flow resistance. In the 2-direction, the texturisation has the opposite effect; the additional out-of-plane fibres means fewer fibres to pass, which decreases the resistance for flow. The increase in permeability in the 2-direction was significant (40 times). It is noted that the resin may travel in less fibrerich areas between fibre agglomerations, which can be a contributing factor to the increase in permeability.

[Figures 6 and 7 around here]

230 Compaction experiments

²³¹ Compaction experiments were conducted on virgin samples of air-texturised rovings as well as conventional ²³² UD-rovings (cf. Table 1). The experimental results are compared to best-fits of Eqs. (9-10) in Fig. 8, and the ²³³ best-fit parameters are listed in Table 3. In addition, selected points during unloading are compared to curve fits ²³⁴ in Fig. 9. A summary of test conditions is listed in Table 5.

[Table 5 around here]

Analysis of results Statistical analysis of the results was conducted by comparing the obtained loaddisplacement curves at selected points. These points were the volume fractions obtained when the given material was subject to a compaction pressure of $\sigma = 1$ bar and $\sigma = 5$ during loading. The mean and characteristic values, c_v , are listed in Table 6. The characteristic values were low, ranging from $\pm 0.9\%$ (air-text. at $\sigma = 5$ bar) to $\pm 2.1\%$ (conv. UD-rovings at $\sigma = 1$ bar). In both cases, the characteristic value was higher for low compaction pressure and lowest for the air-texturised roving.

[Table 6 around here]

At a compaction pressure of $\sigma = 1$ bar, the conventional UD-rovings were compressed to a volume fraction 243 of $V_f = 0.51$. For the air-texturised roving, this was 33% lower at $V_f = 0.34$. At $\sigma = 5$ bar, these numbers 244 were $V_f = 0.60$, $V_f = 0.43$, (air-texturised roving 29% lower). As the results indicate, the compaction pressure 245 needed to achieve a certain volume fraction was significantly higher for the air-texturised roving. Gutowski et 246 al.^{5,6} hypothesised that a disordered fibre architecture "moves the graphs [load-displacement curves] to the 247 left". Indeed, this is the case when comparing conventional UD-rovings to air-texturised rovings in Fig. 8. 248 It is not surprising that texturisation results in a less compliant roving, as individual fibres simply cannot be 249 as densely packed in a disordered fibre architecture. Consequently, a higher restoring force is achieved when 250 subject to compaction. 251

While both conventional UD-rovings and air-texturised rovings gradually build up a restoring force when 252 loaded, the decompaction behaviour of the two roving types was different (cf. Fig. 8). Both types exhibited 253 reduced restoring force when unloaded, but the decompaction curve of conventional UD-rovings appeared 254 almost vertical. Consequently, the observed hysteresis for conventional UD-rovings was more substantial, which 255 reflects a more distinct inelastic or plastic behaviour. When the fibrous material is subject to loading, individual 256 fibres are bent as noted by Gutowski et al.^{5,6}. In addition, irreversible deformation may take place as a result 257 of individual fibres permanently moving and reorganising to accommodate a more dense fibre packing¹⁹. The 258 latter behaviour characterises inelastic or plastic behaviour, which the results indicate was more prominent for 259 the conventional UD-roving. The disordered fibre architecture of the air-texturised rovings gives a skeleton-like 260 structure that constrains fibres from permanently moving and reorganising and instead "bounce back" when 26 unloaded. Arguably, this is the reason for the lower hysteresis observed for the air-texturised roving. 262

²⁶³ Closing remarks

Based on the results presented in this section, applying texturisation adds features to rovings that are desirable
 in many LCM processes and for fibre-reinforced polymer composites in general.

The increased permeability, for example, allows for faster impregnation in LCM processes. In pultrusion processes, this means a profile can be drawn at a faster pulling speed, which allows for increased production output. In addition, the lower degree of anisotropy means a pultrusion die can be shorter by design because a low degree of anisotropy introduces less resin backflow. On the other hand, the X-ray, μ -CT scans in Fig. 1 showed that texturisation results in local areas with fibre agglomerations. This introduces fibre-rich areas that can be difficult to saturate. Ultimately, this can increase the risk of local voids.

The compaction behaviour showed that composite parts prepared with air-texturised rovings will have a lower volume fraction when subject to a certain compaction pressure. Depending on the resin system and the cost of the texturisation step, this can translate into a lower cost of the end product.

Although it was not investigated in this paper, texturisation will affect the mechanical properties of a composite part. In addition to achieving a lower fibre volume fraction, the μ -CT scans in Fig. 1 illustrate that texturisation orient fibres away from the principal direction. This alteration reduces axial stiffness and strength of the composite part, which can be undesirable if a design can take advantage of a high degree of mechanical anisotropy. On the other hand, texturisation results in a disordered and intermingled fibre architecture, which increases out-of-plane properties such as fracture toughness as well as transversal and shear stiffness and strength.

[Figures 8 and 9 around here]

283 Conclusion

This study concerned material characterisation of air-texturised glass-fibre rovings. Motivated by a gap in the research field, the scope of the paper was to characterise material properties needed for input in liquid composite moulding (LCM) simulations, i.e. the permeability and compaction behaviour. For characterisation of the permeability, a special rig was built to prepare individual rovings into a ply-like sample. Once samples were made this way, an existing setup designed for radial impregnation tests of fabrics and mats could be used. For compaction tests, samples were tested in a universal testing machine. A linear combination of power-laws was used as a model capable of capturing irreversible fibre-compaction valid for a single loading/unloading step. With the main application of pultrusion processes in mind, curve fits were compiled for implementation in simulation software.

²³³ We highlight the following findings and conclusions of the material characterisation study:

• Compared to conventional unidirectional (UD) rovings, the normalised permeability of the air-texturised roving was approximately three times higher along the fibre direction and 40 times higher transverse to the fibre direction. Accordingly, the degree of anisotropy was approximately one magnitude lower;

- At a compaction pressure of 1 and 5 bar, the air-texturised roving was compressed to a volume fraction of $V_f = 0.34$ and 0.43, respectively, which was approximately 30% lower than the volume fractions achieved for the conventional UD-rovings;
- Compared to conventional UD-rovings, decompaction of air-texturised rovings showed a more distinct elastic response when unloaded.

In summary, it is concluded that air-texturisation increases the permeability and decreases compliance of rovings.

A topic for future research could be to standardise and characterise different degrees of texturisation. Possible extensions of this study could explore the effects of air flow, nozzle shape and size, exposure time in the texturisation step. In addition, possible topics could involve how mechanical or thermal properties of composite parts are affected by the anisotropy caused by texturisation.

Acknowledgements

This work is funded by the Danish Council for Independent Research — Technology and Production Sciences (Grant no. DFF-6111-00112: Modelling the multi-physics in resin injection pultrusion (RIP) of complex industrial profiles).

15

312	Re	ferences
313	1.	Starr T. Pultrusion for Engineers. Taylor & Francis, 2000. ISBN 9780849308437.
314	2.	Sandberg M, Hattel JH and Spangenberg J. Numerical modelling and optimisation of fibre wet-out in resin-injection
315		pultrusion processes. In European Conference on Composite Materials, volume 18. Athens, Greece.
316	3.	Sandberg M, Hattel JH and Spangenberg J. Simulation of liquid composite moulding using a finite volume scheme and
317		the level-set method. International Journal of Multiphase Flow 2019; 118: 183-192.
318	4.	Sandberg M, Rasmussen FS, Hattel JH et al. Simulation of resin-impregnation, heat-transfer and cure in a resin-injection
319		pultrusion process. AIP Conference Proceedings 2019; 2113(1): 20022.
320	5.	Gutowski TG, Cai Z, Bauer S et al. Consolidation Experiments for Laminate Composites. Journal of Composite
321		Materials 1987; 21(July): 650–669.
322	6.	Gutowski TG, Morigaki T and Cai Z. The Consolidation of Laminate Composites. Journal of Composite Materials
323		1987; 21(2): 172–188.
324	7.	Robitaille F and Gauvin R. Compaction of textile reinforcements for composites manufacturing. ii: Compaction and
325		relaxation of dry and h2o-saturated woven reinforcements. Polymer composites 1998; 19(5): 543-557.
326	8.	Saunders R, Lekakou C and Bader M. Compression in the processing of polymer composites 1. a mechanical and
327		microstructural study for different glass fabrics and resins. Composites Science and Technology 1999; 59(7): 983–993.
328	9.	Chen ZR and Ye L. A micromechanical compaction model for woven fabric preforms. part ii: Multilayer. Composites
329		Science and Technology 2006; 66(16): 3263–3272.
330	10.	Comas-Cardona S, Le Grognec P, Binetruy C et al. Unidirectional compression of fibre reinforcements. part 1: A
331		non-linear elastic-plastic behaviour. Composites science and technology 2007; 67(3-4): 507–514.
332	11.	Saunders R, Lekakou C and Bader M. Compression in the processing of polymer composites 1. A mechanical and
333		microstructural study for different glass fabrics and resins. Composites Science and Technology 1999; 59(7): 983–993.
334	12.	Michaud V and Månson JAE. Impregnation of compressible fiber mats with a thermoplastic resin. Part I: Theory.
335		Journal of Composite Materials 2001; 35(13): 1150–1173.
336	13.	Michaud V and Mortensen A. Infiltration processing of fibre reinforced composites: Governing phenomena. Composites
337		- Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing 2001; 32(8): 981–996.

- 14. Comas-Cardona S, Le Grognec P, Binetruy C et al. Unidirectional compression of fibre reinforcements. Part 1: A
- non-linear elastic-plastic behaviour. *Composites Science and Technology* 2007; .
- ³⁴⁰ 15. Cheng JJ, Kelly PA and Bickerton S. A rate-independent thermomechanical constitutive model for fiber reinforcements.
- *Journal of Composite Materials* 2012; .
- 16. Kelly PA. A viscoelastic model for the compaction of fibrous materials. Journal of the Textile Institute 2011; .
- 17. Danzi M, Schneeberger C and Ermanni P. A model for the time-dependent compaction response of woven fiber textiles.
- *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 2018; 105: 180–188.
- 18. Somashekar AA, Bickerton S and Bhattacharyya D. Exploring the non-elastic compression deformation of dry glass
- fibre reinforcements. Composites Science and Technology 2007; .
- 19. Kabachi MA, Danzi M, Arreguin S et al. Experimental study on the influence of cyclic compaction on the fiber-bed
- permeability, quasi-static and dynamic compaction responses. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing
- ³⁴⁹ 2019; 125(April): 105559.
- 20. Carman PC. Fluid flow through granular beds. Trans Inst Chem Eng 1937; 15: 150–166.
- 21. Gebart BR. Permeability of Unidirectional Reinforcements for RTM. Journal of Composite Materials 1992; 26(8):

352 1100-1133.

- 22. Cai Z and Berdichevsky AL. An improved self-consistent method for estimating the permeability of a fiber assembly.
- ³⁵⁴ *Polymer Composites* 1993; 14(4): 314–323.
- 23. Berdichevsky AL and Cai Z. Preform permeability predictions by self-consistent method and finite element simulation.
- ³⁵⁶ *Polymer Composites* 1993; 14(2): 132–143.
- 24. Van der Westhuizen J and Prieur Du Plessis J. An attempt to quantify fibre bed permeability utilizing the phase average
- Navier-Stokes equation. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 1996; 27(4): 263–269.
- 25. Lundström TS and Gebart BR. Effect of Perturbation of Fibre Architecture on Permeability Inside Fibre Tows. Journal
- 360 of Composite Materials 1995; 29(4): 424–443.
- 26. Shou D, Fan J and Ding F. Hydraulic permeability of fibrous porous media. International Journal of Heat and Mass
- 362 Transfer 2011; 54(17-18): 4009–4018.

- 263 27. Arbter R, Beraud J, Binetruy C et al. Experimental determination of the permeability of textiles: A benchmark exercise.
- Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing 2011; 42(9): 1157–1168.
- 28. Vernet N, Ruiz E, Advani SG et al. Experimental determination of the permeability of engineering textiles: Benchmark
- II. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing 2014; 61: 172–184.
- 29. May D, Aktas A, Advani SG et al. In-plane permeability characterization of engineering textiles based on radial flow
- experiments: A benchmark exercise. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* 2019; 121(October 2018):
- зб9 100–114.
- 370 30. Schell JS, Siegrist M and Ermanni P. Experimental determination of the transversal and longitudinal fibre bundle
- permeability. *Applied Composite Materials* 2007; 14(2): 117–128.
- 372 31. Bezerra RM, Wilhelm F and Henning F. Compressibility and Permeability of Fiber Reinforcements for Pultrusion. In
- 16th European Conference on Composite Materials, volume 22-26 June. ISBN 978000000002.
- 374 32. Bezerra RM, Henning F and Drechsler K. Modelling and Simulation of the Closed Injection Pultrusion Process. Phd
- thesis, Karlsruher Institut fur Technologie (KIT), 2017.
- 37. 33. Rasmussen FS, Emerson MJ, Sonne MR et al. Fiber segmentation from 3D X-ray computed tomography of composites
- with continuous textured glass fibre yarns. In Proceedings of 2019 International Conference on Tomography of
- 378 Materials & Structures.
- 379 34. Louis BM, Di Fratta C, Danzi M et al. Improving time effective and robust techniques for measuring in-plane
- permeability of fibre preforms for lcm processing. In Proceedings 2011 SAMPE Europe International Conference
- Paris. Society for the Advancement of Material and Process Engineering, pp. 204 211.
- 382 35. Chan AW and Hwang STT. Anisotropic in-plane permeability of fabric media. *Polymer Engineering & Science* 1991;
 31(16): 1233–1239.
- 36. Weitzenböck JR, Shenoi RA and Wilson PA. Radial flow permeability measurement. Part A: Theory. Composites Part
- A: Applied Science and Manufacturing 1999; 30(6): 781–796.

Appendix A. X-ray, μ -CT scans

The X-ray micro computed tomography images (X-ray, μ -CT) were captured using a Zeiss Xradia Versa 520 scanner. The specimens for scanning were prepared by cutting out cylindrical samples from composite parts. Each sample was subsequently reduced to a diameter of \emptyset 5 mm using a lathe. The scans were carried out at a voltage of 40kV and a power of 74 μ A using 4× optical magnification. Each scan was performed using 4501 projections at binning 2 with a total scanning time of 20 hours. For each cutout, the scan was further cropped to a \emptyset 2 × 2 mm cylinder. The resulting scans in Fig. 1 have a 1000³ voxel resolution with a voxel size of approxiamtely 2 μ m. For more information about the scanning procedure, please see Rasmussen et al.³³.

³⁹⁴ Appendix B. Example of probed pressure histories

Figure 10 exemplify pressure readings and the associated evolution of principal permeabilities and direction, for one permeability experiment used as a data point in Figs. 6 and 7. The sample was prepared from air-texturised rovings (cf. Table 1). The cavity thickness in the permeability cell was set to h = 1.6 mm, which resulted in a volume fraction of $V_f = 0.379$. The inflow rate was set to $Q = 10^{-7}$ m³/s, whereby the impregnation time lasted for approximately seven minutes.

⁴⁰⁰ [Figure 10 around here]

401 List of Figures

402	1	3D and cross-sectional views of cutouts from a composite part manufactured using conventional	
403		UD-rovings and air-texturised rovings. The images were prepared by use of X-ray μ -CT, see	
404		Appendix A	21
405	2	(a) Rig for sample preparation. (b) One prepared sample (air-texturised rovings) for	
406		impregnation tests.	22
407	3	(a) Permeability measurement cells designed for radial impregnation tests. (b) Schematic of the	
408		pressure sensors and the radial flow that takes place inside the cavity of the permeability cell.	23
409	4	Sample (conventional UD roving) for compaction tests placed between circular steel discs	
410		mounted in a universal testing machine.	23
411	5	Example of compaction-decompaction curve fitted using Eqs. (9-10). The levels, V_{fci}, σ_{ci} ,	
412		corresponds to the compaction level of sample i before unloading	24
413	6	Experimental results of measured the permeability of air-texturised roving. Comparison of	
414		nominal and best-fit fibre radii from Eq. (8) (cf. Gebart ²¹). Multiples are approximate.	24
415	7	Comparison of the permeability of air-texturised rovings vs. conventional rovings. Curves for	
416		air-texturised rovings are based on best-fit fibre radii from Eq. (8) (c.f. Gebart ²¹). Data for	
417		conventional UD-rovings are based on Bezerra et al. ^{31,32} , where the nominal fibre radius was	
418		$R_f = 12 \ \mu$ m, and best-fit radii were, $R_{f(K_1)}, R_{f(K_2)} = 13.5, 3.5 \ \mu$ m. Multiples are approximate.	25
419	8	Applied compaction pressure, σ , vs. measured volume fraction, V_f , compared to model fit, Eqs.	
420		(9-10)	25
421	9	Comparison between model fit, Eqs. (9-10), and experimental data for unloading after	
422		application of compaction pressure, σ_c .	26
423	10	Example of pressure readings from one permeability experiment used as a data point in Figs. 6	
424		and 7. The sensor locations are depicted in Fig. 10.	26



Figure 1. 3D and cross-sectional views of cutouts from a composite part manufactured using conventional UD-rovings and air-texturised rovings. The images were prepared by use of X-ray μ -CT, see Appendix A.



Figure 2. (a) Rig for sample preparation. (b) One prepared sample (air-texturised rovings) for impregnation tests.



Figure 3. (a) Permeability measurement cells designed for radial impregnation tests. (b) Schematic of the pressure sensors and the radial flow that takes place inside the cavity of the permeability cell.



Figure 4. Sample (conventional UD roving) for compaction tests placed between circular steel discs mounted in a universal testing machine.



Figure 5. Example of compaction-decompaction curve fitted using Eqs. (9-10). The levels, V_{fci} , σ_{ci} , corresponds to the compaction level of sample *i* before unloading.



Figure 6. Experimental results of measured the permeability of air-texturised roving. Comparison of nominal and best-fit fibre radii from Eq. (8) (cf. Gebart²¹). Multiples are approximate.



Figure 7. Comparison of the permeability of air-texturised rovings vs. conventional rovings. Curves for air-texturised rovings are based on best-fit fibre radii from Eq. (8) (c.f. Gebart²¹). Data for conventional UD-rovings are based on Bezerra et al.^{31,32}, where the nominal fibre radius was $R_f = 12 \ \mu$ m, and best-fit radii were, $R_{f(K_1)}, R_{f(K_2)} = 13.5, 3.5 \ \mu$ m. Multiples are approximate.



Figure 8. Applied compaction pressure, σ , vs. measured volume fraction, V_f , compared to model fit, Eqs. (9-10).



Figure 9. Comparison between model fit, Eqs. (9-10), and experimental data for unloading after application of compaction pressure, σ_c .



Figure 10. Example of pressure readings from one permeability experiment used as a data point in Figs. 6 and 7. The sensor locations are depicted in Fig. 10.

425 List of Tables

426	1	Overview of roving types	28
427	2	Parameters for the test setup used in permeability experiments. ¹ The constant injection rate was chosen	
428		wrt. to the cavity thickness and the volume fraction such that the impregnation time lasted approximately seven minutes.	28
429	3	Compiled best-fit based on the experimental data. * <i>Refers to data from Bezerra et al.</i> 31,32	28
430	4	Mean volume fractions and principal permeabilities, together with characteristic values, c_v , of	
431		air-texturised roving (cf. Table 1). Four samples were tested for each configuration	28
432	5	Test paramaters for compaction/decompaction experiments	28
433	6	Mean value of volume fractions achieved at certain stress levels during loading of the materials	
434		tested. c_v refers to the characteristic value	28

Table 1. Overview of roving types.		
Material name	Conventional UD-roving	Air-texturised roving
Vendor (and type)	Mühlmeier Composites	Vetrotex ECO14 5000 T10C
Glass type	E-glass	E-glass
Fibre radius, r_f , [μ m]	11	6
Tex [g/km]	4800	5000

اه ما ما \simf . ..

Table 2. Parameters for the test setup used in permeability experiments.

¹The constant injection rate was chosen wrt. to the cavity thickness and the volume fraction such that the impregnation time lasted approximately seven minutes.

Parameter	Quantity	
Sample dimensions	$380 \times 250 \text{ [mm \times mm]}$	
Test fluid and viscosity	Bluesil 47 V 100, $\mu = 0.1$ Pa·s	
Cavity thickness, h	$1.6 - 2 [{\rm mm}]$	
Volume fraction of samples	0.31 - 0.38 [-]	
Approx. number of rovings in each sample	70	
Injection rate ¹	$1 - 1.4 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ [m^3/s]}$	
No of samples	12 samples	

Table 3.	Compiled best-fit based	I on the experimental data.	. *Refers to data from Bezerra et al. ³	31,32
----------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	--	-------

· · ·	5 5	
	Conventional UD-roving	Air-texturised roving
Principal permeabilities, cf. Eq. (8)		
Best-fit fibre radii, $R_{f(K_1)}, R_{f(K_2)}$	$13.5, 3.5~\mu\mathrm{m}*$	$11.7, 10.0~\mu\mathrm{m}$
Compaction/decompaction, cf. Eqs. (9-10))	
Spring constant, A_s	0.31 [bar]	2.01 [bar]
Reference volume fraction, V_0	-0.54 [-]	-0.62 [-]
Ratio between power-laws, k_1	0.43 [-]	0.58 [-]
Power-law exponents, n_i	$20.21, 9.18 \cdot 10^3, 2.74 \cdot 10^6$ [-]	$19.49, 3.01 \cdot 10^3, 4.30 \cdot 10^4$ [-]

Table 4. Mean volume fractions and principal permeabilities, together with characteristic values, cv, of air-texturised roving (cf. Table 1). Four samples were tested for each configuration.

Configuration	$V_f(\pm c_v)$ [-]	$K_1(\pm c_v) [10^{-11} \text{ m}^2]$	$K_2(\pm c_v) [10^{-11} \text{ m}^2]$
Low V_f	$0.31(\pm 1.05\%)$	$6.25(\pm 14.41\%)$	$0.82 (\pm 12.20\%)$
Mid V_f	$0.35(\pm 1.91\%)$	$5.40(\pm 27.40\%)$	$0.67(\pm 12.24\%)$
High V_f	$0.38(\pm 0.63\%)$	$3.58(\pm 28.20\%)$	$0.52 (\pm 21.57\%)$

 Table 5. Test parameters for compaction/decompaction experiments.

Parameter	Quantity
Sample dimensions	$250 imes150~\mathrm{mm^2}$
Diameter of compaction plates	$arnothing = 135 \; \mathrm{mm}$
Applied load/pressure before unloading (σ_c)	5-20 kN/3.3-17.6 bar
Applied displacement rate, \dot{h}	2 mm/min
Number of samples	5 samples

Table 6. Mean value of volume fractions achieved at certain stress levels during loading of the materials tested. c_v refers to the characteristic value.

	$V_f(\pm c_v)$ at $\sigma = 1$ bar	$V_f(\pm c_v)$ at $\sigma = 5$ bar
Conventional UD roving	$0.51(\pm 2.1\%)$	$0.60(\pm 1.0\%)$
Air-texturised roving	$0.34(\pm 1.3\%)$	$0.43(\pm 0.9\%)$