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#### Abstract

Tariquidar derivatives have been described as potent and selective ABCG2 inhibitors. However, their susceptibility to hydrolysis limits their applicability. The current study comprises the synthesis and characterization of novel tariquidar-related inhibitors, obtained by bioisosteric replacement of the labile moieties in our previous tariquidar analog UR-ME22-1 (9). CuAAC ("click" reaction) gave convenient access to a triazole core as a substitute for the labile amide group and the labile ester moiety was replaced by different acyl groups in a Sugasawa reaction. A stability assay proved the enhancement of the stability in blood plasma. Compounds UR-MB108 (57) and UR-MB136 (59) inhibited ABCG2 in a Hoechst 33342 transport assay with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of about 80 nM and belong to the most potent ABCG2 inhibitors described so far. Compound 57 was highly selective, whereas its PEGylated analog 59 showed some activity at ABCB1. Both 57 and 59 produced an ABCG2 ATPase-depressing effect which is in agreement with our precedent cryo-EM study identifying $\mathbf{5 9}$ as an ATPase inhibitor that exerts its effect via locking the inward-facing conformation. Thermostabilization of ABCG2 by $\mathbf{5 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 9}$ can be taken as a hint to comparable binding to ABCG2. As reference substances, compounds 57 and $\mathbf{5 9}$ allow additional mechanistic studies on ABCG2 inhibition. Due to their stability in blood plasma, they are also applicable in vivo. The highly specific inhibitor 57 is suited for PET labeling, helping to further elucidate the (patho)physiological role of ABCG2, e.g. at the BBB.
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## 1 Introduction

In 1990, a novel drug resistance-related membrane protein was discovered in a doxorubicin resistant breast cancer cell line [1] and in 1998 it was identified as the most recent member of the human ABC transporter superfamily and named breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP) [2]. The Human Genome Nomenclature Committee termed the transporter ABCG2 [3]. ABCG2 as well as other ABC transporter subtypes such as ABCB1 (P-gp) und ABCC1 (MRP1) are not only associated with the chemoresistance of malignant tumors [4-7], but they are also expressed at the blood-brain barrier (BBB), preventing the entry of a broad variety of xenobiotics, including numerous drugs, into the central nervous system [8-10]. Therefore, co-administration of an ABC inhibitor and a cytostatic drug represents an attractive strategy to overcome the BBB and multidrug resistance (MDR) of various malignancies. This has been demonstrated by several proof-of-concept studies, although ABC inhibitors are not in clinical use yet [11-16]. ABCG2 has come into focus since apart from being one of the three major subtypes conferring MDR in cancer cells (in addition to ABCB 1 and ABCC 1 ), it also appears to be the most abundant subtype at the human $\operatorname{BBB}[17,18]$.
Figure 1 shows a selection of ABCG2 inhibitors described so far. Among the first substances found to affect ABCG2 activity are inhibitors of the ABCB1 transporter, primarily the benzanilides elacridar (1) and tariquidar (2) [19-22]. Fumitremorgin C (FTC) (3), a fungal toxin from A. fumigatus Fresen. containing a tetrahydro- $\beta$-carboline backbone, was the first known specific inhibitor of the ABCG2 subtype [23]. Its neurotoxic effects, however, preclude its use in vivo. Its nontoxic analog Ko143 (4) was developed in 2002 and is still among the most potent known ABCG2 inhibitors [24]. It was recently reported, though, that it also interferes with both, ABCB 1 and ABCC 1 transport, but only at higher concentrations (low micromolar range) [25]. The suitability of Ko143 for in vivo studies is compromised by the poor metabolic stability of the ester moiety [26, 27]. Lately, further derivatives of FTC have been produced, e.g. compound 5 [28]. Besides the fungal toxin FTC, other natural compounds and derivatives thereof were reported to antagonize ABCG2, the main structural class being flavonoids such as flavones, chalcones and curcuminoids [29-34]. Chromone is a partial structure present in many active flavonoids and was derivatized to create novel, potent and nontoxic ABCG2 inhibitors such as compounds 6 and 7 [35]. The stability of these compounds still remains to be determined, though. In an ongoing search for potent, selective and stable inhibitors for ABCG2, further structure types have emerged, for instance the relatively small acrylonitrile YHO-13177 (8) [36, 37].









Figure 1. Structures of known ABCG2 inhibitors: elacridar (1), tariquidar (2), fumitremorgin C (3), Ko143 (4), the $\beta$-carboline derivative 5, the chromones 6 and 7 and the acrylonitrile YHO-13177 (8).

Until recently, the mechanism of ABCG2 inhibition could only be speculated about, since there were no high-resolution structural data for ABCG2. The breakthrough came in 2017 with the high-resolution cryo-EM structure of ABCG2 [38]. One year later, we determined the structure of ABCG2 in complex with two different inhibitors, including UR-MB136 (59), a member of the series we present here [39]. The synthetic route to 59, the appertaining series of novel ABCG2 inhibitors and their biological characterization have not been published yet, and are subject of the present study. Heretofore, we modified the dual ABCB1/ABCG2 inhibitor tariquidar (2) to obtain the selective ABCG2 inhibitor UR-ME22-1 (9) (Figure 2) [40]. The main, selectivity-yielding modification was the shift of the hetarycarboxamido moiety from the ortho- to the meta-position of the central benzamide core. However, this shift rendered the central benzamide bond prone to hydrolysis in murine plasma, presumably due to inadequate steric hindrance, facilitating the attack of the amide bond by plasma esterases. In an attempt to gain stable tariquidar analogs, the labile amide moiety was replaced by an indole ring, yielding more stable inhibitors with higher efficacy than 9 [41]. However, it was shown that these compounds were still hydrolysed to a certain extent, namely at the ester bond attached to the
central, trisubstituted phenyl ring. Here we present the synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of novel tariquidar derivatives. As shown in Figure 2, we introduced a triazole core as a bioisoster to the labile amide group in $\mathbf{9}$, which can be more conveniently synthesized than an indole moiety. Furthermore, we synthesized compounds in which the labile ester moiety was replaced by ketone groups. We aimed at ABCG2 inhibitors that are potent, effective, selective, and suitable for complexing with ABCG2 in cryo-EM experiments and, moreover, also stable in blood plasma, a prerequisite for in vivo studies.


Figure 2. Structure of UR-ME22-1 (9) and general structures of the title compounds developed from 9.
In order to rank our novel series we also purchased or prepared compounds 2-8 as reference substances and investigated them in our assays.

## 2 Results and Discussion

### 2.1 Synthesis

"Key" step in the convergent synthesis of the title compounds was the production of the triazole core in a copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC), a stepwise variant of the Huisgen cycloaddition process, and the most often used "click" reaction [42]. Here we used the polytriazole TBTA as a copper(I)-stabilizing ligand [43]. The azides for the CuAAC were prepared in three steps (Scheme 1). In order to vary the linker length between the tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety and the phenyl ring, either 1-(bromomethyl)-4-nitrobenzene (10) or 1-(2-bromoethyl)-4-nitrobenzene (11) was combined with the respective tetrahydroisoquinoline derivative (12-14) in an $N$-alkylation reaction affording compounds 1519. In our previous studies on tariquidar analogs it proved to be advantageous in terms of efficacy to introduce a polyethylene glycol (PEG) chain at the tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety, which was explained by a solubilizing effect [41, 44, 45]. For this reason, we also synthesized the PEGylated tetrahydroisoquinoline derivative $\mathbf{1 4}$ according to our previous report [44] and alkylated the nitrogen. In the next two steps, the nitro group in compounds $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ was reduced
to an amine group, resulting in compounds $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{- 2 4}$, and then converted into an azide moiety, affording compounds 25-29.


Scheme 1. Synthesis of the azides for the "click" reactions. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, MeCN, reflux, overnight; (b) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ (40 bar), EtOH , rt, overnight or $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, 2 h ; (c) (I) $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}$ aq, $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (II) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h .

The synthesis of the target compounds containing an ester group is shown in Scheme 2. Firstly, the alkyne (32) as the second reactant in the "click" reactions was prepared by Sonogashira coupling of methyl 2-amino-4-bromobenzoate ( $\mathbf{3 0}$ ) and trimethylsilylacetylene to $\mathbf{3 1}$ and subsequent TMS-deprotection to compound 32. Then 32 was combined with the azides 25-29, affording the triazoles 33-37 and the quinoline moiety was introduced by amide coupling with quinoline-2-carboxylic acid, yielding the inhibitors 38-42.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of the inhibitors 38-42 bearing an ester moiety. Reagents and conditions: (a) ethynyltrimethylsilane, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, THF, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight; (b) TBAF, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$, sodium ascorbate, TBTA, DMF, rt , 24 h ; (d) quinoline-2-carboxylic acid, HBTU, DIPEA, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$.

In the second subseries, the labile ester moiety was replaced by different ketone groups (Scheme 3). 3-Bromoaniline (43) was acylated in a Sugasawa reaction, a specific ortho-acylation of anilines, to form the ketones 44-46. Compound $\mathbf{4 4}$ was first treated with quinoline-2-carboxylic acid to form the amide 47 and then submitted to a Sonogashira coupling with trimethylsilylacetylene (resulting in 48) and deprotected to 49. Precursors 45 and 46 were first coupled with trimethylsilylacetylene under Sonogashira conditions (forming 50 and 51), deprotected (to 52 and 53), and then treated with quinoline-2-carboxylic acid to form the amide bond (yielding 54 and 55). The final step was the "click" reaction of the alkynes 49, 54, and 55 with the azides $\mathbf{2 8}$ or $\mathbf{2 9}$ to form the inhibitors 56-59.


43
$44 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}$
$45 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}$
$46 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{iPr}$



47


48

$52 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}$
$53 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{iPr}$


|  | $R^{1}$ | $R^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | Me | OMe |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ | Et | OMe |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | iPr | OMe |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ | Et | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Me}$ |

Scheme 3. Synthesis of the inhibitors 56-59 bearing a ketone moiety. Reagents and conditions: (a) (I) $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$; (II) $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, respective nitrile, reflux, 24 h ; (III) $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{aq}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min} \rightarrow 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) quinoline-2-carboxylic acid, HBTU, DIPEA, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) ethynyltrimethylsilane, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuI}$, NEt 3 , THF, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight; (d) TBAF, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$, Na-ascorbate, TBTA, DMF or THF, rt, 24 h .

The reference compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ were commercially available; $\mathbf{2}$ [21], $\mathbf{5}$ [28], $\mathbf{6}$ [35], 7 [35], and $\mathbf{8}[36,37]$ were synthesized according to literature.

### 2.2 Inhibition of the ABCG2, ABCB1 and ABCC1 Transporter Function

The newly synthesized compounds ( $\mathbf{3 8 - 4 2}$ and 56-59), as well as our previous tariquidar analog 9 and the reference compounds 2-8, were analyzed for inhibition of the ABCG2 transport activity in the Hoechst 33342 microplate assay. The DNA stain Hoechst 33342, which emits blue fluorescence when bound to DNA, is a substrate of the ABCG2 transporter and therefore extruded from ABCG2-expressing cells such as the MCF-7/Topo cells utilized in this assay. When the ABCG2 transport function is inhibited, Hoechst 33342 accumulates in the cells and can be detected fluorometrically, which allows for determination of the inhibitory potency and efficacy of the target compounds. Examples of concentration-response curves are shown in Figure 3. In order to assess the selectivity of the inhibitors, they were also analyzed in the calcein-AM microplate assays with respect to inhibition of the ABCB 1 and ABCC 1 transporter
activity, using KB-V1 and MDCK.2-MRP1 cells, respectively. The assay principle is analogous to the Hoechst 33342 assay. The dual ABCB1 and ABCC1 substrate calcein-AM is added to the cells and exported by the respective transporter. Upon inhibition of the transport, calceinAM accumulates in the cells, becomes cleaved to calcein by intracellular esterases and complexes $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-ions, resulting in a strong green fluorescence. The fluorescence can be detected and correlated with the inhibitory potencies of the test compounds. The results of the transport assays are summarized in Table 1.


Figure 3. Concentration-dependent inhibition of ABCG2-mediated Hoechst efflux in MCF-7/Topo cells by (A) the reference compounds FTC (3), Kol43 (4), $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ as well as (B) tariquidar (2) and its novel analogs $\mathbf{4 2}, 57$ and $\mathbf{5 9}$. The inhibition is expressed relative to the maximal effect in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FTC}$ set to $100 \%$.

FTC (3), its well-known analog Ko143 (4) and the more recent analog 5, which all comprise a tetrahydro- $\beta$-carboline moiety, were similarly effective at ABCG2 with an $\mathrm{I}_{\max }$ value of $102 \%$, $116 \%$ and $111 \%$, respectively. However 4, with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 92 nM , was over ten times more potent than the other two tetrahydro- $\beta$-carboline derivatives in our assay. Whereas $\mathbf{4}$ is reported to be more potent than its precursor 3 [24], the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $\mathbf{5}$ determined here $(1.1 \mu \mathrm{M})$ was about five times higher than reported in literature (lit. 233 nM in Hoechst 33342 assay [28]; 237 nM in pheophorbide A assay [28]). This discrepancy probably reflects differences in the assay set-up, for instance different concentrations of Hoechst 33342 were applied (it was higher in our assay). In accordance with previous reports [25], 4 was not entirely selective; in contrast to $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ it showed activity at the ABCB1 and ABCC1 transporter. The reference compounds 6-8 were almost equieffective with $\mathbf{4}$, but less potent. The chromone $\mathbf{7}$ inhibited ABCG2 with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 707 nM (lit. 110 nM [35]), being almost three times more potent than the chromone $\mathbf{6}$, which showed an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $1.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (lit. 170 nM [35]). Here again, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values determined by us were higher than those reported in literature. Furthermore, chromone 7, as opposed to chromone 6, showed very low inhibition of ABCB1 and ABCC 1 . The acrylonitrile $\mathbf{8}$ inhibited ABCG 2 with a far higher $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value $(3.3 \mu \mathrm{M})$ than
4. This compound is interesting insofar as it appears to be a triple ABCG2, ABCB 1 and ABCC 1 inhibitor, which might have a different application than specific inhibitors.

In accordance with previous publications [22], tariquidar (2) was a dual ABCG2 and ABCC1 inhibitor but showed much higher inhibitory potency and also higher efficacy at the ABCB1 than at the ABCG2 transporter. Structural modifications at the benzamide core of 2 led to a drastic increase in selectivity for ABCG2 over ABCB1 (compound 9), confirming our previous results [40]. 9 showed only very low inhibition of ABCB1, but inhibited ABCG2 with an IC50 value of 101 nM . The maximal response of $99 \%$ was higher than that determined in the flow cytometric mitoxantrone efflux assay we used before. The replacement of the labile amide moiety in 9 by a triazole ring resulted in the novel inhibitors 38-42. This replacement was welltolerated, the efficacy of the new compounds being $85-95 \%$ and the potencies in the three-digit nanomolar range. Apparently the length of the linker between the tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety and the phenyl ring does not clearly correlate with the inhibitory activity. Compounds 38 and $\mathbf{4 1}$, as well as $\mathbf{3 9}$ and $\mathbf{4 2}$, only differ in the length of the linker (one vs. two methylene groups). Whereas compounds 39 and $\mathbf{4 2}$ were equipotent ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of about 150 nM ), compound 38 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 350 nM ) was almost twice as potent as $\mathbf{4 1}$. The introduction of a PEG chain increased the potency by a factor of two ( $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{v s} . \mathbf{3 9}$ ) or four ( $\mathbf{4 1} \mathrm{vs} .42$ ). The replacement of the two methoxy groups at the tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety by hydrogen atoms (40) increased the potency slightly. Taken together, variations in the linker and the moieties attached to the tetrahydroisoquinoline ring seem to be well-tolerated. In terms of selectivity, compounds 38-42 showed great differences. While all of them were inactive at ABCC1, they showed very dissimilar effects on ABCB1. Here again, there was no correlation between the length of the linker and ABCB1 inhibition. Both $\mathbf{3 8}$ and 39, with an identical linker (one methylene group), inhibited ABCB1 with a maximal response between $20 \%$ and $30 \%$ (up to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). 40, $\mathbf{4 1}$ and 42 (two methylene groups) diverged very much depending on the substituents at the tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety. $\mathbf{4 1}$ was inactive, $\mathbf{4 0}$ was $11 \%$ effective (up to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and 42, containing the PEG chain, was $80 \%$ effective and exhibited an IC $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ value in the low micromolar range, making it a dual ABCG2 and ABCB1 inhibitor. It seems as if the PEG chain can confer activity at ABCB1. Since, however, this was not the case for $\mathbf{3 9}$ as compared to 38, this effect appears to depend on the specific structure.

Table 1. Inhibitory effect of the reference compounds 2-8, our previous tariquidar analog 9 and the new inhibitors $\mathbf{3 8 - 4 2}$ and 56-59 on the transport activity of $\mathrm{ABCG} 2, \mathrm{ABCB} 1$ and ABCC 1 .


| $n=1$ | $n=2$ | $R^{1}$ | $R^{2}$ | $R^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | H | H | OMe |
| $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | OMe | OMe | OMe |
| $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Me}$ | OMe | OMe |
|  | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | OMe | OMe | Me |
|  | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | OMe | OMe | Et |
|  | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | OMe | OMe | iPr |
|  | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Me}$ | OMe | Et |


| Compound | ABCG2 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ABCB1 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | $\mathrm{ABCC}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{nM}]^{\text {d }}$ | $I_{\text {max }}[\%]^{\text {d,e }}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{nM}]^{\text {d }}$ | $I_{\text {max }}[\%]^{\text {d,f }}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{nM}]^{\text {d }}$ | $I_{\text {max }}[\%]^{\text {d,g }}$ |
| 2 (tariquidar) | $6223 \pm 707$ | $72 \pm 3$ | $356 \pm 3$ | $100 \pm 3$ | inactive ${ }^{\text {h,i }}$ | - |
| 3 (FTC) | $1483 \pm 194$ | $102 \pm 3$ | inactive | - | inactive | - |
| 4 (Kol43) | $92 \pm 9$ | $116 \pm 2$ | n.a. ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | $\geq 11$ | $6650 \pm 2856$ | $29 \pm 8$ |
| 5 | $1115 \pm 32$ | $111 \pm 3$ | inactive | - | inactive | - |
| 6 | $1800 \pm 300^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $108 \pm 5^{\text {i }}$ | inactive ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | - | inactive ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | - |
| 7 | $707 \pm 98$ | $109 \pm 12$ | n.a. | $\geq 11$ | $3599 \pm 302$ | $15 \pm 1$ |
| 8 (YHO-13177) | $3345 \pm 342$ | $106 \pm 9$ | n.a. | $\geq 53$ | n.a. | $\geq 81$ |
| 9 (UR-ME22-1) | $101 \pm 17$ | $99 \pm 1$ | n.a. | $\geq 14$ | inactive | - |
| 38 (UR-MB86) | $350 \pm 53$ | $87 \pm 2$ | n.a. | $\geq 25$ | inactive | - |
| 39 (UR-MB84) | $153 \pm 12$ | $85 \pm 3$ | n.a. | $\geq 21$ | inactive | - |
| 40 (UR-MB81) | $486 \pm 5$ | $95 \pm 5$ | n.a. | $\geq 11$ | inactive | - |
| 41 (UR-MB19) | $617 \pm 179$ | $90 \pm 3$ | inactive | - | inactive | - |
| 42 (UR-MB95) | $144 \pm 35$ | $86 \pm 3$ | $1623 \pm 405$ | $80 \pm 7$ | inactive | - |
| 56 (UR-St1) | $140 \pm 40$ | $97 \pm 2$ | inactive | - | inactive | - |
| 57 (UR-MB108) | $79 \pm 5$ | $91 \pm 2$ | inactive | - | inactive | - |
| 58 (UR-St2) | $295 \pm 28$ | $90 \pm 0.4$ | n.a. | $\geq 24$ | n.a. | $\geq 14$ |
| 59 (UR-MB136) | $81 \pm 16$ | $90 \pm 3$ | n.a. | $\geq 42$ | inactive | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Hoechst 33342 microplate assay using ABCG2-expressing MCF-7/Topo cells.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calcein-AM microplate assay using ABCB1-expressing KB-V1 cells.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Calcein-AM microplate assay using ABCC1-expressing MDCK.2-MRP1 cells.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Mean values $\pm$ SEM from two to seven independent experiments, each performed in triplicate.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Maximal inhibitory effect ( $\mathrm{I}_{\max }$ ) relative to the response to FTC at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}(100 \%)$.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Maximal inhibitory effect ( $\mathrm{I}_{\max }$ ) relative to the response to tariquidar at a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}(100 \%)$.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Maximal inhibitory effect ( $\mathrm{I}_{\max }$ ) relative to the response to reversan at a concentration of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}(100 \%)$.
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Inactive: response $\leq 10 \%$ up to a concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ Data taken from our previous studies [46].
${ }^{\mathrm{j}}$ n.a.: not applicable because $\mathrm{I}_{\max }$ was not reached at a concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
The second modification of 9 was the replacement of the labile ester moiety at the central, trisubstituted phenyl core by ketones, yielding the inhibitors 56-59. This alteration increased the efficacy at ABCG2 to $90-97 \%$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were quite similar in the two to three digit nanomolar range. The length of the acyl group attached to the banzamide core was varied. The propionyl group (in 57) proved to be superior to the smaller acetyl group (in 56) and also to the bulkier isobutyryl moiety (in 58) in terms of inhibitory potency and selectivity, $\mathbf{5 8}$ showing
moderate ABCB1 and ABCC1 activity. In this subseries, the introduction of a PEG chain (59) left the inhibitory potency at ABCG2 unchanged, but, here again, caused an inhibitory effect on ABCB1, with the maximal response being $42 \%$ (up to a concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). It is conceivable, that the PEG chain confers affinity to the binding site in ABCB1. Except for 58, none of the ketone-containing inhibitors showed inhibition of ABCC 1 .

### 2.3 Effect on the ABCG2 ATPase Activity

On the basis of the results obtained from the functional transport assays, the two most potent novel inhibitors ( $\mathbf{5 7}$ and 59), their precursor 9 and the reference compounds 2-8 were examined with regard to their effect on ABCG2 ATPase activity. The ATPase assay, requiring relatively high levels of transporter protein, was performed with ABCG2-expressing Sf9 membranes. ABC transporters gain the energy for the transport of their substrates from ATP hydrolysis to ADP and inorganic phosphate. The latter was determined in a colorimetric reaction after incubation of the membrane preparations with different concentrations of test compounds, resulting in concentration-response curves (examples depicted in Figure 4A+B). In a variant of this assay, the ABCG2 transporters in the membranes were additionally stimulated with the ABCG2 substrate sulfasalazine to investigate whether the test compounds reverse the activating effect caused by sulfasalazine, or not (Figure 4C+D). The results of the two assay variants are summarized in Table 2.


Figure 4. $(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B})$ Concentration-dependent stimulation or suppression of the ATPase activity in ABCG2-expressing Sf 9 membranes by (A) the reference compounds FTC (3), Ko143 (4), $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ as well as (B) tariquidar (2), its analog UR-ME22-1 (9) and its novel analogs 57 and 59. The effect is expressed relative to the basal ATPase activity ( $0 \%$ ) and the maximal stimulatory effect in the presence of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ sulfasalazine set to $100 \%$. ( $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}$ ) Concentration-dependent inhibition of the sulfasalazine ( $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) -stimulated ATPase activity in ABCG2-expressing Sf9 membranes by (C) the reference compounds FTC (3), Ko143 (4) and 7 as well as (D) tariquidar (2), its analog UR-ME22-1 and its novel analogs 57 and 59. The inhibition is expressed relative to the maximal inhibitory effect in the presence of $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{FTC}$ set to $100 \%$.

In the absence of inhibitors, ABCG2 showed relatively high basal ATPase activity, which was set to zero in our assay. The test compounds, all being transport inhibitors, behaved in three different manners with respect to ABCG2 ATPase activity. Firstly, most of them decreased it to a level below the basal activity (below zero) or secondly had no effect on it. In these two cases it can be assumed that the test compounds are not transported and the inhibition of the transport of other substrates may be, at least in part, attributed to the inhibition of the ATPase activity of the transporter. A reduction of the ATPase activity was evoked by FTC (3) and its analogs Ko143 (4) and 5, and the tariquidar analogs $\mathbf{9}, 57$ and $\mathbf{5 9}$, their maximal effects being between $-\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ and $-37 \%$ and their inhibitory potencies in the two-digit $\mathbf{( 4 , 5 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 9}$ ) to threedigit ( $\mathbf{3}, 5$ and 9 ) nanomolar range. The compounds were also able to inhibit sulfasalazinestimulated ATPase activity with an efficacy around $100 \%(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{9}, 57$ and $\mathbf{5 9})$ or more $(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5})$ and potencies again in the two-digit (57 and 59) to three digit (4,5 and $\mathbf{9}$ ) nanomolar range. Only $\mathbf{3}$ shows a higher $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $1.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The chromone 7 exhibited no effect on the basal ATPase activity, but was able to reverse activation by sulfasalazine.

Thirdly, some compounds increased the ATPase activity and can therefore be considered transporter substrates, although the coupling of ATP-hydrolysis to transport has been challenged [47]. It is conceivable that transport inhibitors that are strong ATPase activators at the same time compete with other substrates, e.g. Hoechst 33342 , for transport by diffusing rapidly back into the cell (so-called "fast-diffusers") [48]. To explore, if they also compete for the same binding site, further interaction type analyses would be required. It seems probable, though, because we recently identified one central multidrug-binding site [39] (i.e. for substrates and inhibitors). The acrylonitrile $\mathbf{8}$ activated the ATPase activity as efficiently as the standard activator sulfasalazine (namely with $99 \%$ ) and even exhibited a lower $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value ( 327 nM vs. 916 nM for sulfasalazine). Compound $\mathbf{8}$ might be such a "fast-diffuser", an assumption also supported by its low molecular mass.

Table 2. Effect of the reference compounds 2-8, our previous tariquidar analog $\mathbf{9}$ and the new inhibitors $\mathbf{5 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 9}$ on the ATPase activity of ABCG2 with and without pre-stimulation with sulfasalazine.



| Compound | Effect on ATPase activity ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Inhibition on stimulated ATPase activity ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{EC}_{50}[\mathrm{nM}]^{]^{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\max }[\%]^{\text {c,e }}$ | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}[\mathrm{nM}]^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{\max }[\%]^{\text {] ,f }}$ |
| Sulfasalazine | $916 \pm 2$ | $96 \pm 4$ | - | - |
| 2 (tariquidar) | $211 \pm 31$ | $25 \pm 3$ | $\geq 1180$ | $\geq 79$ |
| 3 (FTC) | $412 \pm 240$ | $-20 \pm 2$ | $1808 \pm 203$ | $104 \pm 2$ |
| 4 (Kol43) | $70 \pm 17$ | $-31 \pm 1$ | $271 \pm 44$ | $124 \pm 5$ |
| 5 | $134 \pm 3$ | $-37 \pm 2$ | $226 \pm 30$ | $141 \pm 8$ |
| 6 | $274 \pm 86$ | $45 \pm 3$ | $194 \pm 128$ | $19 \pm 4$ |
| 7 | - | $0 \pm 1$ | $745 \pm 74$ | $113 \pm 4$ |
| 8 (YHO-13177) | $327 \pm 34$ | $99 \pm 7$ | - | - |
| 9 (UR-ME22-1) | $105 \pm 14$ | $-27 \pm 7$ | $294 \pm 17$ | $105 \pm 3$ |
| 57 (UR-MB108) | $70 \pm 28$ | $-21 \pm 4$ | $96 \pm 2$ | $99 \pm 3$ |
| 59 (UR-MB136) | $52 \pm 38$ | $-23 \pm 0.1$ | $93 \pm 8$ | $112 \pm 1$ |

[^0]Transport inhibitors that exert only a partially activating effect on the ATPase activity were tariquidar (2), showing a maximal effect of $25 \%$ and standing in contrast with its ATPasesuppressing analogs ( $\mathbf{9}, 57$ and $\mathbf{5 9}$ ) and the chromone $\mathbf{6}(\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ ) as opposed to the chromone $\mathbf{7}$ with no effect. Nonetheless, both $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{7}$ were able to partly antagonize ATPase stimulation by sulfasalazine.

Figure 5 shows a comparison of the half-maximal (effective or inhibitory) concentrations in the three different functional assays performed, namely the Hoechst 33342 transport assay and the ATPase assay with and without pre-stimulation with sulfasalazine. Depicted are the values for FTC (3) and its analogs Ko143 (4) and 5, as well as our previous tariquidar analog 9 and our most potent novel analogs $\mathbf{5 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 9}$, all of which suppressed the ABCG2 ATPase activity. Interestingly, the potencies of $\mathbf{4}$ and the tariquidar analogs 9,57 and 59 coincided fairly well in the three assays, pointing to an involvement of ATPase inhibition in the impairment of the transport of the substrate [28]. These results are consistent with the structural data on ABCG2 inhibition we published recently [39]. We showed that compound 59 and a derivative of $\mathbf{4}$ both bound to the multidrug-binding site identified in these experiments (cavity 1 ; located in the transmembrane domains) and locked the inward-facing conformation, thereby inhibiting the ATPase activity (of the cytoplasmic, nucleotide-binding domains) due to conformational coupling. Furthermore, the correlation of the half-maximal concentrations in the three assays suggests that either there is no competition for the binding site with the substrate Hoechst 33342 (present in the transport assay) and sulfasalazine (present in the ATPase assay variant), or that they indeed do share a common binding site, but the respective inhibitors bind with higher affinities to ABCG2 than the aforementioned substrates and replace them at the respective concentrations applied in the assays. We assume that the latter possibility is true. In our previous structural study [39] we showed that one $\mathbf{5 9}$ molecule or two molecules of a derivative of $\mathbf{4}$ completely occupied the volume of cavity 1 . We concluded that these inhibitors act competitively at the binding site with substrates, which was the second inhibition mechanism identified, apart from ATPase inhibition. Since the compounds $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{5 7}$ only exhibit minor structural differences compared to $\mathbf{5 9}$, and, furthermore, behave in the same way in the functional assays as $\mathbf{5 9}$, it is probable that they also bind with similar affinities (and possibly in a similar position) in cavity 1 .


Figure 5. Comparison of half-maximal effective/ inhibitory concentrations produced by the compounds 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 57 and 59 in the Hoechst 33342 transport assay (black), the ATPase assay (orange) and the ATPase assay with pre-stimulation with sulfasalazine (blue).

Contrary to $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{9}, 5 \mathbf{5}$ and 59, FTC (3) and its derivative $\mathbf{5}$ did not show comparable half-maximal concentrations in the different assays. In both cases, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values determined in the Hoechst transport assay are much higher than the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the ATPase assay. This suggests competition for the binding site with the substrate Hoechst 33342 at the given concentrations. Compound $\mathbf{3}$ also shows a higher $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value in the ATPase assay when ABCG2 is stimulated with sulfasalazine than in the assay without stimulation, which might be taken as a hint to displaceability of $\mathbf{3}$ by sulfasalazine at the concentrations employed.

### 2.4 Thermostabilization of ABCG2

We analyzed the thermostabilization of ABCG2 by our two most potent novel tariquidar analogs ( 57 and 59) and the reference compound Ko143 (4) in a size-exclusion chromatography-based thermostability assay (SEC-TS). For this purpose, purified ABCG2 was incubated with or without inhibitor at increasing temperatures and subjected to SEC. The main peak heights were plotted against the temperature to obtain the "melting points" ( $T_{m}$ values) of ABCG2. The curves for ABCG2 in the presence and absence of compound $\mathbf{5 7}$ are depicted in Figure 6; the curves for ABCG2 in the presence and absence of compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5 9}$ were included in our previous report [39] (on the cryo-EM structure of ABCG2 in complex with inhibitors).


Figure 6. SEC-TS of ABCG2 before or after the addition of 57 at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The peak heights are expressed relative to the peak height of ABCG2 without inhibitor at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (HP-SEC analysis, UV detection at 280 nm ).

The melting points determined in this assay are listed in Table 3. Compounds 4,57 and $\mathbf{5 9}$ showed approximately $7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ thermostabilization of purified ABCG2. Since the three compounds stabilized ABCG2 to the same extent, it can be deduced that these compounds bind to ABCG 2 with similar affinities.

Table 3. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ values of ABCG2 alone and in complex with $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{5 7}$ or $\mathbf{5 9}$ determined in a SEC-TS.

| sample | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| ABCG2 | $53.3 \pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ (Ko143) | $60.0 \pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathbf{5 7}$ (UR-MB108) | $60.5 \pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathbf{5 9}$ (UR-MB136) | $59.0 \pm 0.5$ |

${ }^{a}$ Best fit values $\pm$ SD.

### 2.5 Cytotoxicity and Reversal of Drug Resistance

The effect of the two most potent novel transport and ATPase inhibitors (57 and 59) and the most potent reference compound $\mathrm{Ko143}$ (4) on the proliferation as well as the ability to overcome drug resistance of MCF-7/Topo cells were investigated in a kinetic chemosensitivity assay, using the inhibitors alone and in combination with the cytostatic topotecan, an ABCG2 substrate. The results are shown in Figure 7. Incubation of the cells with $\mathbf{4}$ had no effect on cell proliferation up to a concentration of $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$. A slight cytotoxic effect was observed at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. When incubated with topotecan alone at a concentration of 100 nM , the cells were not affected due to their resistance against the cytostatic. By contrast, the combination of 100 nM topotecan with a per se nontoxic concentration of $\mathbf{4}$ led to a complete reversal of resistance. Inhibitor 57 was nontoxic up to a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. At higher concentrations, however, it showed cytotoxicity, which might be an interesting property in view of addressing tumor stem cells [49]. By analogy with $\mathbf{4}, 57$ reversed topotecan resistance when administered at nontoxic concentrations in combination with the cytostatic ( 100 nM ). The
inhibitor 59, which only differs from 57 in the PEG chain attached to the tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety, was also able to reverse drug resistance in MCF-7/Topo cells and was superior to 57 in so far as it did not exhibit marked cytotoxicity at concentrations up to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$.


Figure 7. (A-C) Antiproliferative activities of Ko143 (4) (A) and the novel tariquidar analogs $\mathbf{5 7}$ (B) and $\mathbf{5 9}$ (C) against MCF7/Topo cells upon long-term incubation. (D-E) Reversal of ABCG2-mediated drug resistance against topotecan on proliferating MCF-7/Topo cells: effect of 100 nM topotecan (Topo) alone and in combination with different concentrations of 4 (C), 57 (D) or 59 (E). Antiproliferative effects correspond to the left y-axes. The growth curves of untreated control cells (open circles) correspond to the right $y$-axes. Data are mean values $\pm$ SEM of two to four independent experiments, each performed in octuplicate.

In conclusion, the reversal of drug resistance in MCF-7/Topo cells by the compounds $\mathbf{4 , 5 7}$ and 59 is in good agreement with the data from the transport assays (Figure 3, Table 1) and confirms the three substances as inhibitors of ABCG2-mediated drug efflux.

### 2.6 Stability in Blood Plasma

Prerequisite for in vivo studies is the stability of the test compounds in blood plasma. We first replaced the labile benzamide bond in 9 by a triazole core (affording compounds 38-42) and then introduced ketones at the central trisubstituted phenyl ring as alternatives to the labile ester moiety, resulting in the inhibitors 56-59. Exemplarily, the stability of $\mathbf{5 9}$ upon incubation in murine blood plasma at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was demonstrated by HPLC-analysis. As depicted in Figure 8, the peak area of $\mathbf{5 9}$ remains virtually unchanged over a period of 24 hours and no additional
peaks were detected at 220 nm . This experiment demonstrates that the bioisosteric replacement of the labile amide and ester moieties in $\mathbf{9}$ provided for stable inhibitors.


Figure 8. Chromatograms illustrating the stability of the $\mathbf{5 9}$ upon incubation in murine plasma at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 24 h . RP-HPLC analysis, UV detection at 220 nm .

## 3 Conclusion

The study presented is a continuation of our previous investigations on tariquidar analogs as ABCG2 inhibitors. Among the title compounds, UR-MB108 (57) and UR-MB136 (59) excelled in terms of inhibitory potency in the Hoechst 33342 transport assay. With $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of around 80 nM , they are among the most potent ABCG2 inhibitors known so far. Reversal of drug resistance in MCF-7/Topo breast cancer cells confirmed their ability to inhibit drug efflux. The PEGylated compound 59 also showed low activity at ABCB1, whereas $\mathbf{5 7}$ was highly ABCG2selective. Both compounds suppressed the basal ABCG2 ATPase activity and were able to antagonize the ATPase-activating effect of sulfasalazine. Furthermore, the two compounds thermostabilized ABCG 2 to the same extent, suggesting comparable binding affinities. Compound 59 was already analyzed in our precedent structural study [39] of ABCG2 in complex with inhibitors (but its synthesis and biological characterization has not been described until this report). We showed that one $\mathbf{5 9}$ molecule occupied the cavity for multidrug binding (in the transmembrane domain), locked the inward-facing conformation and inhibited the cytosolic ATPase subunit via conformational coupling. Compounds $\mathbf{5 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 9}$ differ only in the PEG chain attached at the tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety in $\mathbf{5 9}$ and it is probable that they bind similarly to the central multidrug binding site, an assumption which is supported by their same behavior in the functional assays and the thermostability assay.

Respecting future research, we expect compounds $\mathbf{5 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 9}$ to be of potential value as reference substances in mechanistic studies on ABCG2 regarding transport and inhibition.

Compound 57 is beneficial, because it is more conveniently synthesized than 59. Furthermore, in the light of their stability in blood plasma, compounds $\mathbf{5 7}$ and $\mathbf{5 9}$ can be employed as pharmacological tools for in vivo investigations on the (patho-)physiological role of the ABCG2 transporter and as a means to overcome MDR and the BBB. The high specifity of compound 57 towards ABCG2 makes it convenient, for instance, as PET tracer for imaging the ABCG2 transporter at the BBB, the two methoxy groups in 57 being suited for ${ }^{11} \mathrm{C}$-PET labeling. Furthermore it could be used for in vivo studies with pharmacological interventions specifically on the ABCG2 isoform, for example to improve the efficacy of photodynamic therapy of non-melanoma skin cancer.

## 4 Experimental Section

### 4.1 Chemistry: Experimental Protocols

### 4.1.1 General Experimental Conditions

Chemicals and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers (Sigma Aldrich, Munich, Germany; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany; VWR, Darmstadt, Germany; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA; TCI, Eschborn, Germany) and used without further purification unless stated otherwise. The catalyst $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ [50] for the Sonogashira couplings and the ligand tris[(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl]amine) [43] (TBTA) for the CuAAC were synthesized according to published procedures. Per analysis grade solvents were used for reaction mixtures and technical grade solvents for chromatography (automated flash column chromatography and TLC). Reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were carried out in dried reaction vessels under an atmosphere of nitrogen and anhydrous solvents were used. Millipore water was used throughout for the preparation of buffers and HPLC eluents. Acetonitrile for analytical and preparative HPLC (gradient grade) was obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).
Thin layer chromatography was performed on TLC Silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ aluminium plates (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Visualization was accomplished by UV irradiation at wavelengths of 254 nm and 366 nm or by staining with potassium permanganate or Ehrlich's reagent.
Automated flash column chromatography was performed on an Isolera Spektra One device (Biotage, Uppsala, Sweden). Silica gel $60(0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm})$ for flash column chromatography (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used.

Melting points were determined in open capillaries on an OptiMelt MPA 100 apparatus (Stanford Research Systems, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and are uncorrected.

NMR spectra were recorded on an Avance 300 instrument (7.05 T, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 300.1 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ : 75.5 MHz), an Avance 400 instrument ( $9.40 \mathrm{~T},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 400 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) or an Avance 600 instrument with cryogenic probe ( $14.1 \mathrm{~T},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 600 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 151 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) with TMS as external standard.

High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analysis was performed on an Agilent 6540 UHD Accurate-Mass Q-TOF LC/MS system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), using an ESI source.

IR spectra were recorded with a Golden Gate Single Reflection Diamond ATR System (Specac, Orpington, UK) in an Excalibur FTS 3000 FT-IR-Spectrometer (Bio-Rad, München, Germany).

Preparative HPLC was performed on a system from Knauer (Berlin, Germany), consisting of two K-1800 pumps and a K-2001 detector. A Kinetex ${ }^{\circledR}$ XB-C18 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 100 \AA$ Å, 250 mm x 21.2 mm; Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany) served as RP-column at a flow-rate of $15 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Mixtures of acetonitrile and $0.1 \%$ aq TFA were used as mobile phase. The detection wavelength was set to 220 nm throughout. The solvent mixtures were removed by lyophilization, using an Alpha 2-4 LD lyophilisation apparatus (Christ, Osterode am Harz, Germany) equipped with an RZ 6 rotary vane vacuum pump (Vacuubrand, Wertheim, Germany).

Analytical HPLC was performed on a system from Agilent Technologies (Santa Clara, CA, USA) (Series 1100) composed of a G1312A binary pump equipped with a G1379A degasser, a G1329A ALS autosampler, a G1316A COLCOM thermostated column compartment and a G1314A VWD detector. A Kinetex ${ }^{\circledR} \mathrm{C} 8(2.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 100 \AA, 100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$; Phenomenex, Aschaffenburg, Germany) served as RP-column at a flow rate of $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Oven temperature was set to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ throughout. Mixtures of acetonitrile (A) and $0.05 \%$ aq TFA (B) were used as mobile phase. The detection wavelength was set to 220 nm throughout. Solutions for injection $(40 \mu \mathrm{M})$ were prepared by diluting a stock solution in DMSO with a mixture of A and B corresponding to the mixture at the start of the gradient. The injection volume was $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The following linear gradient was applied: 0-12 min: A/B 30:70-95:5, 12-15 min: A/B 95:5.

### 4.1.2 General Procedure for N-Alkylation

The 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline derivative ( 1 eq ), 1-(bromomethyl)-4-nitrobenzene ( 1 eq ) or 1-(2-bromoethyl)-4-nitrobenzene ( 1 eq ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3 eq) were refluxed in MeCN overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt, filtered and the filtrate concentrated under reduced
pressure. The residual solid was taken up in DCM and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ twice. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography.

### 4.1.3 General Procedure for the Reduction of Nitro Compounds

The nitrobenzene derivative ( 1 eq ) was dissolved in EtOH , a $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ ) was added and the suspension was stirred rapidly in a hydrogen atmosphere (40 bar) at rt overnight. The catalyst was filtered off, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was subjected to flash column chromatography.

### 4.1.4 General Procedure for Azide Formation

The amine ( 1 eq ) was dissolved in 6 M HCl aq and cooled to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was added and the mixture was stirred for 1 h . The solution was neutralized with an aqueous solution of NaOAc while being cooled continuously. An aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ (1.2 eq) was added dropwise. After another h of cooling, the mixture was slowly warmed to rt, and the product was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The product was used for subsequent conversion without further purification.

### 4.1.5 General Procedure for the Ortho Acylation of 3-Bromoaniline

To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M}$ solution in heptane, 1.1 eq$)$ in DCM 3-bromoaniline (1 eq) was added under a stream of nitrogen gas. The mixture was stirred for 20 min and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ ( 1.1 eq ) and propionitrile ( 1 eq ) were added subsequently. Stirring continued for 24 h under reflux. After cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}$ aq was added. The mixture was stirred rapidly for 10 min and heated again to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The product was extracted with DCM and the organic phase washed with 1 M NaOH aq, dried and concentrated. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography.

### 4.1.6 General Procedure for the Sonogashira Reaction

The bromobenzene derivative ( 1 eq ), $\mathrm{CuI}(0.1 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.05 \mathrm{eq})$ were placed in a sealed vial under nitrogen. A mixture of degassed THF and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ (2:1) and ethynyltrimethylsilane ( 1.5 eq ) was added. The solution was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added and the product was extracted with DCM. The organic phase was separated, concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography.

### 4.1.7 General Procedure for TMS Deprotection

A solution of the respective trimethylsilyl-protected alkyne (1 eq) in THF was cooled in an ice bath. Under stirring, TBAF ( 1.5 M in THF, 1.5 eq ) was added and stirring was continued until

TLC analysis revealed complete consumption of the starting material (approximately 2 h ). The solvent was evaporated, saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution was added and the compound extracted with DCM. The organic layer was separated, dried over $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated. In case of sufficient purity the product was used for subsequent reaction without further purification. Otherwise, flash column chromatography was used to remove traces of the starting material.

### 4.1.8 General Procedure for Amide Formation

DIPEA ( 10 eq ), HBTU (3 eq) and quinoline-2-carboxylic acid (3 eq) were dissolved in anhydrous DCM under a nitrogen atmosphere and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The amine derivative was added in small portions and the mixture was allowed to reach rt and stirred for 24 h . The solution was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, twice each, dried and concentrated. The residue was subjected to flash column chromatography.

### 4.1.9 General Procedure for the CuAAC

The azide (1.0-1.5 eq), the alkyne (1.0-1.3 eq), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{eq})$, sodium ascorbate ( 0.5 eq ) and TBTA ( 0.1 eq ) were dissolved in DMF or, in case of UR-St 1 and UR-St2, in THF and stirred for 24 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. DCM was added and the organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude mixture was purified by flash column chromatography.

### 4.2 Biological Assays: Experimental Protocols

### 4.2.1 General Experimental Conditions

Materials. Commodity chemicals and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers (Sigma Aldrich, Munich, Germany; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany; VWR, Darmstadt, Germany; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA; Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany). Topotecan and vinblastine were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Hoechst 33342 and calcein-AM were procured from Biotium (Fremont, CA, USA). FTC was from Merck. Tariquidar was synthesized in our laboratory according to literature[21] with slight modifications[51]. Reversan was obtained from Tocris (Wiesbaden-Nordenstadt, Germany). Cholesterol/RAMEB, a complex of $5.4 \%$ cholesterol and randomly methylated $\beta$-cyclodextrin, was acquired from CycloLab (Cyclodextrin Research and Development Laboratory, Budapest, Hungary). Millipore water was used throughout for the preparation of buffers, aqueous reagent solutions and HPLC eluents. The pH of buffers and aqueous reagent solutions was adjusted with NaOH aq or HCl aq unless stated otherwise. Acetonitrile for HPLC (gradient grade) was obtained from Merck. Mammalian cell lines were purchased from the ATCC (American Type Culture

Collection; Manassas, VA, USA), Sf9 cells were obtained from CLS (Eppelheim, Germany). Tissue culture flasks were procured from Sarstedt (Nümbrecht, Germany). Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium - high glucose (DMEM/High; with $4500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ glucose, sodium pyruvate and sodium bicarbonate, without L-glutamine, liquid, sterile-filtered, suitable for cell culture) and L-glutamine solution ( 200 mM , sterile-filtered, BioXtra, suitable for cell culture) were from Sigma Aldrich. Insect-Xpress Medium was obtained from Lonza (Verviers, Belgium). Fetal calf serum (FCS) and trypsin/EDTA and were from Biochrom (Berlin, Germany). For all assays in microplate format, 96-well plates (PS, clear, F-bottom, with lid, sterile) from Greiner Bio-One (Frickenhausen, Germany) were used. The BaculoGold transfection kit was bought from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA, USA). The Bio-Rad protein assay kit was purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Munich, Germany). Syringe filters (Phenex-RC, $4 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) used in the chemical stability assay were from Phenomenex (Aschaffenburg, Germany).
Stock solutions. Topotecan and vinblastine were dissolved in $70 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ to give $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solutions. Hoechst 33342 stock solution ( 0.8 mM ) was prepared in water and calcein-AM stock solution $(100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ in DMSO. The test compounds and the reference compounds fumitremorgin C, tariquidar, reversan and sulfasalazine were dissolved in DMSO at 100 times the final concentrations in the transport assays and the ATPase assay and at 1000 times the final concentrations in the chemosensitivity assay. A 1.5 mM stock solution of sulfasalazine in DMSO was prepared for stimulating ABCG2 in the inhibition mode of the ATPase Assay. Furthermore, 3 mM stock solutions of the test compounds in DMSO were prepared for the stability assay in blood plasma. If not stated otherwise, water served as solvent for other assay reagents.

Instruments. Fluorescence and absorbance measurements in microplates were carried out with a GENios Pro microplate reader (equipped with a Xenon arc lamp; Tecan, Grödig, Austria). Analytical HPLC of compound $\mathbf{5 9}$ after incubation in murine plasma was performed on a system from Agilent described above (in the chemistry part of the experimental section). The HPLC conditions were as described, yet with two alterations. The following linear gradient was applied: 0-12 min: A/B 20:80-95:5, 12-15 min: A/B 95:5. The injection volume was $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$.
Software. All biological data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

### 4.2.2 Cell Culture

All mammalian cells were cultured in DMEM/High supplemented with 2\% (v/v) of a 200 mM L-glutamine solution and $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{FCS}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a water-saturated atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

MCF-7/Topo cells, an ABCG2-overexpressing variant of the MCF-7 cell line (ATCC ${ }^{\circledR}$ HTB22), were obtained by passaging the MCF-7 cells with increasing amounts of topotecan in the culture medium to achieve a maximum concentration of 550 nM within a period of about 40 d ; after 3 passages at the maximum concentration the treated cells expressed sufficient quantities of ABCG2 [40, 52]. They were cultured with 550 nM topotecan to maintain overexpression of the ABCG2 transporter.
KB-V1 cells, an ABCB1-overexpressing variant of the KB cell line (ATCC ${ }^{\circledR}$ CCL-17), were obtained by passaging the KB cells with increasing amounts of vinblastine in the culture medium to achieve a maximum concentration of 330 nM within a period of about 90 d ; after 3 passages at the maximum concentration the treated cells expressed sufficient quantities of ABCB1 transporter [51, 53]. They were cultured with 330 nM vinblastine to maintain overexpression of the ABCB1 transporter.
MDCK.2-MRP1 cells were a kind gift from Prof. Dr. P. Borst from the Netherland Cancer Institute (Amsterdam, NL). They were obtained by transfecting MDCK. 2 cells (ATCC ${ }^{\circledR}$ CRL2936) with human ABCC1 [54, 55]. Due to the strong adherence of this cell line, trypsinization was performed using 2 X trypsin/EDTA ( $0.1 \% . / 0.04 \%$ ) for 30 min .
Sf9 cells (CLS 604328) were cultured in Insect Xpress medium supplemented with 5\% (v/v) FCS in 250 or 500 mL disposable Erlenmeyer flasks at $28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under rotation at 150 rpm . All cells were routinely monitored for mycoplasma contamination by PCR using the Venor ${ }^{\circledR} \mathrm{GeM}$ mycoplasma detection kit (Minerva Biolabs, Berlin, Germany) and were negative.

### 4.2.3 Inhibition of ABCG2: Hoechst 33342 Transport Assay [44]

MCF-7/Topo cells were seeded into 96 -well plates at a density of 20,000 cells per well ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well) and allowed to attach to the surface of the microplates at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a watersaturated atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ overnight. The next day, the culture medium was removed and the cells were incubated with loading suspension (DMEM, supplemented as described above, $8 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Hoechst 33342 and the test compounds at increasing concentrations; $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well $)$ for $2 \mathrm{~h}\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, water-saturated atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). FTC at a final concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ served as reference compound (positive control); the vehicle DMSO ( $1 \%$ ) served as negative control. Each concentration was measured in triplicate, positive and negative control 12 -fold each. The supernatants were drained and the cells were fixed with $4 \%$
(w/w) PFA in PBS ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well) under light protection for 20 min . Afterwards, the MCF7/Topo cells were washed with PBS twice ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well each time) to remove residual dye and overlaid with PBS ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well). The relative fluorescence intensities ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{exc}}=340 \mathrm{~nm}$, $\lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=485 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) were determined using a GENios Pro microplate reader. On each plate, the optimal gain was calculated by the determination of the fluorescence intensities in the presence of the reference substance FTC.

The data were normalized relative to the fluorescence intensity in the absence of an ABCG2 inhibitor (negative control) and the response elicited by the FTC (positive) control, which was defined as $100 \%$ inhibition of the Hoechst 33342 efflux. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated using four parameter sigmoidal fits. Errors were expressed as standard error of the mean (SEM).

### 4.2.4 Inhibition of ABCB1: Calcein-AM Transport Assay [44]

KB-V1 cells were seeded into 96 -well plates at a density of 20,000 cells per well ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well $)$ and allowed to attach to the surface of the microplates at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a water-saturated atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ overnight. The next day, the culture medium was removed and the cells were washed with loading buffer ( $120 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, 25 mM HEPES, 10 mM glucose, pH 7.4 ) in order to remove unspecific serum esterases. Afterwards, the cells were incubated with loading suspension (loading buffer, $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ BSA, $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mL} 20 \%$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) Pluronic ${ }^{\circledR} \mathrm{F}-127$ in DMSO, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ calcein-AM and the test compounds at increasing concentrations; $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well $)$ for $10 \mathrm{~min}\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, water-saturated atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). Tariquidar at a final concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ served as reference compound (positive control); the vehicle DMSO (1\%) served as negative control. Each concentration was measured in triplicate, positive and negative control 12-fold each. The supernatants were drained and the cells were fixed with $4 \%$ (w/w) PFA in PBS ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{well}$ ) under light protection for 20 min . Afterwards, the KB-V1 cells were washed with PBS twice ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well each time) to remove residual dye and overlaid with PBS ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{well}$ ). The relative fluorescence intensities ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{exc}}=485 \mathrm{~nm}, \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=535 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) were determined using a GENios Pro microplate reader. On each plate, the optimal gain was calculated by the determination of the fluorescence intensities in the presence of the reference substance tariquidar.

The data were normalized relative to the fluorescence intensity in the absence of an ABCB1 inhibitor (negative control) and the response elicited by the tariquidar (positive) control, which was defined as $100 \%$ inhibition of the calcein-AM efflux. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated using four parameter sigmoidal fits. Errors were expressed as standard error of the mean (SEM).

### 4.2.5 Inhibition of ABCC1: Calcein-AM Transport Assay [41]

MDCK.2-MRP1 cells were seeded into 96 -well plates at a density of 20,000 cells per well ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well) and allowed to attach to the surface of the microplates in a water-saturated atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The assay was performed and the data evaluated as described for the calcein-AM Transport Assay for analyzing ABCB1 inhibitors with two exceptions: reversan at a final concentration of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ served as positive control and the incubation time was 1 h .

### 4.2.6 ABCG2 ATPase Assay

Generation of recombinant baculoviruses encoding the ABCG2 protein (in the pVL1392 vector) was performed in Sf9 insect cells using the BD BaculoGold transfection kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. High-titer virus stock solutions were generated by 2-3 sequential amplifications. The supernatant fluid from the last amplification was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under light protection.
Membrane preparation. The protocol is based on the work of Sarkadi et al. [56]. Sf9 cells with a density of $3 \times 10^{6}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ were infected 1:500 with a high-titer ABCG2 baculovirus stock, incubated for 48 h and harvested by centrifugation at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 500 g for 10 min . The cell pellet was re-suspended in Tris mannitol buffer ( 50 mM Tris, 300 mM mannitol, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), $\mathrm{pH} 7 ; 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and centrifuged again. Then the pellet was lysed and homogenized in TMEP buffer ( 50 mM Tris, 50 mM mannitol, 1 mM EDTA, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ leupeptin, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ benzamidine, 0.5 mM PMSF, 2 mM DTT, pH 7; 60 mL ) by a Potter Elvehjem tissue homogenizer. Undisrupted cells and cellular debris were pelleted by centrifugation at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 500 g for 10 min and the supernatant, containing the membranes, was removed carefully. For cholesterol loading[57, 58], $2.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Cholesterol/RAMEB complex (cholesterol content $5.4 \%$ ) was added ( 150 mg total) and the membranes were incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . After centrifugation at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $100,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 1 h the pellet (containing the membranes) was re-suspended in TMEP buffer ( 30 mL ), giving a protein concentration of $2.0-3.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, homogenized with a Potter Elvehjem tissue homogenizer. All procedures during the membrane preparation were performed at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aliquots ( $500-1000 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.
Protein quantification was performed by the method of Bradford using the Bio-Rad protein assay kit according to the manual.

Assay procedure. The assay was performed by analogy with the ABCB1 ATPase assay procedure described by Sarkadi et al. [56]. The ATPase activity of the ABCG2 transporter was estimated by measuring inorganic phosphate liberation. It was determined as orthovanadate-
sensitive ATPase activity in the presence of inhibitors in ABCG2 Sf9 membrane preparations. The assay was carried out in the activation mode, i.e. without stimulation of ABCG2, and in the inhibition mode, where the ABCG2 transporters in the membranes were stimulated with sulfasalazine.

Membranes containing $2.0-2.5 \mathrm{mg}$ total protein were thawed on ice and pelleted by centrifugation at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $16,200 \mathrm{~g}$ for 10 min . Then they were suspended in assay buffer ( 50 mM MOPS-Tris ( 100 mM MOPS, pH adjusted to 7.0 with 1.7 M Tris), $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{mM}$ EGTA, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM ouabain; 4.2 mL ), mixed with $10 \%$ (w/w) CHAPS ( $42 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; decreases the high basal ABCG2 ATPase activity) and homogenized using a syringe and a needle (27G). When the assay was performed in the inhibition mode, 1.5 mM sulfasalazine ( $10.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; final conc. $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was added to the suspension to activate ABCG2. The suspension was split into 2 portions ( 2.0 mL each) and one portion was supplemented with orthovanadate ( $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}{ }_{3} \mathrm{VO}_{4}, \mathrm{pH} \mathrm{10} ; 50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$; final conc. 2 mM ), the other with the same amount of purified water. The two suspensions (w. and wo. orthovanadate) were transferred into a 96 -well plate on ice ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well; $20-25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ protein/well) and pre-incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min .

The ATPase reaction was started by adding the reference and test compounds in assay buffer containing 20 mM ATP ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well, giving a final volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well; final conc. 4 mM ) with a multichannel pipette and the plate was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a microplate shaker for 1 h . For this purpose, an ATP solution ( $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{Mg}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{ATP}$, $400 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, pH adjusted to 7.0 with 1.7 M Tris) was diluted $1: 10(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ with assay buffer and the test and reference compounds were added 5-fold concentrated. Sulfasalazine at a final concentration of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ served as reference activator (positive control) in the activation mode; FTC at a final concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ served as reference inhibitor (positive control) in the inhibition mode; the vehicle DMSO ( $1 \%$ final content) served as negative control. Each concentration (w. and wo. orthovanadate) was measured in duplicate, positive and negative control in quadruplicate each.

The reaction was stopped by addition of $10 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ SDS ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well); background control (phosphate stemming from the assay buffer) (w. and wo. orthovanadate) was stopped before starting the reaction with MgATP and was measured in duplicate.
Phosphate standards $\left(0,0.05,0.1,0.25,1.5\right.$ and $2.0 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in assay buffer; $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well; each concentration measured in duplicate) were included on each plate for calibration.
The amount of phosphate was determined by adding a colorimetric reagent (1 part of reagent A ( 35 mM ammonium molybdate, 15 mM zinc acetate) mixed with 4 parts of reagent B ( $5 \%$ (w/w) ascorbic acid, pH 5.0 ; freshly prepared); $200 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well) and by incubating at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for
further 20 min . The absorbance ( 820 nm ) as a parameter proportional to the phosphate amount was measured, using a GENios Pro microplate reader.

Data obtained under the treatment with orthovanadate were subtracted from the data without orthovanadate to obtain phosphate liberation resulting from ABCG2 activity. The ensuing data were normalized relative to the absorbance in the absence of an ABCG2 activator/inhibitor (negative control) and the response elicited by the sulfasalazine (positive) control, which was defined as $100 \%$ ATPase activity in the activation mode, or the response elicited by the FTC (positive) control, which was defined as $0 \%$ ATPase activity in the inhibition mode of the assay, where the membranes were activated with sulfasalazine. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated using four parameter sigmoidal fits. Errors were expressed as standard error of the mean (SEM).

### 4.2.7 Size-Exclusion Chromatography-Based Thermostability Assay (SECTS)

Expression and purification of human ABCG2 was performed as described in our previous report [39].

Assay procedure. The assay was carried out as described in our previous study [39]. In short: Detergent-purified ABCG2 was incubated with or without the test compound at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 10 min at room temperature. Samples were aliquoted ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ each) and heated at one temperature, ranging from $30-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for 10 min in a Bio-Rad Thermocycler. Then the samples were cooled on ice, centrifuged at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $100,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 20 min and subjected to HP-SEC, using a TSKgel G3000SWxl column (Tosoh Biosciences) as stationary phase and a detection wavelength of $280 \mathrm{~nm} . T_{m}$ values were calculated using four parameter sigmoidal fits. Errors were expressed as standard deviation (SD) of the best fit values.

### 4.2.8 Chemosensitivity Assay [59]

MCF-7/Topo cells were seeded into 96 -well plates at a density of 1500 cells per well ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{well}$ ) and allowed to attach to the surface of the microplates in a water-saturated atmosphere containing $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The next day, fresh medium containing the test compounds at 2 -fold final concentrations was added ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well; giving a final volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well). On each plate, vinblastine at a final concentration of 300 nM served as reference cytostatic (positive control); the vehicle DMSO ( $0.1 \%$ ) served as negative control to monitor cell growth in the absence of a drug. Each concentration was measured 8 -fold, positive and negative control 16 -fold each. Growth of the cells was stopped after different periods of time by removal of medium and fixation with $2 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glutardialdehyde in PBS. All the plates were stored at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the end of the experiment and afterwards stained with $0.02 \%$ crystal
violet ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{well}$ ) for 20 min . Excess dye was removed by rinsing the plates with water three times. Crystal violet bound by the fixed cells was re-dissolved in $70 \% \mathrm{EtOH}(180 \mu \mathrm{~L} /$ well $)$ while shaking the microplates for $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$. The absorbance ( 580 nm ) as a parameter proportional to the cell mass was measured using a GENios Pro microplate reader.
Cytotoxic effects were expressed as corrected T/C-values according to

$$
T / C_{\text {corr }}[\%]=\frac{T-C_{0}}{C-C_{0}} \cdot 100
$$

where T is the mean absorbance of the treated cells, C the mean absorbance of the growth controls and $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ the mean absorbance of the cells at the time of compound addition $\left(\mathrm{t}_{0}\right)$. When the absorbance of treated cells T was lower than at the beginning of the experiment $\left(\mathrm{C}_{0}\right)$, the extent of cell killing was calculated as cytocidal effect according to
Cytocidal effect $[\%]=\frac{T-C_{0}}{C_{0}} \cdot 100$

### 4.2.9 Chemical Stability Assay (in Blood Plasma) [41]

The blood from NMRI ( $\mathrm{nu} / \mathrm{nu}$ ) mice was collected by cardiac puncture in deep anesthesia using heparinized syringes. To remove cellular components, the samples were immediately centrifuged at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 4500 g for 7 min and the supernatant was carefully removed. The plasma samples were stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Stock solutions of the test compounds ( 3 mM in DMSO) were diluted 1:50 with murine plasma giving a concentration of $60 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The samples were vortexed shortly and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After different periods of time, aliquots were taken and deproteinated by adding two parts of ice-cold MeCN , vortexing and storing at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Samples were centrifuged at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $14,000 \mathrm{~g}$ for 5 min and the supernatants were filtered with syringe filters, diluted $1: 1$ with MeCN and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analyzed. They were thawed at room temperature and analyzed with HPLC.

## Ancillary Content

Supporting information related to this article can be found.
Preparation of the intermediate and target compounds and corresponding analytical data.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the target compounds. RP-HPLC analysis (purity control) of key target compounds.
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## 1 Syntheses: Experimental Protocols and Analytical Data

## 6-Methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (14)

 [1]

Compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ was prepared as described previously [1].

6,7-Dimethoxy-2-(4-nitrobenzyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (15) [2, 3]


Compound 15 was prepared according to the general procedure for N -alkylation and was described elsewhere [2, 3].

6-Methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-2-(4-nitrobenzyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline (16)


Compound 16 was prepared from $14(2.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for N alkylation. Flash column chromatography (PE:EtOAc 1:1) afforded a brownish oil. Yield: 843 mg ( $1.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ). $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (PE:EtOAc 1:1): 0.38. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.72$ (t, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.82 (t, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.35 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.49-3.55 (m, 4H), 3.60-3.67 (m, 4H), 3.683.73 (m, 2H), 3.75 (s, 2H), 3.80 ( s, 3H), 3.83 (t, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.09 (t, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.52 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ס): $28.7,50.9,55.7,56.0,59.0,61.9,68.7,69.6,70.5,70.6,70.8,71.9,112.1,112.2,123.6$ (2C), 126.3, 126.7, 126.9, 129.5 (2C), 146.6, 147.2, 148.3. IR [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: 2899.0(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{br}), 1602.8(\mathrm{~m})$, $1516.0(\mathrm{~s}), 1450.5(\mathrm{~m}), 1342.5(\mathrm{~s}), 1255.7(\mathrm{~m}), 1226.7(\mathrm{~m}), 1128.4(\mathrm{~s}), 1105.2(\mathrm{~s}), 854.5(\mathrm{~m})$, 632.7 (s), 536.2 (s), 497.6 ( s ), 414.7 ( s ). HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{+}$ 461.2282; found 461.2285. $\mathbf{C}_{24} \mathbf{H}_{32} \mathbf{N}_{2} \mathbf{O}_{7}$ (460.53).


Compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ was prepared according to the general procedure for N -alkylation and was described elsewhere [4].

6,7-Dimethoxy-2-(4-nitrophenethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (18) [4-6]


Compound 18 was prepared according to the general procedure for N -alkylation and was described elsewhere [4-6].

6-Methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-2-(4-nitrophenethyl)-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinoline (19) [1]


Compound $\mathbf{1 9}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{1 4}$ according to the general procedure for N -alkylation and was described previously [1].

4-((6,7-Dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methyl)aniline (20) [3]


Compound 20 was prepared from 15 according to the general procedure for the reduction of nitro compounds and was described elsewhere [3].

4-((6-Methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)yl)methyl)aniline (21)


Compound 16 ( 1.58 mmol ) was dissolved in EtOH , before $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{eq})$ was added. The solution was refluxed under a nitrogen atmosphere for 2 h . The solvent was evaporated and

EtOAc was added. The organic solution was washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH} \mathrm{aq}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified in two subsequent flash column chromatography runs ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{NEt}_{3} 89: 10: 1,2^{\text {nd }}$ run: EtOH ) to obtain 21 as a yellow oil. Yield: $327 \mathrm{mg}(0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%)$. $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right.$ 89:10:1): 0.37, $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (EtOH): 0.25. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.68(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 3.83 (t, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.10 (t, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.53 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.65 (d, $J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H},), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 28.8, $50.5,55.5,56.0,59.0$, $62.3,68.7,69.7,70.5,70.6,70.8,72.0,112.1,112.4,115.0$ (2C), 127.0, 127.1, 128.1, 130.3 (2C), 145.6, 146.4, 148.1. IR [ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]: 3450.6$ (w, br), 3358.1 (w, br), 2887.4 (m, br), 2353.1 (w), 2324.2 (w), 1734 (w), 1612.5 (m), 1516 (s), 1450.5 (m), 1363.7 (m), 1255.7 (m), 1224.8 (m), 1122.6 ( s ), 833.2 (m), 632.7 ( s ), 536.2 ( s ), 497.6 ( s$), 403.1$ ( s$)$. HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{+} \mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{+}$431.2540; found 431.2542. $\mathbf{C}_{24} \mathbf{H}_{34} \mathbf{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (430.55).

## 4-(2-(3,4-Dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)aniline (22) [4]



Compound 22 was prepared from 17 according to the general procedure for the reduction of nitro compounds and was described elsewhere [4].

## 4-(2-(6,7-Dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)aniline (23) [4-6]



Compound 23 was prepared from 18 according to the general procedure for the reduction of nitro compounds and was described elsewhere [4-6].

## 4-(2-(6-Methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)aniline (24) [1]



Compound 24 was prepared from 19 according to the general procedure for the reduction of nitro compounds and was described previously [1].

## 2-(4-azidobenzyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (25)



Compound 25 was prepared from $20(0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for azide formation. Yield: 269 mg ( $0.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$ ), brown oil. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 2.78$2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 27.9, 50.1, 54.7, 55.9 (2C), 61.1, 109.5, 111.4, 119.0 (2C), 125.5, 125.6, 130.9 (2C), 139.3, 147.4, 147.7 (one quaternary carbon not apparent). IR [ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ]: 2939.5 ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{br}$ ), 2117.8 (s), 1714.7 (m), 1519.9 (m), 1363.7 (w), 1286.5 (m), 1261.4 (m), 1124.5 (m), 1055.1 (w), 1010.7 (w), 881.5 (vw), 825.5 (vw), 632.7 (m), 536.2 ( s ), 495.7 ( s ), 405.1 ( s ). HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{+} \mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+} 325.1659$; found 325.1664. $\mathbf{C 1 8 H}_{\mathbf{1} \mathbf{0}} \mathbf{N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (324.38).

## 2-(4-Azidobenzyl)-6-methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-1,2,3,4-

 tetrahydroisoquinoline (26)

Compound 26 was prepared from $21(0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for azide formation. Yield: $242 \mathrm{mg}(0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%)$, brownish oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): $2.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.51-3.56 (m, 2H), 3.61-3.67 (m, 4 H), 3.69-3.73 (m, 2H), 3.78-3.82 (m, 5H), , $3.84(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 25.9,48.8,52.6,56.0,58.8,59.0,68.6,69.6,70.5,70.6,70.8,71.9$, $111.8,112.0,119.3$ (2C), 122.7, 125.0, 130.3, 131.8 (2C), 140.3, 147.0, 148.8. IR [ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ]: 2910.6 (m, br), 2115.9 (s), 1708.9 (w), 1608.6 (w), 1516.0 (m, br), 1462.0 (w), 1363.7 (w), 1286.5 (m, br), 1257.6 (w), 1228.7 (w), 1128.4 (m, br), 632.7 (s), 536.2 (s), 497.6 (s), 401.2 (s).

HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{+} 457.2445$ found 457.2446. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 4}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{4} \mathbf{O}$ (456.54).

## 2-(4-Azidophenethyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (27)



Compound 27 was prepared from $22(1.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for azide formation. Yield: $292 \mathrm{mg}(0.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%)$, yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.88$ 3.06 (m, 8H), 3.91 (s, 2H), 6.94-6.99 (m, 2H), 7.03-7.07 (m, 1H), 7.11-7.19 (m, 3H), 7.21-7.24 (m, 2H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 27.3, 32.1, 49.9, 54.5, 58.4, 119.2 (2C), 126.2, 126.77, 126.81, 128.7, 130.2 (2C), 132.3, 133.2, 136.0, 138.2. IR [cm ${ }^{-1}$ ]: 2939.5 ( $\mathrm{vw}, \mathrm{br}$ ), $2112.0(\mathrm{~m}), 1714.7$ (w), 1674.2 (w), 1506.4 (w), 1286.5 (w, br), 632.7 (m), 534.3 (s), 497.6 (s), 405.1 ( s ). HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{4}{ }^{+}$279.1604; found 279.1604. $\mathbf{C 1 7 H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ (278.36).

## 2-(4-Azidophenethyl)-6,7-dimethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (28) [7]



Compound 28 was prepared from $23(1.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for azide formation. Yield: 260 mg ( $0.77 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ), colorless solid. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$, ס): 2.60-2.74 (m, 6H), 2.77-2.86 (m, 2H), $3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}$, ס): $24.6,29.0,48.8,51.4,55.4,55.5,55.9,109.6,111.5,119.3$ (2C), 123.4, 130.2 (2C), 134.2, 137.8, 147.5, 148.1 (one quaternary carbon not apparent). HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$339.1816; found 339.1817. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2} 2} \mathbf{N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (338.41).

## 2-(4-Azidophenethyl)-6-methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-1,2,3,4-

 tetrahydroisoquinoline (29)

Compound 29 was prepared from $24(1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for azide formation. Yield: $344 \mathrm{mg}(0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \%)$, brown oil. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 2.70$2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.76(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 3.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.86 (t, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.13 (t, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58$ (s, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 6.96 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.22 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 28.7, 33.4, 51.0, 55.7, 56.0, 59.1, 60.1, 68.8, 69.7, 70.6, 70.7, 70.8, 72.0, 112.0, 112.4, 119.0 (2C), 126.5, 126.9, 130.1 (2C), 137.2, 137.8, 146.5, 148.3. IR [cm ${ }^{-1}$ ]: 2922.1 (w, br), 2110.1 (m), 1734.0 (vw, br), 1510.3 (m), 1452.4 (w), 1371.4 (w), 1286.5 (w), 1257.6 (w), 1228.7 (w), 1126.4 (w), 632.7 (m), 536.2(s), $497.6(\mathrm{~s}), 403.1(\mathrm{~s})$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{+} 471.2602$; found 471.2604. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3} 4} \mathbf{N 4} \mathbf{4} \mathbf{5}$ (470.57).

Methyl 2-amino-4-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)benzoate (31) [8]


Compound 31 was prepared from methyl 2-amino-4-bromobenzoate according to the general procedure for the Sonogashira reaction and was described previously [8].

Methyl 2-amino-4-ethynylbenzoate (32) [8]


Compound $\mathbf{3 2}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{3 1}$ according to the general procedure for TMS deprotection and was described previously [8].

Methyl 2-amino-4-(1-(4-((6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)benzoate (33)


Compound $\mathbf{3 3}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{2 5}(0.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathbf{3 2}(1.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq})$ according to the general procedure for the CuAAC. The crude product was purified in two subsequent flash column chromatography runs ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} 49: 1,2^{\text {nd }}$ run: DCM:acetone 4:1). Yield: 255 mg , ( $0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ ), colorless solid. $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} 49: 1\right): 0.08, \mathbf{R}_{f}$ (DCM:acetone 4:1): 0.09. MP: $197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): $2.77(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.85(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.86$ (br s, 2H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 7.09 (dd, $J=1.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.35 (d, J=1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 28.7,50.9,51.6,55.7,56.0$ (2C), 62.0, 109.5, 110.5, 111.5, 113.4, 113.8, $118.5,120.6$ (2C), 126.1, 126.4, 130.3 (2C), 132.1, 135.4, 135.9, 139.9, 147.3, 147.4, 147.6, 150.9, 168.3. IR [cm ${ }^{-1}$ ]: 3471.9 (m), 3361.9 (m), 3138.2 (w), 2941.4 (m), 2912.5 (m), 2837.3 (w), 2787.1 (w), 2756.3 ( w), 1680 ( s$), 1626$ (m), 1593.2 (m), 1518 ( s$), 1450.5$ (m), 1431.2 (m), $1365.6(\mathrm{~m}), 1298.1(\mathrm{~m}), 1226.7(\mathrm{~s}), 1186.2(\mathrm{~m}), 1124.5(\mathrm{~m}), 1099.4(\mathrm{~m}), 1039.6(\mathrm{~m}), 887.3(\mathrm{~m})$, $850.6(\mathrm{~m}), 812(\mathrm{~m}), 781.2(\mathrm{~m}), 700.2(\mathrm{~m}), 555.5(\mathrm{~m}), 403.1(\mathrm{~m})$. HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M} \mathrm{+} \mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{+} 500.2292$; found 500.2301. $\mathbf{C}_{28} \mathbf{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (499.57).

Methyl 2-amino-4-(1-(4-((6-methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)benzoate (34)


Compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{2 6}(0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathbf{3 2}(0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq})$ according to the general procedure for the CuAAC and purified by flash column chromatography
(DCM:acetone:MeOH 13:6:1). For removal of residual TBTA, the mixture was subjected once more to column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}: E t O A c 1: 4\right.$ and then EtOAc:NEt3 4:1). The eluate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated. Yield: $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}(63 \%)$, yellow solid. $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CL}_{2}\right.$ :acetone:MeOH 13:6:1): 0.60 . MP: $111{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.68$ (m, 4H), 3.68-3.74 (m, 2H), $3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.11 (t, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.87 (br s, 2H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 6.61 (s, 1H), 7.09 (dd, $J=1.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.35 (d, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 28.6, $50.7,51.6,55.5,56.0,59.0,61.8,68.8$, $69.6,70.5,70.6,70.8,71.9,110.5,112.1,112.3,113.4,113.8,118.6,120.6$ (2C), 126.3 (HMBC), 126.7, 130.4 (2C), 132.1, 135.4, 136.0, 139.3 (HMBC), 146.6, 147.4, 148.4, 150.9, 168.3. IR [cm ${ }^{-1}$ ]: 3481.5 (w), 3365.8 (w), 2922.1 (m, br), 2877.8 (m, br), 1681.9 (m), 1624.1 (m), 1595.1 (m), 1560.4 (m), $1516.0(\mathrm{~s}), 1448.5(\mathrm{~m}), 1365.6(\mathrm{~m}), 1296.2(\mathrm{~m}), 1234.4(\mathrm{~s}), 1190.1$ (m), 1097.5 (s), 1035.8 (m), $935.5(\mathrm{w}), 850.6(\mathrm{~m}), 814.0(\mathrm{~m}), 781.2(\mathrm{~m}), 700.2(\mathrm{~m}), 461.0(\mathrm{w})$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{+} 632.3079$ found 632.3084. $\mathbf{C}_{34} \mathbf{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (631.73).

## Methyl 2-amino-4-(1-(4-(2-(3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)benzoate (35)



Compound 35 was prepared from 27 ( $1.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathbf{3 2}$ ( $2.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) according to the general procedure for the CuAAC. The crude product was purified in three subsequent flash column chromatography runs ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} 19: 1,2^{\text {nd }}$ run: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} 49: 1$, $3^{\text {rd }}$ run: EtOAc:NEt ${ }_{3}$ 49:1). Yield: $367 \mathrm{mg}\left(0.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%\right.$ ), colorless crystals. $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} 19: 1\right): 0.39, \mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} 49: 1\right): 0.04, \mathbf{R}_{f}$ (EtOAc:NEt ${ }_{3}$ 49:1): 0.23. MP: $191{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 2.78-2.86 (m, 4H), 2.91-3.02 (m, 4H), $3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.89 (s, 3H), 5.85 (br s, 2H), 7.01-7.17 (m, 5H), 7.34 (d, $J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42$ (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H), $7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): $29.1,33.5,51.0,51.6,56.1,59.8,110.5,113.4,113.8,118.5,120.6$ (2C), 125.7, 126.2, 126.6, 128.7, 130.1 (2C), 132.1, 134.2, 134.6, 135.2, 135.5, 141.7, 147.4, 150.9, 168.3. IR [cm ${ }^{-1}$ ]: 3469.9 (m), 3363.8 (m), 3122.7 (w), 2922.1 (m), 2854.6 (w), 2802.6 (w), 2347.4 (w), 2324.2
(w), 1683.9 (s), 1618.3 (m), 1583.6 (s), 1516 (m), 1448.5 (m), 1294.2 (m), 1238.3 (s), 1193.9 (m), 1097.5 ( s ), 1037.7 (m), 889.2 (m), 829.4 ( s$), 785$ ( s$), 742.6$ ( s$), 698.2$ (s), 511.1 ( s , br). HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+} 454.2238$ found 454.2239. $\mathbf{C}_{27} \mathbf{H}_{27} \mathbf{N}_{5} \mathbf{O}_{2}$ (453.55).

Methyl 2-amino-4-(1-(4-(2-(6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)benzoate (36)


Compound $\mathbf{3 6}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{2 8}(0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathbf{3 2}(0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq})$ according to the general procedure for the CuAAC and purified by flash column chromatography (EtOAc: $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ 99:1). Yield: $62 \mathrm{mg}(0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%)$, yellowish solid. $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{EtOAc}^{2} \mathrm{NEt}_{3} 99: 1\right)$ : 0.12. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): $2.73-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.83 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.86 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.87 (br s, 2H), 6.53 ( s, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 7.07 (dd, J=1.3, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 28.7,33.6,51.1,51.6,55.7$, 55.97, 56.01, 59.7, 109.6, 110.5, 111.5, 113.4, 113.8, 118.6, 120.6 (2C), 126.1, 126.4, 130.1 (2C), 132.1, 135.2, 135.5, 141.7, 147.3, 147.4, 147.7, 150.9, 168.3. MS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{+}$514.2; found 514.1. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathbf{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (513.60).

Methyl 2-amino-4-(1-(4-(2-(6-methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)benzoate (37)


Compound $\mathbf{3 7}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{2 9}(0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathbf{3 2}(0.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq})$ according to the general procedure for the CuAAC and purified by flash column chromatography (DCM:acetone: MeOH 13:6:1). Yield: 220 mg ( $0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 67 \%$ ), yellow solid. $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (DCM:acetone:MeOH 13:6:1): $0.15 . \operatorname{MP}: 9{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 2.77-2.88
(m, 6H), 2.94-3.02 (m, 2H), 3.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.75(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 28.7, 33.5, 51.0, 51.6, 55.6, 56.02, 59.05, 59.7, 68.8, 69.7, 70.6, 70.7, $70.8,72.0,110.5,112.0,112.4,113.4,113.8,118.6,120.6$ (2C), 126.4, 126.9, 130.1 (2C), 132.1, 135.2, 135.5, 141.6, 146.5, 147.4, 148.3, 150.9, 168.3. IR [cm ${ }^{-1}$ ]: 3468.0 (w, br), 3361.9 (w, br), 2920.2 (m, br), 2873.9 (m), 2810.3 (w), 1732.1 (m), 1689.6 (s), 1622.1 (m), 1591.3 (m), 1518.0 ( s , 1448.5 (m), 1348.2 ( w ), 1301.9 (m), 1242.2 ( s$), 1224.8$ ( s$), 1126.4$ ( s$), 1097.5$ ( s$)$, 1033.8 (m), 987.6 (w), 943.2 (w), 891.1 (w), 842.9 (m), 815.9 (m), 777.3 (m), 700.2 (w), 528.5 (w), 453.3 (w). HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7} 646.3235$; found 646.3237. $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (645.76).

## Methyl 4-(1-(4-((6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methyl)phenyl)-1H-

1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-2-(quinoline-2-carboxamido)benzoate (38)


Compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{3 3}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for amide formation. It was purified by flash column chromatography ( $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} 20: 1,2^{\text {nd }}$ DCM:acetone: MeOH 12:7:1). Yield: 72 mg ( 0.11 mmol , $55 \%$ ), yellowish solid. $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ 20:1): 0.46; $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (DCM:acetone:MeOH 12:7:1): 0.66. MP: $135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \delta$ ): $2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.14-8.54(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 9.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \delta\right): 27.6,50.1,51.6,54.5,55.0$ (2C), 60.8, 108.9, 110.9, 115.3, 116.3, 118.0, $118.5,119.1,119.7$ (2C), 125.1, 127.0, 127.5, 128.7, 129.2, 129.6, 129.7 (3C), 131.2, 135.2, 135.3, 137.0, 140.6, 145.8, 146.4, 146.7, 147.1, 149.3, 162.8, 166.9 (one quaternary carbon not apparent). HRMS-ESI $(m / z):[M+H]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{+}$655.2663; found 655.2661. $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (654.73).

Methyl 4-(1-(4-((6-methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-3,4-
dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)methyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-2-(quinoline-2carboxamido)benzoate (39)


Compound 39 was prepared from $34(0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for amide formation. It was purified with flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} 100: 7\right)$. Yield: 73 mg ( $0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ ). $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ 100:7): 0.40. MP: $138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.74-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.62-3.68 (m, 4H), 3.70-3.74 (m, 2H), 3.76 (s, 2H), 3.82 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.85 (t, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.09 (s, 3H), $4.12(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.69(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.46(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 28.6,50.8,52.5,55.6,56.1$, $59.0,62.0,68.8,69.7,70.6,70.7,70.8,72.0,112.1,112.3,116.0,117.3,118.8,119.1,120.2$, 120.5 (2C), 126.8, 127.7, 128.4, 129.4, 130.3 (4C), 132.2, 136.0, 137.8, 141.3, 146.6, 146.7, 147.4, 148.3, 150.0, 163.9, 167.8 (three quaternary carbons not apparent). HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}{ }^{+} 787.3450$; found 787.3436. $\mathbf{C 4 4}_{44} \mathbf{H}_{46} \mathbf{N}_{6} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{8}$ (786.89).

Methyl 4-(1-(4-(2-(3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-2-(quinoline-2-carboxamido)benzoate (40)


Compound 40 was prepared from $35(0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for amide formation. It was purified by flash column chromatography (EtOAc:MeOH 19:1). Yield: 81 mg ( $0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 59 \%$ ), yellowish solid. $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (EtOAc:MeOH 19:1): 0.42. MP: $131{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.81-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-$ $7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99$ (dd, $J=1.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34-8.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, §): $29.0,33.5,51.0,52.5,56.1,59.8,116.0,117.3,118.8,119.1,120.2,120.6$ (2C), 125.7, 126.3, $126.6,127.7,128.4,128.7,129.4,130.1$ (2C), 130.31, 130.34, 132.2, 134.2, 135.2, 136.0, 137.8, 141.3, 141.6, 146.7, 147.4, 150.0, 163.9, 167.8 (one quaternary carbon not apparent). HRMSESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{+} 609.2609$; found 609.2611. $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathbf{H}_{32} \mathbf{N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (608.70).

## Methyl 4-(1-(4-(2-(6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)phenyl)-1H-

## 1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-2-(quinoline-2-carboxamido)benzoate (41)



Compound 41 was prepared from $\mathbf{3 6}(0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for amide formation. It was purified by flash column chromatography (EtOAc:NEt ${ }_{3}$ 99:1). Yield: 60 mg ( $0.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ ), yellowish solid. $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (EA: $\mathrm{NEt}_{3} 99: 1$ ): 0.34 . MP: $129{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.80-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.80-7.86 (m, 1H), 7.91 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.98 (dd, $J=1.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33-8.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): $28.5,33.4,51.0,52.5,55.6,55.9,56.0,59.6,109.5,111.4,116.0,117.3$, $118.8,119.1,120.1,120.6$ (2C), 126.0, 126.1, 127.7, 128.3, 129.4, 130.1 (2C), 130.29, 130.32, 132.1, 135.2, 136.0, 137.7, 141.3, 141.4, 146.6, 147.3, 147.4, 147.7, 150.0, 163.9, 167.8. IR [ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ]: 3130.5 (vw), 2922.1 (m, br), 2852.7 (w), 1691.6 ( s , 1612.5 ( w ), 1568.1 (m), 1500.6 ( s$)$, 1427.3 (m), 1230.6 (s), 1126.4 (m), 1103.3 (m), 1037.7 (m), 819.7 (w), 767.7 (s), 696.3 (m), $528.5(\mathrm{~m}), 478.3(\mathrm{~m}), 457.1(\mathrm{~m})$. MS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}{ }^{+}$669.2820; found 669.2833. $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathbf{H}_{36} \mathbf{N}_{6} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{5}$ (668.75).

Methyl 4-(1-(4-(2-(6-methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-2-(quinoline-2carboxamido)benzoate (42)


Compound 42 was prepared from $37(25 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for amide formation. It was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} 100: 7\right)$. Yield: $120 \mathrm{mg}(15 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%)$, colorless solid. MP: $129^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 2.79-$ $2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.43 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.63-7.69 (m, 1H), 7.75 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.82-7.85 (m, 1H), 7.907.93 (m, 1H), 7.98 (dd, $J=1.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right)$ : $28.6,33.5,51.0,52.4,55.5,56.0,59.0,59.6,68.8,69.6,70.5,70.6,70.8,71.9,112.0,112.4$, $116.0,117.3,118.8,119.0,120.2,120.6$ (2C), 126.8 (2C), 127.6, 128.3, 129.4, 130.1 (2C), $130.26,130.29,132.1,135.1,136.0,137.7,141.3,141.5,146.5,146.6,147.3,148.3,150.0$, 163.9, 167.8. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}{ }^{+}$801.3606; found 801.3640. $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ (800.91).

## 1-(2-Amino-4-bromophenyl)ethan-1-one (44)



Compound $\mathbf{4 4}$ was synthesized according to the general procedure for the ortho acylation of 3bromoaniline ( 15.64 mmol ) and purified by flash column chromatography. Yield: 569 mg ( $2.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 17 \%$ ). ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 2.54 (s, 3H), 6.32 (br s, 2H), 6.76 (dd, $J=1.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 27.9,117.1,119.0,119.5,129.0,133.3,151.0,200.1$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrNO}^{+}$213.9862; found 213.9860. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathbf{B r N O}$ (214.06).

## 1-(2-Amino-4-bromophenyl)propan-1-one (45) [9]



Compound 45 was synthesized according to the general procedure for the ortho acylation of 3bromoaniline ( 46.3 mmol ) and purified with by column chromatography (PE:EtOAc 4:5). Yield: 550 mg (11.6 mmol, 25\%). $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (PE:EtOAc 4:5): 0.58. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \delta$ ): 1.14 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.92 (q, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.68 (dd, $J=2.0,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93$ (d, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \delta$ ): 9.2, 33.2, 117.2, 119.0, 120.4, 129.7, 133.9, 153.6, 204.4. HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrNO}^{+} 228.0019$; found 228.0018. $\mathbf{C} 9 \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{B r N O}$ (228.09).

## 1-(2-Amino-4-bromophenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-one (46) (CAS 1598834-65-1)



Compound 46 was synthesized according to the general procedure for the ortho acylation of 3bromoaniline ( 45.5 mmol ) and purified by flash column chromatography (DCM). Yield: 1.20 g ( $5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 11 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 1.18 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.50 (m, 1H), 6.37 (br s, 2H), 6.75 (dd, $J=1.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 19.6 (2C), 35.5, 115.6, 119.0, 119.8, 128.7, 132.3, 151.7, 206.5. $\mathbf{C}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{12} \mathbf{B r N O}$ (242.12).

## $N$-(2-Acetyl-5-bromophenyl)quinoline-2-carboxamide (47)



Compound 47 was prepared from $44(2.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for amide formation. It was purified by flash column chromatography (DCM). Yield: $417 \mathrm{mg}(1.13 \mathrm{mmol}$, $44 \%$ ). $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (DCM): $0.55 .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 2.73 (s, 3 H ), 7.32 (dd, $J=2.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34-8.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.38-8.42$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 28.7,118.9$, 121.8, 123.9, 125.9, 127.6, 128.4, 129.4, 129.8, 130.3, 130.5, 132.7, 137.7, 141.2, 146.7, 149.7, 164.3, 201.1. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$369.0233; found 369.0230. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (369.22).
$N$-(2-Acetyl-5-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl)quinoline-2-carboxamide (48)


Compound 48 was prepared from $47(1.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for the Sonogashira reaction. It was purified by flash column chromatography (PE:DCM 1:1). Yield: $336 \mathrm{mg}(0.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%)$. $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (PE:DCM 1:1): 0.33 . ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right.$ ): 0.28 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-$ $7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 0.0$ (3C), 28.8, 98.6, 104.1, 119.1, 122.8, 124.4, 126.0, 127.8, 128.5, 129.6, 129.8, 130.4, 130.6, 131.6, 137.8, 140.3, 146.8, 150.1, 164.3, 201.5. MS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}^{+} 387.1523$; found 387.1523. $\mathbf{C}_{23} \mathbf{H}_{22} \mathbf{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathbf{S i}$ (386.15).

## $N$-(2-Acetyl-5-ethynylphenyl)quinoline-2-carboxamide (49)



Compound 49 was prepared from $48(0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for TMS deprotection and purified by flash column chromatography (DCM). Yield: $200 \mathrm{mg}(0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$, $75 \%$ ). $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (DCM): 0.57. MP: $187{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): $2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.25-7.30 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, signals interfering with the solvent signal), 7.62-7.68 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.77-7.86 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.78(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 28.7, 80.6, 82.8, 118.9, 122.9, 124.4, 126.1, 127.6, 128.3, 128.5, 129.4, 130.2, 130.5, 131.5, 137.6, 140.2, 146.7, 149.9, 164.2, 201.3. HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+} 315.1128$; found 315.1137. $\mathbf{C}_{20} \mathbf{H}_{14} \mathbf{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (314.34).

## 1-(2-Amino-4-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl)propan-1-one (50)



Compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ was synthesized from $\mathbf{4 5}(3.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for the Sonogashira reaction and purified by flash column chromatography (PE:EtOAc 7:1). Yield: $850 \mathrm{mg}(3.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%) . \mathbf{R}_{f}(\mathrm{PE}: E t O A c ~ 7: 1): ~ 0.38 .{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 0.25 (s, 9H), 1.19 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.95 (q, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.25 (br s, 2H), 6.71 (dd, $J=1.5,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): $0.0(3 \mathrm{C})$, 8.8, 32.5, 96.9, 104.4, 117.7, 119.3, 120.6, 128.6, 131.0, 149.9, 203.0. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):$ : $\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NOSi}^{+} 246.1309$; found 246.1313. $\mathbf{C 1 4 H}_{\mathbf{1 4}} \mathbf{9} \mathbf{N O S i}$ (245.40).

## 1-(2-Amino-4-((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)phenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-one (51)



Compound 51 was prepared from $\mathbf{4 6}(4.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for the Sonogashira reaction. It was purified by flash column chromatography (PE:EtOAc 9:1). Yield: $510 \mathrm{mg}(1.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%) . \mathbf{R}_{f}$ (PE:EtOAc 9:1): 0.55. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 0.25 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.19$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.54 (m, 1H), 6.21 (br s, 2H), 6.73 (dd, $J=1.5,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.80 (d, $J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.68 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 0.0 (3C), 19.7 (2C), 35.6, 97.1, 104.3, 116.9, 119.6, 121.1, 128.7, 131.0, 150.1, 206.7. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NOSi}^{+}$260.1465; found 260.1467. $\mathbf{C}_{15} \mathbf{H}_{21} \mathbf{N O S i}$ (259.42).

## 1-(2-amino-4-ethynylphenyl)propan-1-one (52)



Compound $\mathbf{5 2}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{5 0}(3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for TMS deprotection. It was purified by flash column chromatography ( $0-20 \%$ EtOAc in PE). Yield: 359 mg ( $2.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%$ ). $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (PE:EtOAc 7:1): 0.31. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 1.20 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95$ (q, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14$ (s, 1H), 6.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74$ (dd, $J=1.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.78 (d, $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 8.7, 32.4, 79.2, 83.0, 117.8, 119.2, 120.8, 127.5, 131.0, 149.8, 202.9. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}^{+} 174.0913$; found 174.0915. $\mathbf{C}_{11} \mathbf{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}$ (173.22).

## 1-(2-Amino-4-ethynylphenyl)-2-methylpropan-1-one (53)



Compound 53 was prepared from $\mathbf{5 1}(1.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for TMS deprotection. It was purified by flash column chromatography (PE:EtOAc 9:1). Yield: 238 mg ( $1.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ). $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (PE:EtOAc 9:1): 0.45. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 1.19 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81$ (d, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 19.5 (2C), 35.5 ,
79.2, 83.0, 116.9, 119.3, 121.1, 127.5, 131.0, 150.2, 206.6. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}^{+}$188.1070; found 188.1073. $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{N O}$ (187.24).

## $N$-(5-Ethynyl-2-propionylphenyl)quinoline-2-carboxamide (54)



Compound $\mathbf{5 4}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{5 2}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for amide formation and was purified by flash column chromatography (PE:EtOAc 7:1). Yield: 379 mg $(1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%)$. $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (PE:EtOAc 7:1): 0.2. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta\right): 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, signals interfering with solvent signal), $7.61-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),, 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 8.7, 33.5, 80.4, 82.8, 118.9, 122.7, 124.6, 126.1, 127.6, 128.1, 128.3, 129.4, 130.2, 130.5, 130.6, 137.6, 140.1, 146.7, 150.0, 164.1, 203.8. HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$329.1285; found 329.1287. $\mathbf{C 2 1}_{\mathbf{2 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 6}} \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (328.37).

## $N$-(5-Ethynyl-2-isobutyrylphenyl)quinoline-2-carboxamide (55)



Compound 55 was prepared from $53(0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the general procedure for amide formation. It was purified by flash column chromatography (PE:EtOAc 8:2). Yield: 171 mg ( $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ). MP: $196^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathbf{R}_{f}$ (PE:EtOAc 8:2): $0.52 .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): $1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.20(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 19.6 (2C), 36.8, 80.5, 82.9, 119.1, $122.3,124.9,126.2,127.7,128.1,128.4,129.5,130.3,130.5,130.6,137.8,140.6,146.8,150.1$,
164.2, 207.5. HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$343.1441; found 343.1449. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (342.40).
$N$-(2-Acetyl-5-(1-(4-(2-(6,7-dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)phenyl)quinoline-2-carboxamide (56)


Compound 56 was synthesized from 28 ( $0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and 49 ( $0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) according to the general procedure for the CuAAC. It was purified in two subsequent flash column chromatography runs ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: $\mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5,2^{\text {nd }}$ run: PE:acetone $1: 1$ ). Yield: 24 mg ( $0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 18 \%$ ), dark yellowish solid. $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (DCM:MeOH 95:5): 0.32. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): $2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-$ $3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.41-8.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): 28.8, 28.9, 33.7, 51.2, $55.8,56.0,56.1,59.9,109.5,111.4,117.7$, 118.9, 119.4, 120.1, 120.7 (2C), 122.8, 126.2, 126.4, 127.7, 128.5, 129.5, 130.2 (2C), 130.4, $130.7,132.8,135.2,136.4,137.8,141.0,141.8,146.8,147.3,147.4,147.7,150.1,164.7,201.7$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{+}$653.2871; found 653.2874. $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathbf{H}_{36} \mathbf{N}_{6} \mathbf{O}_{4}$ (652.76).

N -(5-(1-(4-(2-(6,7-Dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-2-propionylphenyl)quinoline-2-carboxamide hydrotrifluoroacetate (57)


Compound 57 was prepared from $28(0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $54(0.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq})$ according to the general procedure for the CuAAC . It was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} 100: 7\right)$ and recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Yield: $118 \mathrm{mg}(0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%)$, colorless solid. $\mathbf{R}_{f}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ 100:7): $0.38 . \mathbf{M P}: 170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For further purification, the substance was subjected to preparative HPLC (gradient: $0-30 \mathrm{~min}$ : $\mathrm{MeCN} / 0.1 \%$ aq TFA 51:49$\left.87: 13, t_{\mathrm{R}}=12.5 \mathrm{~min}\right)$. Analytical and pharmacological characterization was performed with the HPLC purified substance. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , [ $\left.\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}, \delta$ ): $1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-$ $3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-$ $7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.17$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31-8.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 10.07 (br s, 1H), 13.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz},\left[\mathrm{D}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}, ~ \delta\right): ~ 8.5, ~ 24.6, ~ 29.2, ~ 32.9, ~ 49.3, ~$ $51.9,55.5,55.6,55.6,109.6,111.5,116.6,118.6,119.8,120.0,120.4$ (2C), 121.1, 122.5, 123.1, 128.2, 128.7, 129.1, 129.4, 130.3 (2C), 131.0, 132.4, 135.4, 135.5, 137.7, 138.5, 139.8, 145.9, 146.3, 147.8, 148.5, 149.5, 163.3, 204.2. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{+}$ 667.3027; found 667.3029. $\mathbf{C 4 0 H}_{48} \mathbf{N}_{6} \mathbf{O}_{4} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H F}_{3} \mathbf{O}_{2}(666.78+114.02)$.

N-(5-(1-(4-(2-(6,7-Dimethoxy-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-2-isobutyrylphenyl)quinoline-2-carboxamide (58)


Compound 58 was prepared from $28(0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq})$ and $55(0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ according to the general procedure for the CuAAC. It was purified in three subsequent flash column chromatography runs ( $1^{\text {st }}$ run: DCM:MeOH 95:5, $2^{\text {nd }}$ run: PE:acetone $1: 1$ ). Yield: 16 mg ( $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \%$ ), beige solid. $\mathbf{R}_{f}$ (DCM:MeOH 95:5): $0.29 .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( ~} 300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ) $1.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-3.06(\mathrm{~m} .2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.81(\mathrm{~m} .1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.84-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.43-8.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMSESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{+}$681.3184; found 681.3188. $\mathbf{C 4 1}_{41} \mathbf{H}_{40} \mathbf{N}_{6} \mathbf{O}_{4}$ (680.81).

N-(5-(1-(4-(2-(6-Methoxy-7-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)ethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)-2-propionylphenyl)quinoline-2-carboxamide (59)[10]


We described compound $\mathbf{5 9}$ recently.[10] For clarity it is also included here. $\mathbf{5 9}$ was prepared from $29(0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathbf{5 4}(0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq})$ according to the general procedure for the CuAAC. It was purified by column chromatography (DCM:MeOH 95:5). Yield: 160 mg ( $0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 54 \%$ ). MP: $168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$ ): $1.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-$ $2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-$
$3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.98 (dd, $J=1.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.09 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.33-8.35 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.41-8.44 (m, 2H), $9.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 13.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, §): $8.7,28.6,33.3,33.5,50.9,55.5,55.9,59.0,59.6,68.7,69.6,70.5,70.6,70.7,71.9,111.9$, 112.3, 117.6, 118.7, 119.1, 119.9, 120.5 (2C), 122.3, 126.3, 126.8, 127.5, 128.3, 129.3, 130.0 (2C), 130.2, 130.5, 131.6, 135.1, 135.9, 137.6, 140.7, 141.5, 146.5, 146.7, 147.1, 148.3, 150.0, 164.4, 203.9. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{+} 799.3814$; found 799.3823.
$\mathbf{C}_{46} \mathbf{H}_{50} \mathbf{N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H F}_{3} \mathbf{O}_{2}(798.94+114.02)$.

## 2 NMR-Spectra


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 40.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 40.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 41.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 41.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 42.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 42.




${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 8}$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 8}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 9}$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{3 9}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right)$ of compound 57.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( 151 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) of compound 57 .

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 59.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{5 9}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound 56.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{5 6}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of compound $\mathbf{5 8}$.

## 3 RP-HPLC Analysis (Purity Control) of Key Compounds



Chromatogram (purity control) of 7 (RP-HPLC).


Chromatogram (purity control) of $\mathbf{8}$ (RP-HPLC).


Chromatogram (purity control) of 41 (RP-HPLC).


Chromatogram (purity control) of 42 (RP-HPLC).


Chromatogram (purity control) of 56 (RP-HPLC).


Chromatogram (purity control) of 57 (RP-HPLC).


Chromatogram (purity control) of 59 (RP-HPLC).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ATPase microplate assay using ABCG2-expressing Sf9 membranes.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ ATPase microplate assay using ABCG2-expressing Sf9 membranes stimulated with sulfasalazine at a concentration of $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Mean values $\pm$ SEM from two to four independent experiments, each performed in duplicate.
    ${ }^{d}$ Half-maximal effective concentrations were termed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ (not IC50) values, even if the ATPase activity was reduced, in order to avoid confusion with the data from the ATPase assay with sulfasalazine stimulation.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Maximal effect ( $\mathrm{E}_{\max }$ ) on the ATPase activity relative to the basal ATPase activity ( $0 \%$ ) and to the response to sulfasalazine at a concentration of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}(100 \%)$.
    ${ }^{\text {f }}$ Maximal inhibitory effect $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\max }\right)$ relative to the response to FTC at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}(100 \%)$.

