ETH zürich

A 10-fold decline in the deep Eastern Mediterranean thermohaline overturning circulation during the last interglacial period

Journal Article

Author(s): Andersen, Morten B.; Matthews, Alan; Vance, Derek; Bar-Matthews, Miryam; [Archer, Corey](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6070-6297) iD; [De Souza, Gregory](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0232-2690) iD

Publication date: 2018-12-01

Permanent link: <https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000295746>

Rights / license: [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Originally published in: Earth and Planetary Science Letters 503, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.09.013>

formation of organic-rich sediments (sapropels) indicates that this pattern was

 frequently perturbed in the past. Both high export productivity and disruption of the thermohaline circulation, leading to reduced deep-water ventilation, have been proposed to account for sapropel deposition and anoxia. The last interglacial sapropel S5 is considered one of the most strongly developed. Here, we apply the redox-sensitive Mo and U (elemental and isotope) systems to quantify the intensity of anoxic deep- water conditions in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea from ODP core 967 (2550 mbsl). Both U and Mo show strong authigenic enrichment, coupled to progressive increase in $32 \delta^{98}$ Mo_{auth} (1.2-1.8‰ to +2.0-2.3‰) and decrease in δ^{238} U_{auth} (+0.10‰ to -0.15‰) from the beginning to the end of S5, suggesting increasing water column euxinia and removal fluxes of Mo and U. Based on modern euxinic basins, we show that sedimentary $35 \delta^{238}U_{\text{auth}}$ can be used to derive estimates of water column U depletion and, ultimately, deep-water renewal rates. These principles are first tested on the modern Black Sea, 37 which yields calculated deep-water renewal times of $830^{+690}/_{500}$ years, in good agreement with independent estimates. Applying these principles to the end of S5 39 suggests bottom-water U depletion of \sim 50% and deep-water renewal times of 1030⁺⁸²⁰/. ⁵²⁰ years. The significantly slower deep-water renewal rates in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea compared to today (~100 years) would have played an important role in the formation of sapropel S5 and are consistent with the proposed suppression of overturning during the last interglacial, due to increased stratification resulting from higher riverine freshwater input under enhanced monsoon forcing.

1. Introduction

 The Mediterranean overflow system and outflow into the Atlantic plays a major role in Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) (Johnson and Stevens, 2000; Rogerson et al., 2012). In its current configuration, the Mediterranean Sea is

 characterized by large-scale thermohaline circulation in which the relatively low salinity inflow from the Atlantic is converted via high evaporation in the Eastern Mediterranean Basin into an intermediate-depth saline outflow (Levantine Intermediate Water) (Malanotte-Rizzoli and Bergamasco, 1989; Pinardi and Masetti, 2000; Rohling et al., 2015) (Fig. 1). Such a circulation pattern leads to the formation of well- oxygenated deep-water in both the Eastern and Western Mediterranean basins, separated by the straits of Sicily. In the past, however, the periodic formation of organic carbon-rich sediments, termed sapropels, suggests that the redox state of deep-waters changed (e.g, Rossignol Strick, 1985; Rohling and Hilgren, 1991; Emeis et al, 1998; Emeis et al, 2000; Rohling et al., 2015).

 Sapropels are associated with anoxic conditions in the deep ocean that allow the preservation of organic matter. Both higher export productivity, creating anoxia through enhanced consumption of oxygen, and disruption of oxygen supply via changes in the thermohaline circulation, have been proposed to account for the formation and preservation of organic matter (Rossingol-Strick, 1985; Rohling and Hilgen, 1991; Emeis et al., 2000; de Lange et al., 2008; Meyer and Kump, 2008). Pleistocene Mediterranean sapropels are unique sediments in that their periodicity is correlated with high northern hemisphere summer insolation during minima in the *ca.* 21 ka orbital precession cycle, and they are particularly well developed during interglacials. The resultant organic carbon-rich sedimentary deposits are important and useful analogs for such sediments in deeper time in Earth history. In this paper, we explore the validity of disrupted thermohaline circulation as a forcing factor in the creation of anoxia. Enhanced monsoon-driven freshwater runoff into the surface of the Eastern Mediterranean, through the Nile or transient North African rivers, or both, have all been

 put forward as important factors in the creation of less saline surface water layers. This, in turn, may have led to partial stratification of the water body and disruption of the thermohaline circulation system (Rossignol Strick et al., 1982; Emeis et al., 2000; Osborne et al., 2008; Bar-Matthews, 2014; Rohling et al., 2015). It is also recognized that some 'preconditioning' may have occurred, through the prior formation of anoxic deep-waters due to enhanced regional rainfall and sea level rise following glacial maxima (Grimm et al., 2015; Grant et al., 2016).

 Geochemical proxies for redox conditions prevailing prior to and during sapropel formation, and following their termination as oxic conditions returned, include: organic biomarkers, elements sensitive to paleoproductivity and organic burial (e.g. barium) and redox-sensitive trace elements (RSTE, e.g. iron, manganese, vanadium, molybdenum, uranium) (Thomson et al., 1999; Cane et al., 2002; Rohling et al., 2006; de Lange et al., 2008; Almogi–Labin et al., 2009; Gallego-Torres et al, 2010). For 89 instance, using a powerful combination of ${}^{14}C$ dating and RSTE profiles, de Lange et al. (2008) showed that basin-wide anoxic conditions prevailed during the formation of 91 the latest (Holocene) sapropel, S1, at water depths > 1800 m.

 The stable isotope systems of the metals molybdenum and uranium, which fractionate during oxidation-reduction processes, can record distinctive isotope signatures during organic carbon-rich sedimentation (Barling et al, 2001; Arnold et al., 2004; Neubert et al, 2008; Weyer et al. 2008; Nägler et al., 2011; Andersen et al. 2014). 97 In today's oxic oceans, the isotope composition of dissolved Mo $(\delta^{98}Mo + 2.34\%$, 98 reported in delta-notation as δ^{98} Mo using the 98 Mo $/^{95}$ Mo ratio normalized to NIST SRM 3134 standard +0.25 in parts per thousand; Nägler et al. 2014), dominated by the

100 oxyanion molybdate species $(MoO₄²)$, is thought to be fractionated relative to the Mo 101 input to the ocean (δ^{98} Mo ~ +0.7‰; Archer & Vance 2008) mostly because of the loss 102 of Mo adsorbed to manganese oxides (δ^{98} Mo ~ -0.7‰; Barling et al., 2001). In contrast, strongly euxinic (anoxic+sulfidic) water conditions result in near-quantitative uptake of Mo from seawater (either by scavenging to organic matter or through formation of a colloidal Fe-Mo-S species; Helz et al., 2011), driven by the transformation of 106 molybdate to tetra-thiomolybdate $(MoS₄²),$ with $MoS₄² >> MoO₄²$ when concentrations of H2S are above 11 µMol (e.g. Neubert et al. 2008). This leads to 108 organic carbon-rich sediments acquiring a δ^{98} Mo value very close to that of seawater, as has been recognized in organic carbon-rich sediments deposited in the euxinic deep Black Sea (Neubert et al., 2008; Nägler et al., 2011; Wegworth et al., 2018). 111 Intermittent or weaker $(H_2S$ concentrations <11 μ Mol) euxinic conditions, on the other hand, may lead to isotopically lighter Mo in sediments due to isotope fractionation 113 during incomplete $MoO₄²$ to $MoS₄²$ transformation (Poulson-Brucker et al., 2012; Kerl et al., 2017). In sub-oxic to anoxic conditions, Mo isotope signatures intermediate between those of seawater and the oxic end-member may also reflect the importance of adsorption of isotopically light Mo species on iron oxides and pyrite (Goldberg et al., 117 2009). Indeed, δ^{98} Mo values much lower than modern seawater, and inferred weakly euxinic to anoxic conditions, have been observed in middle Pleistocene sapropels and in the most recent Holocene sapropel S1 at 2550 m water depth (Scheiderich et al., 120 2011; Azrieli-Tal et al., 2014). δ^{98} Mo minima from seawater during the early diagenesis of sapropel S1 in the Nile Fan have also been explained by Mo isotope fractionation during the kinetically-controlled particulate uptake of porewater thiomolybdate species (Matthews et al., 2017).

 The mechanism for authigenic uranium enrichment in reduced sediments differs from that of molybdenum: while Mo removal primarily occurs in a euxinic water column, the major U uptake has been shown to, instead, occur within the reducing sediment environment, mediated by metal- and sulfate-reducing bacteria (Anderson et al., 1989; Lovley et al., 1991; McManus et al. 2006). The isotope system of the uranium 130 parents $(^{238}U/^{235}U)$, given in typical delta-notation as $\delta^{238}U$, which is the parts per thousand difference relative to the normalizing CRM-145 standard) has also been shown to respond to redox state as a result of significant positive isotope fractionation during the reduction of hydrated and complexed U(VI) ion to the largely immobile U(IV) ion (Stirling et al., 2007; Weyer et al., 2008; Andersen et al., 2017). Weyer et al. 135 (2008) showed that ²³⁸U/²³⁵U from organic carbon-rich in Black Sea sediments was 0.4 136 to 0.8‰ higher than seawater, and subsequent studies have applied the $\delta^{238}U$ system as a paleoproxy for oceanic anoxia in ancient marine sediments (e.g. Montoya-Pino et al., 2010; Kendall et al, 2015). Andersen et al. (2014) showed that mass balance during the 139 diffusion of uranium species through seawater and porewater constrains the $\delta^{238}U$ values preserved in sediment. This and subsequent studies (e.g. Noordmann et al., 2015; Holmden et al., 2016) have shown that mass-balance constraints at the sediment- water interface can lead to variable observed U isotope compositions, and that only a steady state situation involving U diffusion and uptake allows for quantitative 144 interpretations of the δ^{238} U values in organic carbon-rich sediments.

 Given the different mechanisms by which Mo and U isotopes respond to different redox situations, the combined isotope systematics of these elements offers enormous potential for quantifying and modeling oceanic processes during the formation of organic carbon-rich sediments, as recently demonstrated for the saline Lake Rogoznica,

 Adriatic Sea, Croatia (Bura-Nakić et al., 2018) and other modern and ancient organic- rich sediments (e.g. Kendall et al., 2015; Noordmann et al., 2015). Here we utilize coupled Mo and U isotope and RSTE systematics to study the evolution of redox conditions in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea during the formation of the last interglacial sapropel S5 (Eemian) period. We present data for the elemental and isotopic systems of Mo and U in sapropel S5 in a deep-water setting (2550m) with the ultimate aim of understanding the intensity of euxinia in deep-waters and quantify related slowdown in the thermohaline circulation of the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. Our study employs a new methodology based on U isotopes that can potentially be applied to other settings with density driven oceanic circulation. Thus, as part of the verification of the approach, we derive robust deep-water renewal times for the modern Black Sea.

2. Samples and setting

 An extensive review of the Mediterranean climate, oceanography and periodic development of sapropels (particularly S1 and S5) is given by Rohling et al. (2015). Sapropel S5 was deposited during the last interglacial insolation maximum. It is considered to be the most intensely developed and most pristine of the more recent sapropels in the Eastern Mediterranean, Aegean and Levantine basins, with anoxia and euxinia extending up to as high as ~200 m below the sea surface (Rohling et al., 2006). It differs from sapropel S1, for which anoxic conditions only existed at open water depths of >1800m (deLange et al., 2008). In S1, Mo isotopes indicate that only weakly euxinic conditions developed in bottom waters (ODP Core 967D, 2550m depth; Azrieli-Tal et al., 2014). The samples of sapropel S5 (abbreviated "S5" in the following) were taken from ODP core 967C, drilled at a water depth of 2550m south of Cyprus, at the base of the northern slope of the Eratosthenes Seamount (Fig. 1). This study uses the dried and sieved <63 µm fraction of the samples analysed by Scrivner et al. (2004) for Nd isotope measurements in foraminifera (ODP 967C-H5, 70 to 110 cm), covering S5 and depths immediately above and below. Previous studies using the <63 µm fraction indicate that they provide a representative record of the elemental and isotope geochemistry of sapropels (Box et al., 2011; Azrieli-Tal et al., 2014). For core 967C-H5, benthic extinction occurs at 103 cm and benthic reoccurrence appears above approx. 74.5 cm. The S5 interval is defined to be within these boundaries (Cane et al., 2002). Total organic carbon (TOC) values determined for the same sample interval (by Emeis et al., 1998) show enrichments consistent with these boundaries (Supplementary Table), indicating that post-sapropel oxidation (oxidative burndown) did not significantly affect S5 at this core site. A 4.5 cm hiatus in the middle of S5 was proposed 186 by Cane et al. (2002), terminating at 87 cm. By correlation to the Soreq cave $\delta^{18}O$ record, (Bar-Matthews et al., 2000) the S5 interval has been dated to 128-120 ka (Rohling et al., 2015).

3. Methods

 Sample preparation and measurements were conducted at the facilities of the Bristol Isotope Group, University of Bristol, United Kingdom (U and Mo isotopes; clean laboratory chromatographic U separation), Hebrew University, Israel (clean laboratory chromatographic Mo separation), and Geological Survey of Israel (trace and major element chemistry).

3.1 Major and trace metal chemistry and analyses

 Sample digestion for Mo separation and isotopic analysis was done in the clean laboratory at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem (HUJ). Samples were pyrolized at 800°C for approximately 12 hours to oxidize organic carbon. The pyrolized residue was 201 then dissolved using HF, HNO₃ and HCl in the presence of H_2O_2 . Trace and major element concentrations of 13 sediment samples were measured by low temperature plasma ashing of weighed amounts of sediments, followed by sodium peroxide sintering and chemical analysis at the Geological Survey of Israel using ICP-OES for major (Perkin Elmer Optima 3300) and ICP-MS for trace elements. Six samples were analyzed after pyrolysis and digestion by ICP-MS at HUJ. Based on the analysis of two geostandards (BHVO-1 and GIT-IWG IF-6) analytical errors (RSD) on single values 208 for major and trace elements were within $\pm 5\%$.

3.2 Molybdenum preparation and isotope analyses

 Molybdenum separation for isotopic analysis was done using the procedure of Archer and Vance (2008), which sequentially elutes matrix elements, Cu, Fe and Mo. Prior to column chemistry, 1 ml of pre-cleaned anion exchange resin (Biorad anion resin AG 214 MP-1 m, 100-200 mesh, chloride form) was loaded into 10 ml plastic Muromac[®] 215 columns. The resin was then pretreated with 0.05M HNO₃, followed by 7M HCl 216 containing 0.01% of 30% H₂O₂. Following elution, solutions were centrifuged to 217 remove any particle contaminants, fluxed three times with 0.5 ml 15M HNO₃ and dried.

 Molybdenum isotopic analyses were carried out at the University of Bristol, using 220 a ThermoQuest Neptune instrument at low mass resolution ($M/\Delta M \sim 500$). Solutions 221 were introduced into the mass spectrometer in a 2% (v/v) HNO₃ solution by means of a CPI (Amsterdam, The Netherlands) PFA nebulizer and spray chamber fitted to a CETAC Aridus. Mass discrimination correction was achieved using a double spike, as described previously by others for Mo (Siebert et al., 2001) and using the specific 225 procedure previously described for Zn (Bermin et al., 2006). All mass spectrometric 226 errors were propagated through the double-spike calculation to obtain the final 227 analytical uncertainty. Details of standards, calibration procedures, and error treatments 228 are given in Azrieli-Tal et al. (2014). Replicate analyses of a multi-element standard 229 (Me: Merck VI, 30 elements) run through the complete solution chemistry gave δ^{98} Mo $230 = -0.03 \pm 0.15\%$ (2SD). All Mo isotope compositions for samples are corrected from 231 the working CPI Mo ICPMS standard at Bristol (δ^{98} Mo Pacific Sea water =2.26 ± 232 0.03% (2SD); Archer and Vance, 2008) to the recommended NIST SRM 3134 δ^{98} Mo 233 = -0.25% (giving a δ^{98} Mo of 2.34‰ for seawater) (Nägler et al., 2014).

234

235 *3.3 Uranium preparation and isotope analysis*

236 Samples (10-50 mg) were dissolved in steps involving concentrated HNO3**,** HF, HCl 237 and H_2O_2 . At the first dissolution step, in HNO₃ and HF, the IRMM3636 ²³⁶U/²³³U 238 double spike was added, aiming for a ²³⁶U/²³⁵U of \sim 4. After heating, fluxing and 239 subsequent drying, steps using heated 6 M HCl (x2) and a 7 M HNO₃ + 30% H₂O₂ 240 mixture were completed, before samples were re-dissolved in 10 ml of 3 M HNO₃ in 241 preparation for U-Teva chromatographic chemistry, following protocols in Andersen et 242 al. (2014). Purified U fractions were dried and prepared for mass spectrometry in 2% 243 (v/v) HCl aiming for 100-200 ppb U. Full procedural chemistry blanks were <20 pg U.

244

245 Uranium isotopic analyses were carried out at the University of Bristol, using a 246 ThermoQuest Neptune instrument at low mass resolution $(M/\Delta M \sim 500)$ by means of a 247 CPI (Amsterdam, The Netherlands) PFA nebulizer and spray chamber fitted to a 248 CETAC Aridus. The set-up and measurement protocols were as outlined in Andersen 249 et al. (2014; 2015). In brief, all Faraday cups were equipped with $10^{11} \Omega$ resistors, apart 250 from the cup for ²³⁸U (10¹⁰ Ω). Measurements were conducted with "standard" sampler 251 and "X" type skimmer cones. Typical sample ion beam intensities were \sim 1 nA for ²³⁸U using ~50 ng U per analysis. Measurements of two unknowns were bracketed with the CRM-145 uranium standard, spiked in similar fashion as the unknowns. The in-house CZ-1 uraninite standard, processed through U-Teva chemistry and periodically 255 measured in a similar fashion to the sediment samples, yielded δ^{238} U of -0.055 ± 0.032 $(\pm 2S.D.)$ for ten repeats, in excellent agreement with previously published compositions for this standard (Stirling et al., 2007; Andersen et al., 2015). The external reproducibility for the CZ-1 standard is used as the uncertainty estimate for each of the unknowns.

4. Results

4.1 Sediment geochemistry

 Several geochemical parameters allow the distinction of the S5 horizon from the sediments just above and below (Fig. 2, Table 1 and Supplementary Table). Both [TOC] (>2%) and [Ba] (2500-700 ppm) are higher during S5 than the surrounding sediments ([TOC] <1%, [Ba] 180-550 ppm). Concentrations of the RSTE [U] (13-37 ppm), [Mo] (25-140 ppm) and [V] (397-194 ppm) are highly elevated within S5, whereas the surrounding sediments have moderately elevated [U] (5-9 ppm), [Mo] (2-6 ppm) and [V] (166-74 ppm) compared to typical detrital background concentrations. The 270 sediments surrounding S5 have δ^{98} Mo values ranging from +1.2 to +2.0‰, while within 271 S5, δ^{98} Mo increases systematically from +1.0-+1.8‰ in the lower sections (102 to 90 272 cm) to +1.9-+2.3‰ in the upper sections (90 to 76 cm). The δ^{238} U compositions range from -0.35 to -0.22‰ in the sediments above and below the sapropel, while within S5, δ^{238} U values decrease systematically from approx. +0.10‰ in the lower sections (102

to 94 cm) to approx. -0.15‰ in the upper sections (94 to 76 cm). Normalizing [Fe],

[U], [Mo] and [V] to [Al], a typical refractory element, reveals similar behavior to that

 of the absolute concentrations alone, with more elevated ratios during S5 than in the surrounding sediments, particularly in the later part of S5 (Fig. 3).

4.2 Authigenic Mo and U estimates in the sediments

 A common method for estimating the authigenic fraction uses the measured [U] and 282 [Mo] compared to [Al] (e.g. Algeo & Tribovillard, 2009), and normalizes these ratios to the estimates from detrital terrigenous siliciclastics, giving relative authigenic enrichment factors (EF) for U and Mo. Such EF estimates (see Table 1 for detrital Mo/Al and U/Al compositions used) suggest that the authigenic fraction dominates both 286 the Mo and U budget during S5 (>94%) and that it also contributes a significant fraction in the surrounding sediments (72-93%). These values correspond to EFs of 21-101 for 288 U (U_{EF}) and 35-327 for Mo (M_{OEF}) during S5, and 4-16 for both in the surrounding sediments (Supplementary Table). The sediments surrounding S5 have relatively 290 higher U_{EF} compared to the corresponding Mo $_{EF}$, while the Mo $_{EF}/U_{EF}$ ratios towards the end of S5 are close to the present-day seawater Mo/U ratio. Comparing the sediments to the schematic marine water mass trajectories from physical and chemical controls on water masses of Algeo & Tribovillard (2009), they broadly follow a trajectory implying progression through open marine anoxic-sulfidic conditions 295 (elevated Mo_{EF} over U_{EF}), although the sediments at the end S5 deviate from this trend 296 and plot close to the Mo/U seawater ratio. There is a clear difference between the M_{OFF} 297 to U_{EF} trends in S5 compared to trajectories seen in Black Sea sediments (Algeo $\&$ Tribovillard 2009). The latter show a flatter trajectory (high enrichment factors with 299 elevated U_{EF} over Mo_{EF}) that reflects Mo depletion in a highly restricted basin (see Fig. 4a).

 Authigenic U can furthermore be considered to belong to two main fractions: U from biogenic carbonates (which have low [Mo] and are not important for this element) and U incorporated from *in situ* uranium reduction within the sediments. Following procedures in Andersen et al. (2014), which assume that the [Ca] in bulk sediments is 306 primarily from biogenic carbonates with \sim 1 ppm U content, the relative U contribution from this carbonate source to the authigenic fraction may also be estimated. This calculation shows that the biogenic carbonate U fraction constitutes <1% for S5 and <5% for surrounding sediments (Supplementary Table), so that the vast majority of U in these sediments is due to *in situ* reduction.

 The isotope composition of the authigenic Mo and U fractions can also be estimated using the elemental concentrations in combination with Mo and U isotope compositions for the detrital terrigenous siliciclastic and (for U only) biogenic 315 carbonate fractions. Here, values of $\delta^{238}U = -0.3\%$ and $\delta^{98}Mo = 0\%$ were used for the 316 detrital terrigenous sediments and $\delta^{238}U = -0.4\%$ for biogenic carbonate (Andersen et al. 2017; Kendall et al. 2017). Uncertainties on the authigenic composition were propagated by weighting the relative size of the detrital component following Andersen et al. (2014), see Table 1 for details. The dominance of the authigenic fraction for Mo and U generally leads to insignificant changes between the measured bulk and 321 estimated authigenic $\delta^{238}U$ and $\delta^{98}Mo$ (Table 1). The only significant changes are for $322 \delta^{98}$ Mo_{auth} values from the sediments surrounding S5 (from +0.14 to +0.65‰ higher for δ^{98} Mo_{auth}). Thus, whereas the bulk sediment δ^{98} Mo values of +1.4 to +2.1‰ broadly

5. Discussion

 All the measured sediments show signs of being deposited under oxygen-poor conditions (Figures 2 to 4). In the following, the sediments above and below S5 will be discussed first, before turning to the S5 sediments themselves, and how these results may be interpreted in terms of water column anoxia and deep-water renewal rates.

5.1 Mo and U uptake in the sediments surrounding S5

 The sediments surrounding S5 are characterized by moderate U and Mo enrichment factors typical for an anoxic marine setting, accumulating relatively more authigenic U than Mo (see Fig. 4a). The observation of sediment anoxia prior to S5 fits well with previous suggestions of anoxia developing ~3000 year earlier than the actual S5 (Schmiedl et al., 2003), likely due to gradual Mediterranean surface water freshening associated with global sea-level rise (Rohling et al., 2015; Grant et al., 2016). The termination of S5 somewhere between sediments at 77 and 72 cm is distinctive and sharp for all proxies (Figures 2 and 3) and coincides with that indicated by [TOC] and [Ba], suggesting no significant post-depositional oxidative burn-down.

345 Both δ^{98} Mo_{auth} (+1.6 to +2.4‰) and δ^{238} U_{auth} (-0.35‰ to -0.17‰) in the 346 sediments surrounding S5 are close to modern seawater values (+2.34‰ for δ^{98} Mo and 347 -0.39‰ for δ^{238} U). Authigenic δ^{238} U compositions near the seawater value have been observed in organic carbon-rich sediments from settings with periodically anoxic deep

 waters, presumably due to non-steady state diffusive U transport into, and removal within, sediments (e.g. Weyer et al., 2008; Andersen et al., 2014; Noordmann et al., 351 2015; Andersen et al., 2017). In such a setting, however, the δ^{98} Mo would be expected 352 to be lower than the seawater composition, due to partial conversion of $MoO₄²$ to MoS₄²⁻ under anoxic and possibly weakly euxinic water column conditions (e.g. Azriel- Tal et al., 2014). Instead, the Mo and U isotope compositions suggest near quantitative uptake of both authigenic U and Mo, but with relatively moderate U and Mo enrichment factors (Fig 4). This observation might suggest that uptake of authigenic Mo, as well as U, mainly occurs from pore-waters within the sediment. Uranium and Mo supply to these reducing porewaters is then limited by diffusion through a non-sulfidic penetration zone with finite depth, which leads to near-quantitative uptake for U and Mo at depths where pore-water sulfide concentrations are elevated enough to allow *in situ* precipitation of sulfide minerals like pyrite. The latter proposition could be supported by the relatively high sulfur content of all the sediments surrounding S5 (0.3 363 to 1.1 *wt%*; Supplementary Table). It should be noted that the highest δ^{98} Mo_{auth} values (those closest to seawater composition) in the non-sapropel sediments, are for the pre-365 sapropel samples. In contrast, post-sapropel sediments have slightly lower δ^{98} Mo_{auth} values (1.6 to 1.9‰), which may reflect incomplete Mo uptake from porewaters.

5.2 Molybdenum and U uptake in sediments within S5

 Within S5, all studied proxies suggest well-developed anoxic conditions in the water column, leading to much higher U and Mo accumulation rates in these sediments compared to sediments surrounding S5 (Figures 2 and 3). None of the measured geochemical parameters in the sediments (Fig. 2) show any sign of the hiatus proposed by Cane et al. (2002) at around 87 cm (although no data are available at 88-90 cm).

 However, the redox-sensitive proxies suggest a change from the early to the late part of S5. During the early stages of sapropel formation, the RSTE proxies (Fe, U, Mo, V) show a gradual increase above background values, consistent with developing anoxia. Further increases during the latter part of S5 (above 94 cm) are consistent with the development of increasingly euxinic conditions and higher removal rates of all the measured RSTE. While the high U/Al, Mo/Al and V/Al ratios tail off slightly towards the end of S5, the Fe/Al remains as high as in the sediments immediately beneath (Fig. 3).

383 The progressive increase in [Mo] and [U] during S5 is coupled with $\delta^{98}M_{\text{Oauth}}$ 384 increase and $\delta^{238}U_{\text{auth}}$ decrease, suggesting progressively more quantitative uptake of both elements, with U and Mo isotope compositions moving towards the seawater 386 compositions and the M_{OFF}/U_{EF} moving towards the modern seawater ratio (Fig 4). 387 There is a marked drop in $\delta^{98}M_{\text{Oauth}}$ values from the pre-sapropel sediment value of around +2.4‰ at 106.5 cm depth to approximately +1.2‰ in the first sapropel sample at 101.5 cm (Fig. 2). Given the corresponding increase in [Mo] from 6 to 25 ppm (Table 1), this drop could represent a shift from sulfidic porewater Mo uptake in the sediment 391 to dominant uptake from anoxic \pm sulfidic bottom waters. This transition could thus represent the point at which mechanisms for Mo and U uptake become decoupled.

394 The elevated $\delta^{98}M_{\text{Oauth}}$ values (+1.2‰ to +2.3‰) within S5 are in a similar range to those observed in sediments from modern euxinic basins (e.g. Black Sea, Cariaco Basin, Lake Rogoznica: Arnold et al., 2004; Neubert et al., 2008, Bura-Nakić et al., 2018), suggesting at least intermittent euxinic conditions in the water column that allow for the molybdate to tetra-thiomolybdate transformation. The progressive

399 increase in δ^{98} Mo_{auth} from the beginning (+1.2-1.8‰) to the end (+2.0-2.3‰) of S5 could reflect a transition from weaker/intermittent to stronger/persistent euxinia, and more quantitative Mo removal. However, the effective molybdate to tetra- thiomolybdate transformation is equally affected by the time scale of transformation relative to that of deep-water renewal (Dahl et al., 2010). Thus, a decrease in deep- water ventilation may exert an equally important control on isotopically quantitative Mo sediment uptake (e.g. Bura-Nakic et al., 2018), as observed in the later section of S5. Of course, these processes are likely linked, as lower rates of inmixing of oxygenated waters may increase anoxia and euxinia in the deeper water column.

409 The high [U] and elevated $\delta^{238}U_{\text{auth}}$ (+0.1‰ to -0.15‰) during S5 are also similar to those observed in modern euxinic basins (e.g. Saanich Inlet, Black Sea, Cariaco Basin, Lake Rogoznica: Weyer et al., 2008; Andersen et al., 2014; Holmden et al., 2015; Bura-Nakić et al., 2018). Based on these modern analogs, the progressive 413 decrease in δ^{238} U_{auth} during S5 is indicative of permanently anoxic bottom waters and steady-state diffusion-driven U removal into the reducing sediments, resulting in 415 decreasing $\delta^{238}U_{\text{auth}}$ from increasing U depletion in the bottom waters (Andersen et al., 2014; Andersen et al., 2017). This U depletion is driven by the rate of U input versus that of removal and, therefore, also linked to the time scales of deep-water renewal.

 An alternative explanation for the evolution of the RSTE and U-Mo isotope systematics during S5 could be related to an increasing addition of these elements from freshwater inputs. Matthews et al. (2017) discussed this issue for sapropel S1 in the Nile Fan and found that the effect of river Nile Mo input would not significantly lower 423 seawater δ^{98} Mo above core site ODP 967. Also, the authigenic enrichments are orders

424 of magnitude above potential riverine supply, and the $M_{\text{OEF}}/U_{\text{EF}}$ ratio during most of S5 is close to the modern seawater composition; these relationships would be fortuitous if riverine Mo and U supplies were significant. Furthermore, Mo and U freshwater 427 input is very unlikely to concomitantly lead to waters with δ^{98} Mo values similar to 428 seawater and the highest sedimentary δ^{238} U values, unless they were highly anomalous with respect to rivers measured to date (Archer & Vance, 2008; Andersen et al. 2017). Thus, during S5, both U and Mo isotope systems instead respond to the time scales of deep-water renewal and ventilation, despite the different removal mechanisms for Mo (precipitation within an anoxic–sulfidic water column) and U (diffusion into, and reduction within sulfidic sediments). This linked behavior is also indicated by the 434 roughly linear correlation between the evolution of δ^{238} U_{auth} and δ^{98} Mo_{auth} (Fig 4b).

-
- **436** 5.3 *Estimates of U water column depletion from* δ^{238} *U in anoxic sediments*

 A compilation of globally distributed semi-restricted and euxinic basins shows that δ^{238} U_{auth} in the sediments exhibits a near-linear correlation with [U] depletion in basinal 439 bottom waters relative to [U] in the oxic surface waters (Fig. 5). This observation is in 440 keeping with the expectation of a linear relationship between sediment $\delta^{238}U$ and 441 bottom-water $\delta^{238}U$ and U depletion derived from a diffusion-driven model of U loss 442 into the sediments of euxinic basins with a fractionation factor of $\sim 0.6\%$ (see Andersen et al., 2014 and Bura-Nakić et al., 2018 for details). Although other U removal processes in the water column may occur (e.g. Rolison et al., 2017; Bura-Nakic et al., 2018), these have been shown to be of secondary importance compared to the *in situ* U reduction within sediments in euxinic basins (Bura-Nakic et al., 2018). This finding is supported by the good agreement between the regression line for modern-day restricted euxinic basins (Fig. 5) and that expected from the diffusion-driven U loss model discussed above. In the former, the range from 0% to 100% bottom-water U loss 450 corresponds to δ^{238} U_{auth} of -0.42‰ and +0.19‰, respectively, whilst for the model this range is -0.40‰ to +0.20‰. Thus, bottom-water U depletion estimates agrees to within <3% when comparing these two methods.

 The empirical correlation from modern-day settings can be used to quantify bottom-water U depletion in the Eastern Mediterranean at 2550 m during S5, by 456 transforming δ^{238} U_{auth} sediment data to U depletion values (Fig. 5). Such an approach yields estimates of bottom-water U depletion from the beginning to the end of S5 that progressively increase from **12±24%** (96.5 cm) to **54±23%** (75.5 cm). The uncertainty of these estimates stem from the ±2S.D. uncertainty on the coefficients of the linear regression to the modern data in Fig. 5. It is important to note that the evolving bottom-461 water U depletions during S5 that can be obtained from sedimentary δ^{238} U_{auth} are not directly observable from [U] data or U/Al ratios (e.g. Fig. 3), as elemental proxies are also influenced by variable local U removal fluxes and sedimentation rates.

5.4 Using 238U/ 235U and deep-water renewal rates in semi-restricted anoxic basins: a concept and a test in the Black Sea

467 The sedimentary $\delta^{238}U_{\text{auth}}$ data can be used to provide constraints on the deep-water renewal rates during the formation of S5, with the help of a conceptual model. Here, a restricted basin is considered as a water column of unit area in which oxic surface and near-surface waters are separated by a chemocline from anoxic/euxinic waters below. The depth profile of [U] within the anoxic water column is set by the interaction between U loss to sediment at the base of the water column, and re-supply of U across the chemocline from U-rich oxic waters. At steady state, the total U depletion in the

 water column (relative to the U-rich oxic waters) is a function of the U loss/resupply 475 rate. We can therefore apply our δ^{238} U_{auth}-based estimates of bottom-water U depletion to calculate the rates of U resupply to the anoxic water column, i.e. the water renewal 477 timescale τ , given by:

$$
\tau = \frac{\Delta U}{\varphi_{sed}} \qquad \qquad \text{Eqn. 1}
$$

481 where ΔU is the total-water-column U deficit (Fig. 6) and φ_{sed} is the U loss flux to sediment.

 The simplest calculation of total water column U deficit is derived by assuming that U transport within the sub-chemocline water column takes place only due to small- scale mixing processes in the vertical direction, i.e. by turbulent diffusion. In this case, the resulting [U] profile will be linear, e.g. as observed in the Rogoznica sea-lake on the Croatian coast (Bura-Nakić et al., 2018), and the average U depletion over the entire anoxic water column will simply be one-half of the bottom-water U depletion estimate, 490 derived from $\delta^{238}U_{\text{auth}}$ as calculated in Section 5.3. The value of ΔU can then be calculated as the difference in U inventory between that expected from conservative behavior of U, and the inventory calculated from the estimate of U depletion (Fig. 6):

494
$$
\Delta U = U_{oxic} \cdot L - \frac{f_{bottom}}{2} (U_{oxic} \cdot L)
$$
 Eqn. 2

 where *Uoxic* is [U] in oxic surface waters above the chemocline, *L* is the depth of the 497 water column below the chemocline, and *f*_{bottom} is the fractional depletion of U at the

498 base of the water column derived from δ^{238} U_{auth}. In addition to these parameters, in 499 order to estimate the water renewal timescale τ we also require independent knowledge 500 of the U loss flux to sediment φ_{sed} (Eqn. 1).

501

502 Before examining the Eastern Mediterranean during S5, we first assess this 503 simple conceptual model using data from the modern Black Sea. The value of ΔU can 504 be calculated from the known (but uncertain) parameters listed in Table 2. Additionally, 505 the U loss flux to sediment is estimated to be $100 \mu g/cm^2/yr$ (Anderson et al., 1989; 506 Andersen et al., 2014), with an uncertainty of $\pm 25 \mu$ g/cm²/yr. This U influx to sediments 507 is similar to other modern euxinic restricted basins, taking into consideration the lower 508 surface water [U] (\sim 2.2 ppb) in the Black Sea relative to the open ocean [U] (\sim 3.3 ppb) 509 (e.g. \sim 150 ng/m²/y of Cariaco Basin; Andersen et al., 2014). Based on these values, we 510 calculate a deep-water renewal timescale of 830^{+690} ℓ ₅₀₀ years (median and 97.5/2.5 511 percentile), in good agreement with independent estimates of Black Sea deep-water 512 renewal rates (~400-1000 yr; *cf*. Algeo and Rowe, 2012). In our calculation, the 513 uncertainty is propagated numerically by a Latin Hypercube sampling procedure $(10⁴$ 514 iterations) from the uncertainties on *L*, f_{bottom} and the φ_{sed} (see Eqns.1, 2 and Table 2). 515 Note that any uncertainty arising from U depletion profiles that deviate from a perfect 516 linear relationship with depth (e.g Black Sea, Anderson et al., 1989: Rolison et al., 517 2017) are implicitly incorporated into the empirical calibration regression line for 518 estimating bottom-water U depletion from the sediment $\delta^{238}U_{\text{auth}}$ given in Figure 5.

- 519
- 520 *5.5. Application to the Eastern Mediterranean during sapropel S5*

521 We make the same calculation for the ODP 967 samples in the Eastern 522 Mediterranean at the end of S5, as this is the time when our model assumptions

 (continuous euxinia and steady state) are most likely to be fulfilled. Performing the 524 calculation for the sediment at 75.5 cm, with the lowest $\delta^{238}U_{\text{auth}}$, we obtain a deep-525 water renewal timescale of 1030⁺⁸²⁰/-520 vears. The slightly increased uncertainty relative to the Black Sea estimation results almost entirely from higher uncertainty on the depth of the S5 anoxic chemocline, for which there are variable estimates: based on benthic faunal proxies it has been suggested that anoxic (benthic azoic) conditions reached up to approximately 1000 m depth (Schmiedl et al., 2003; Capotondi et al., 2006), which is also approximately the saddle height between the Levantine and Ionian Sea. However, euxinic conditions up to the photic zone (~200 m) have also been 532 proposed on the basis of organic biomarkers for S5 at site ODP 971 (~2000 m water depth) in the central Eastern Mediterranean Sea (Rohling et al., 2006). Similarly, Wegwerth et al (2018), infer water column euxinic conditions reaching up to a shallow photic zone redoxcline at the termination of S5 in the Black Sea. In our calculation, the chemocline is taken to be somewhere between 200 and 1400 m (800±600 m), to cover the large range in published estimates (see Table 2 for parameters and uncertainties).

539 Doing the same calculation for sediments at 96.5 cm (with highest $\delta^{238}U_{\text{auth}}$ 540 closer to the S5 initiation), with U depletions estimate of $12^{+24}/12\%$, and with the same assumptions of continuous anoxia and steady state, we obtain deep-water renewal rates 542 of 340⁺³⁸⁵/₋₃₂₅ vears. Yet, a finer-grained temporal analysis of the evolution of deep- water overturning rates across S5 is precluded by the uncertainties on input parameters, and by time constraints for reaching steady state. However, on a quantitative level, the 545 decreasing $\delta^{238}U$ values from the start to the end of S5, coupled with $\delta^{98}Mo$ values moving towards the seawater composition, clearly suggest a progressive development of water mass restriction and increasing euxinia. Despite the relatively large uncertainty

 on the calculated deep-water renewal time, our calculations suggest a very significant slow-down in deep-water renewal above ODP 967 at the end of the last interglacial and during S5, compared to the deep-water overturning timescales of ~100 years in the modern Eastern Mediterranean (Rohling et al., 2015). Although these results are based on the ODP 967 site, U and Mo respond in relation to the deep-water circulation in the larger basin, thus reflecting the general systematics of the whole basin and a slowdown of the entire Eastern Mediterranean overturning system.

6. Implications for the Eastern Mediterranean during S5

 The Mo-U isotopes and RSTE profiles across S5 in ODP 967 are consistent with development of deep-water stagnation and an anoxic chemocline with persistent 559 euxinia leading to near-quantitative sedimentary uptake of Mo and a δ^{98} Mo value close to that of seawater. A similar pattern, involving the progressive move of sedimentary δ^{98} Mo values towards the seawater composition at the end of the last interglacial, has also been observed in the Black Sea (Wegwerth et al., 2018), suggesting a temporal link to the development of euxinia in the Eastern Mediterranean at the same time. The Eastern Mediterranean as a whole differs from the Black Sea in not being strongly physically restricted, though this specific site, situated on the flank of the Hellenic trench at the interface between the African and Eurasian plates, could be prone to more localized restriction. Nevertheless, strong restriction due to a 'bottleneck' situation like the Black Sea is an unlikely control on the development of bottom water euxinia in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. Nor is there evidence of significant Mo depletion in the sapropel due to strong restriction (Fig. 4a). The observation in this study, based on the U isotope systematics, that there was a ten-fold decrease in deep-water renewal time 572 relative to the modern, from \sim 100 to \sim 1000 years at the end of S5, is most consistent

 with a marked reduction in overturning in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. This finding provides strong support for models proposing stratification of the Eastern Mediterranean Sea due to massive freshwater input (predominantly the River Nile) primarily related to enhanced monsoon forcing and increased westerly rainfall (Rossignol Strick et al., 1982; Emeis et al., 2003; Osborne et al., 2008; Bar-Matthews, 2014; Rohling et al., 2015, Grant et al., 2016).

 The Mo isotope and RSTE data, furthermore, point to maximum euxinic conditions in the later stages of S5 development, consistent with a progressive slow- down of the overturning. It is notable that Holocene sapropel S1 shows peak development shortly after its initiation (Gallego-Torres et al, 2010; Azrieli-Tal et al., 2014; Matthews et al., 2017), whereas for S5 the strongest euxinic conditions occur in the latter part. Late-stage V/Al ratio maxima have also been noted for S5 in several Eastern Mediterranean ODP sites (Gallego-Torres et al, 2010), thus reinforcing that peak euxinic conditions occurred in the later stages across the whole basin. Modelling studies of Grimm et al. (2015) show that rapid S1 initiation occurred at the end of a long period (~6 ky) of deep-water stagnation resulting from post-deglaciation sea-level rise, while Grant et al. (2016) show that monsoon run-off was the main trigger for S5 formation. Since S5 was deposited following a glacial termination (MIS 6), it suggests that the extended period of prior deep-water stagnation modelled by Grimm et al. (2015) for S1 did not occur for S5. One scenario for the late peak euxinic conditions could involve a relative sea level drop from about +8 to about -20 msl (meters above mean sea level) during the S5 period (Grant et al., 2012). A sea level drop across S5 could limit the renewal of deep-water via Bernouilli aspiration over the straits of Sicily, necessary for normal circulation (Rohling et al., 2015) leading to decreasing deep-water

 overturning rates towards the later part of S5. The reasons for the relatively rapid collapse of S5 are also not clear. Gallego-Torres et al. (2010) proposed that rapid sapropel collapse and re-oxygenation of the deep Levantine basin is related to deep- water intrusion from the Northern Mediterranean Sea (Adriatic and Aegean seas). This suggestion, combined with a sharp reduction in monsoon flooding (Rohling et al., 2006), would be consistent with the view that deep-water overturn was related to the dual effects of Bernouilli aspiration and deep-water renewal (Rohling et al., 2015).

 Finally we note that the quantitative estimates of deep-water renewal rates and estimates of euxinia in the water column for S5, based on the isotope systems of U and Mo, respectively, show how these proxies may provide more qualitative information on redox conditions in marine sediments than can be obtained from RSTE alone. These principles are also applicable to other settings, as shown with the calculated deep-water renewal rates for the Black Sea, and as such, can provide toolsfor further understanding the mechanisms leading to the deposition of other organic-carbon rich sediments in the past.

7. Acknowledgements

 The research was supported by Israel Science Foundation grant 1140/12, ETH Zürich and the Swiss National Science Foundation, through grant 200020_165904. Olga Berlin of the Geological Survey of Israel is thanked for making the trace and major element analyses. We thank the Bristol Isotope Group for the hospitality, Elvira Bura- Nakić for discussions and reviews from Thomas Nägler and two anonymous reviewers that helped improving a previous version of the manuscript.

References

 Algeo, T.J. and Rowe, H., 2012. Paleoceanographic applications of trace-metal concentration data. *Chem. Geol.*, **324**, 6-18.

- Algeo, T.J., Tribovillard, N., 2009. Environmental analysis of paleoceanographic
- systems based on molybdenum–uranium covariation. *Chem. Geol.,* **268,** 211–225.

Andersen, M.B., Stirling, C.H., Weyer, S., 2017. Uranium isotope

fractionation. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, **82**, 799-850.

Andersen, M.B., Elliott, T., Freymuth, H., Sims, K.W., Niu, Y. and Kelley, K.A., 2015.

The terrestrial uranium isotope cycle. *Nature*, **517**(7534), 356-359.

- Andersen, M.B. Romaniello, S., Vance, D., Little, S.H., Herdman, R. and Lyons, T.W.,
- 2014. A modern framework for the interpretation of 238U/235U in studies of ancient
- ocean redox. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **400**, 184-194.

 Anderson, R.F., Fleischer, M.Q., LeHurray, A.P., 1989. Concentration, oxidation state, and particulate flux of uranium in the Black Sea. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta,* **53**, 2215-2224.

 Almogi-Labin, A., Bar-Matthews, M., Shriki, D., Kolosovsky, E., Paterne, M., Schilman, B., Matthews, A., 2009. Climatic variability during the last ~90ka of the southern and northern Levantine Basin as evident from marine records and speleothems. *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, **28**, 2882-2896.

- Box, M.R., Krom, M.D., Cliff, R.A., Bar-Matthews, M., Almogi-Labin, A., Ayalon, A., Paterne, M., 2011. Response of the Nile and its catchment to millennial-scale climatic change since the LGM from Sr isotopes and major elements of East Mediterranean sediments. *Quat. Sci. Rev.*, **30**, 431-442.
-
- Bura-Nakić, E., Andersen, M.B., Archer, C., de Souza, G.F., Marguš, M., Vance, D.,
- 2018. Coupled Mo-U abundances and isotopes in a small marine euxinic basin: Constraints on processes in euxinic basins. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.,* **222**, 212-29.
-
- Cane, T., Rohling, E.J., Kemp, A.E.S., Cooke, S., Pearce, R.B., 2002. High-resolution stratigraphic framework for Mediterranean sapropel S5: defining temporal relationships between records of Eemian climate variability. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.,* **183**, 87–101
-
- Capotondi, L., Principato, M.S., Morigi, C., Sangiorgi, F., Maffioli, P., Giunta, S.,
- Negri, A., Corselli, C., 2006. Foraminiferal variations and stratigraphic implications to
- the deposition of sapropel S5 in the eastern Mediterranean. *Palaeogeogr.*
- *Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, **235**, 48–65.
-
- Dahl, T.W., Anbar, A.D., Gordon, G.W., Rosing, M.T., Frei, R., Canfield, D.E., 2010. The behavior of molybdenum and its isotopes across the chemocline and in the
- sediments of sulfidic Lake Cadagno, Switzerland. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.*, **74**, 144-163.
-
- de Lange, G.J., Thomson, J., Reitz, A., Slomp, C.P., Speranza Principato, M., Erba, E.,
- Corselli, C., 2008. Synchronous basin-wide formation and redox-controlled preservation of a Mediterranean sapropel. *Nature Geoscience,* **1**, 606–610.
-
- Emeis, K.C., Sakamoto, T., Wehausen, R., Brumsack, H.J., 2000. The sapropel record
- of the eastern Mediterranean Sea—results of Ocean Drilling Program Leg 160.

Palaeogeog. Palaeoclimat. Palaeoecol., **158**, 371-395.

-
- Emeis, K.C., Schulz, H.M., Struck, U., Sakamoto, T., Doose, H., Erlenkeuser, H.,
- Howell, m., Kroon, D., Paterne, M., 1998. Stable isotope and alkenone temperature
- records of sapropels from sites 964 and 967: constraining the physical environment of
- sapropel formation in the eastern Mediterranean sea. In: Robertson, A.H.F., Emeis,
- K.C., Richter, C., Camerlenghi, A., Editors, *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling*
- *Program, Scientific Results,* **160**, 309-331
-
- Gallego-Torres, D., Martinez-Ruiz, F., de Lange, G. J., Jimenez-Espejo, F.J. Ortega-
- Huertas, M., 2010. Trace-elemental derived paleoceanographic and paleoclimatic

conditions for Pleistocene Eastern Mediterranean sapropels. *Palaeogeog.*

- *Palaeoclimat., Palaeoecol.,* **293,** 76–89.
-
- Goldberg, T., Archer, C., Vance, D., Poulton, S.W., 2009. Mo isotope fractionation
- during adsorption to Fe (oxyhydr)oxides. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **73**, 6502–6516.
-

Ramsey, C.B., Satow, C. and Roberts, A.P., 2012. Rapid coupling between ice volume

- Grant, K.M., Grimm, R., Mikolajewicz, U., Marion, G., Ziegler, M., Rohling E.J., 2016.
- The timing of Mediterranean sapropel deposition relative to insolation, sea-level and
- African monsoon changes, *Quat. Sci Rev*, **140**, 125-141
-
- Grimm, R., Maier-Reimer, E., Mikolajewicz, U., Schmiedl, G., Muller-Navarra, K.,
- Adloff, F., Grant, K.M., Ziegler, M., Lourens, L.J., Emeis, K.C., 2016. Late glacial
- initiation of Holocene eastern Mediterranean sapropel formation. *Nat. Commun.,* DOI:
- 10.1038/ncomms8099.
-
- Holmden, C., Amini, M., Francois, R., 2015. Uranium isotope fractionation in Saanich Inlet: A modern analog study of a paleoredox tracer. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **153**, 202-215.
-
- Johnson, J., and Steven, I., 2000. A fine resolution model of the eastern North Atlantic, between the Azores, the Canary islands, and the Gibraltar Strait. *Deep Sea Res. Part I*, **47**, 877-899.
-
- Kendall, B., Dahl, T.W. and Anbar, A.D., 2017. The stable isotope geochemistry of
- molybdenum. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, **82(1)**, 683-732.
-

and polar temperature over the past 150,000 years. *Nature*, **491**(7426), p.744.

-
- Matthews, A., Azrieli-Tal, I., Benkovitz, A., Bar-Matthews, M., Vance, D., Poulton,
- S.W., Teutsch, N., Almogi-Labin, A., Archer, C., 2017. Anoxic development of
- sapropel S1 in the Nile Fan inferred from redox sensitive proxies, Fe speciation, Fe and
- Mo isotopes. *Chem. Geol.*, **475**, 24-39.
-
- McManus, J., Berelson, W.B., Severmann, S., Poulson, R.I., Hammond, D.E.,
- Klinkhammer, G.P., Holm, C., 2006. Molybdenum and uranium geochemistry in
- continental margin sediments: paleoproxy potential. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **70**,
- 4643-4662
-

- Montoya-Pino, C,, Weyer, S., Anbar, A.D., Pross, J., Oschmann, W., van de Schootbruuge, B., Arz, H.W., 2010. Global enhancment of ocean anoxia during Anoxic Oceanic Event 2: A quantitative approach using U isotopes. *Geology* **38**, 315-318.
-
- Neubert, N., Nägler, T.F., Böttcher, M.E., 2008. Sulfidity controls molybdenum isotope
- fractionation in euxinic sediments: evidence from the modern Black Sea. *Geology* **36**, 775–778.
-

 Nägler, T.F., Neubert, N., Böttcher, M.E., Dellwig, O., Schnetger, B., 2011. Mo isotope fractionation in pelagic euxinia: results from the modern Black and Baltic Seas. *Chem. Geol,.* **289**, 1–11.

 Nägler, T.F., Anbar, A.D., Archer, C., Goldberg, T., Gordon, G.W., Greber, N.D., Siebert, C., Sohrin, Y., Vance, D., 2014. Proposal for an international molybdenum isotope measurement standard and data representation. *Geostand. Geoanal. Res.,* **38**, 149-151.

 Noordmann, J., Weyer, S., Montoya-Pino, C., Dellwig, O., Neubert, N., Eckert, S., 2015. Uranium and molybdenum isotope systematics in modern euxinic basins: Case studies from the central Baltic Sea and the Kyllaren fjord (Norway). *Chem. Geol.*, **396**, 182-195.

- Osborne, A.H., Vance, D., Rohling, E.J., Barton, N., Rogerson, M., Felloon, N., 2008.
- A humid corridor across the Shara for the migration of early modern humans out of

-
-
- Pinardi, N., and Masetti, E., 2000. Variability of the large scale general circulation of
- the Mediterranean Sea from observations and modelling: a review. *Palaeogeog.*
- *Palaeoclimat. Palaeoecol.*, **158,** 153–173.
-
- Poulson-Brucker, R.L., McManus, J., Poulton, S.W., 2012. Molybdenum isotope
- fractionation observed under anoxic experimental conditions. *Geochem. J*, **46,** 201-
- 209.
-
- Poulson-Brucker, R.L., McManus, J., Severmann, S., Berelson, W.M., 2009. Molybdenum behavior during early diagenesis: Insights from Mo isotopes. *Geochem. Geophys., Geosyst.,* **10**(6).
-
- Rogerson, M., Rohling, E.J., Bigg, G.R., Ramirez, J., 2012. Palaeoceanography of the
- Atlantic-Mediterranean Exchange: overview and first quantitative assessment of
- climatic forcing. *Rev. Geophys*. **50**, RG2003.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011RG000376.
-
- Rohling, E.J., Hilgen, F.J., 1991. The eastern Mediterranean climate at times of
- sapropel formation: a review. *Geologie en Mijnbouw,* **70**, 253-264.
-

Africa, 120,000 years ago. *Proc.Natl. Acad. Sci. USA,* **105,** 16444-16447.

-
- Rohling, E.J., Marino, G., Grant, K.M., 2015. Mediterranean climate and oceanography, and the periodic development of anoxic events (sapropels). *Earth-Sci.. Rev.*, **143**, 62–97.

- Rolison, J.M., Stirling, C.H., Middag, R., Rijkenberg, M. J.A., 2017. Uranium stable
- 829 isotope fractionation in the Black Sea: modern calibration of the ²³⁸U/²³⁵U paleo-redox
- proxy. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **203**, 69-88.
-
- Rossignol-Strick, M., 1985. Mediterranean Quaternary sapropels: an immediate response to of the African Monsoon to variation of insolation. *Paleogeog. ,Paleoclimatol. , Paleoecol.,* **49**, 237–265.
-
- Rossignol-Strick, M., Nesteroff, V., Olive, P., Vergnaud-Grazzini, C., 1982. After the
- deluge: Mediterranean stagnation and sapropel formation. *Nature,* **295**, 105-110.
-
- Scheiderich, K., Zerkle, A.L., Helz, G.R., Farquhar, J., Walker, R.J., 2010.
- Molybdenum isotope, multiple sulfur isotope, and redox sensitive element behavior in
- early Pleistocene Mediterranean Sapropels. *Chem. Geol.*, **279**, 134-144.
-
- Schmiedl, G., Mitschele, A., Beck, S., Emeis, K.C., Hemleben, Ch., Schultz, H.,
- Sperling, M., Weldeab, S., 2003. Benthic foraminiferal record of ecosystem variability
- in the eastern Mediterranean Sea during times of sapropel S5 and S6 deposition. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, **190**, 139-164.
-
- Scrivner, A., Vance, D., Rohling, E.J., 2004. New neodymium isotope data quantify
- Nile involvement in Mediterranean anoxic episodes. *Geology*, **32**, 565–568.
-
- Siebert, C., Nägler, T.F., Kramers, J.D., 2001. Determination of molybdenum isotope
- fractionation by double-spike multicollector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.,* **2**, 2000GC00124
-
- Stirling, C.H., Andersen, M.B., Potter, E.-K., Halliday, A.N., 2007. Low temperature
- Isotope Fractionation of uranium. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, **264**, 208-225.
-
- Thomson, J., Mercone, D., de Lange, G.J., van Santvoort, P.J.M., 1999. Review of recent advances in the interpretation of Eastern Mediterranean sapropel S1 from geochemical evidence. *Marine Geol.,* **153**, 77–89.
-
- Wegwerth, A., Eckert, S., Dellwig, O., Schnetger, B., Severmann, S., Weyer, S.,
- Brüske, A., Kaiser, J., Köster, J., Arz, H.W. and Brumsack, H.J., 2018. Redox evolution
- during Eemian and Holocene sapropel formation in the Black Sea. *Palaeogeog.*
- *Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, **489,** 249-260.
-
- Weyer, S., Anbar, A.D., Gerdes, A., Gordon, G.W., Algeo, T.J., Boyle, E.A., 2008.
- 868 Natural fractionation of ²³⁸U/²³⁵U. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **72**, 345-359.
-

Figure 1. Vertical salinity profile (top) through the Mediterranean basin (bottom). The

- position of the studied core ODP 967 is marked with the red dot. Generated in Ocean
- Data View (https://odv.awi.de).

875 Figure 2. Core 967C-H5; depth in cm (110-70cm) vs. [Ba], [Mo], [U], δ^{98} Mo, δ^{238} U

876 for post-S5 (open diamonds), S5 (filled circle) and pre-S5 (open triangles) samples. The

- 877 open ocean isotope composition is shown as a dotted line for δ^{98} Mo (+2.34‰) and for
- 878 δ^{238} U (-0.39‰) the latter at the far left, close to the y-axis.

880 Figure 3. Ratios of Fe, U, Mo and V (ppm) vs Al (wt%), symbols as in Figure 2, show elevated values during S5 compared to before and after S5. All element to Al ratios also shows a general increase during S5. Although the last measured S5 sample at 76.5cm has as high Fe/Al as the previous sample, U/Al, Mo/Al and V/Al have all decreased.

885 Figure 4. Cross-plots of authigenic U and Mo and their isotope systematics (symbols 886 as in Figure 2). (a) The bold red line in (a) shows the Mo/U ratio in modern seawater. 887 The green arrows show schematic evolution in enrichment factor trajectories based on 888 physical and chemical controls on water masses, as presented by Algeo & Tribovillard 889 (2009). The filled red symbol in (b) shows $\delta^{238}U$ and $\delta^{98}Mo$ compositions of modern 890 open ocean seawater. Grey arrow shows evolution from beginning to end of S5. Linear 891 regression through the S5 data gives y =-2.65x +1.84 with $R^2 = 0.68$.

 Figure 6. Schematic illustration of the creation of a water column U depletion profile (solid line) in a restricted basin with oxic surface waters separated from more stagnant 906 anoxic/euxinic waters at depth. The total loss of U (ΔU) below the chemocline

- 907 compared to conservative U behavior (dashed line) can be calculated with knowledge
- 908 of the length-scale of the water column below the chemocline (L), the [U] of the oxic
- 909 surface waters above the chemocline (U_{oxic}) and the degree of U depletion at the base
- 910 of the water column.
- 911

¹ See supplementary table for further details.

² Errors (RSD) on single values for major and trace elements are \pm 5%.

 $3\delta^{238}$ U values normalised to the CRM-145 uranium standard and errors are 2SD and refer to last digit

 48% Mo values normalised to NIST SRM 3134 = 0.25% and errors are 2SD and refer to last digit. ⁵ Authigenic fraction obtained subtracting detrital contribution using U/Al of 10.8*10⁻⁶ g/g and

biogenic carbonate contribution of 3.25*10⁻⁶ g/g (see suppl. Table for relative fractions).

 6 Authigenic fraction obtained subtracting detrital contribution using Mo/Al of 11.9*10 6 g/g (see suppl. Table for relative fractions).

7 Authigenic $\delta^{238}U$ values obtained subtracting detrital and carbonate contribution calculated in (5) and detrital δ^{238} U of -0.3‰ and biogenic carbonate δ^{238} U of -0.4‰. Uncertainty estimate for the authigenic δ^{238} U $error(\delta^{238}U_{(measured)}*(\delta^{238}U_{(reduced)}-\delta^{238}U_{(detrial)})/(\delta^{238}U_{(measured)}$ reduced \equiv $\delta^{238}U_{(detrital)}$ ^{*}(1/reduced_(fraction)).

 8 Authigenic δ^{98} Mo values obtained subtracting detrital and carbonate contribution calculated in (6) and detrital δ^{98} Mo of 0‰. Uncertainty estimate for the reduced authigenic δ^{98} Mo = error(δ^{98} Mo (measured)*(δ^{98} MO(reduced)- δ^{98} MO(detrital))/(δ^{98} MO(measured) - δ^{98} MO(detrital))*(1/reduced(fraction)).

Table 2

Parameters used for calculating deep-water renewal timescales from U depletion

913

COL

Ū