

# A sharp Trudinger - Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$

**Report**

**Author(s):**

Ruf, Bernhard

**Publication date:**

2003

**Permanent link:**

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-a-004518861>

**Rights / license:**

[In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted](#)

# A sharp Trudinger - Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^2$

Bernhard Ruf

## Abstract

The classical Trudinger-Moser inequality says that for functions with Dirichlet norm smaller or equal to 1 in the Sobolev space  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  (with  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  a bounded domain), the integral  $\int_{\Omega} e^{4\pi u^2} dx$  is uniformly bounded by a constant depending only on  $\Omega$ . If the volume  $|\Omega|$  becomes unbounded then this bound tends to infinity, and hence the Trudinger-Moser inequality is not available for such domains (and in particular for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ).

In this paper we show that if the Dirichlet norm is replaced by the standard Sobolev norm, then the supremum of  $\int_{\Omega} e^{4\pi u^2} dx$  over all such functions is uniformly bounded, *independently* of the domain  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, a sharp upper bound for the limits of *Sobolev normalized concentrating sequences* is proved for  $\Omega = B_R$ , the ball of radius  $R$ , and for  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Finally, the explicit construction of *optimal concentrating sequences* allows to prove that the above supremum is attained on balls  $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  denote a bounded domain. The Sobolev imbedding theorem states that  $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ , for  $1 \leq p \leq 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ , or equivalently, using the Dirichlet norm  $\|u\|_D = (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{1/2}$  on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$\sup_{\|u\|_D \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx < +\infty, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq 2^*,$$

while this supremum is infinite for  $p > 2^*$ . The maximal growth  $|u|^{2^*}$  is called “critical” Sobolev growth. In the case  $N = 2$ , every polynomial growth is admitted, but one knows by easy examples that  $H_0^1(\Omega) \not\subset L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Hence, one is led to look for a function  $g(s) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with *maximal growth* such that

$$\sup_{\|u\|_D \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} g(u) dx < +\infty.$$

It was shown by Pohozaev [12], Trudinger [14] and Moser [11] that the maximal growth is of exponential type. More precisely, the Trudinger-Moser inequality states that for  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  bounded

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_{\|u\|_D \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx = c(\Omega) < +\infty \quad \text{for } \alpha \leq 4\pi,$$

The inequality is optimal: for any growth  $e^{\alpha u^2}$  with  $\alpha > 4\pi$  the corresponding supremum is  $+\infty$ .

The supremum (1.1) becomes infinite for domains  $\Omega$  with  $|\Omega| = \infty$ , and therefore the Trudinger-Moser inequality is not available for unbounded domains. Related inequalities for

unbounded domains have been proposed by Cao [5] and Tanaka [2], however they assume a growth  $e^{\alpha u^2}$  with  $\alpha < 4\pi$ , i.e. with *subcritical* growth.

In this paper we show that replacing the *Dirichlet norm*  $\|u\|_D = (\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx)^{1/2}$  by the standard *Sobolev norm* on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , namely

$$(1.2) \quad \|u\|_S = (\|u\|_D^2 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2} = \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx \right)^{1/2}$$

yields a bound *independent* of  $\Omega$ . More precisely, we prove

**Theorem 1.1** *There exists a constant  $d > 0$  such that for any domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$*

$$(1.3) \quad \sup_{\|u\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx \leq d$$

*The inequality is sharp: for any growth  $e^{\alpha u^2}$  with  $\alpha > 4\pi$  the supremum is  $+\infty$ .*

In an interesting paper, L. Carleson and A. Chang [6] proved that the supremum in (1.1) is attained if  $\Omega = B_1(0)$ , the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . This result was extended to arbitrary bounded domains in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by M. Flucher [9]. In their proof, Carleson and Chang used a "concentration-compactness" argument. They consider "normalized concentrating sequences", i.e. normalized (in the Dirichlet norm) sequences which converge weakly to 0 and (being radial) blow up at the origin. They showed that for any such sequence  $\{u_n\}$  one has

$$(1.4) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1(0)} (e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1) dx \leq e |B_1|$$

Hence, one may say that  $e |B_1|$  is the highest possible "concentration" or "non-compactness" level (see also P.L. Lions [10], and H. Brezis - L. Nirenberg [3] for the related situation for Sobolev embeddings). Carleson and Chang went on to show that

$$(1.5) \quad \sup_{\|u\|_D \leq 1} \int_{B_1} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx > e |B_1|$$

and hence, since no concentration can happen at a level above  $e |B_1|$ , they concluded that the supremum in (1.1) is attained.

Let us call the maximal limit in (1.4) the *Carleson-Chang limit*, in symbol: *cc-lim*. In [7] an *explicit* normalized concentrating sequence  $\{y_n\}$  with

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1} (e^{4\pi y_n^2} - 1) dx = \text{cc-lim}_{\|u_n\|_D \leq 1} \int_{B_1} (e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1) dx = e |B_1|$$

was constructed.

In this paper we analyze the corresponding Carleson-Chang limit for concentrating sequences which are *normalized in the Sobolev norm*. We will show

**Theorem 1.2**

1. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded domain, and let  $R > 0$  such that  $|\Omega| = |B_R|$ . Then

$$(1.7) \quad \text{cc-lim}_{\|u_n\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1) dx \leq \pi e^{1-D(R)},$$

where

$$D(R) = 2K_0(R)[2RK_1(R) - 1/I_0(R)] > 0, \text{ with } \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} D(R) = 0.$$

Here,  $I_k(x)$  and  $K_k(x)$  denote the  $k$ -th modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind, i.e. the solutions of the equation

$$-x^2 u''(x) - x u'(x) + (x^2 + k^2)u(x) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

2. Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be an arbitrary domain. Then

$$(1.8) \quad \text{cc-lim}_{\|u_n\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1) dx \leq \pi e.$$

3. The bound in (1.7) is sharp for  $\Omega = B_R(0)$ , and the bound in (1.8) is sharp for  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

It is remarkable that for  $\Omega = B_1(0)$  with Dirichlet normalization and for  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$  with Sobolev normalization the corresponding Carleson-Chang limits coincide, that is

$$\text{cc-lim}_{\|u_n\|_D \leq 1} \int_{B_1} (e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1) dx = \text{cc-lim}_{\|u_n\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1) dx = e \pi.$$

In the final result of the paper we prove

**Theorem 1.3** For any ball  $\Omega = B_R(0)$  and for  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$  holds

$$(1.9) \quad \sup_{\|u\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx > e^{1-D(R)} \pi$$

This implies in particular that the supremum (1.9) is attained in the cases of  $\Omega = B_R(0)$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 2 A uniform bound

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. We begin with

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  denote a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  denote the standard Sobolev space equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_S = \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx \right)^{1/2}$$

Then there exists a constant  $d$  (independent of  $\Omega$ ) such that

$$(2.1) \quad \sup_{\|u\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx \leq d.$$

**Proof.** It is clear that

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{\|u\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx \leq \sup_{\|u\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx$$

since any function  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  can be extended by zero outside of  $\Omega$ , obtaining a function in  $(H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \|\cdot\|_S)$ . Hence, it is sufficient to show that

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{\|u\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx \leq d$$

We use symmetrization (see e.g. J. Moser [11]) by defining the radially symmetric function  $u^*$  as follows:

$$\text{for every } \rho > 0 \text{ let } m(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 ; u^*(x) > \rho\}) = m(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 ; u(x) > \rho\}) .$$

Then  $u^*$  is a non-increasing function in  $|x|$ . By construction

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{4\pi |u^*|^2} - 1) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{4\pi |u|^2} - 1) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u^*|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 dx$$

and it is known that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u^*|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx .$$

It is therefore sufficient to prove (2.3) for radially symmetric functions  $u(x) = u(|x|)$ .

Thus, we may assume that  $u$  in (2.3) is radially symmetric and non-increasing. We divide the integral (2.3) into two parts, with  $r_0 > 0$  to be chosen:

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) = \int_{|x| \leq r_0} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) + \int_{|x| \geq r_0} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1)$$

We write the *second integral* as

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{|x| \geq r_0} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|x| \geq r_0} \frac{(4\pi)^k |u|^{2k}}{k!}$$

We estimate the single terms by the following "radial lemma" (see Berestycki - Lions, [4], Lemma A.IV):

$$(2.6) \quad |u(r)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \|u\|_{L^2} \frac{1}{r} , \quad \text{for all } r > 0 ,$$

Hence we obtain for  $k \geq 2$ :

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{|x| \geq r_0} |u|^{2k} \leq \|u\|_{L^2}^{2k} \frac{2}{\pi^{k-1}} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{2k}} r dr = \frac{1}{k-1} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \left( \frac{\|u\|_{L^2}^2}{\pi r_0^2} \right)^{k-1} .$$

This yields

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{|x| \geq r_0} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) &\leq 4\pi \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + 4\pi \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{4\|u\|_{L^2}^2}{r_0^2} \right)^{k-1} \\ &\leq c(r_0) , \end{aligned}$$

since  $\|u\|_{L^2} \leq 1$ .

To estimate the *first integral* in (2.4), let

$$v(r) = \begin{cases} u(r) - u(r_0) & , 0 \leq r \leq r_0 \\ 0 & , r \geq r_0 \end{cases}$$

Then, by (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} u^2(r) &= v^2(r) + 2v(r)u(r_0) + u^2(r_0) \\ (2.9) \quad &\leq v^2(r) + v^2(r) \frac{1}{\pi r_0^2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + 1 + \frac{1}{\pi r_0^2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq v^2(r) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\pi r_0^2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right] + d(r_0) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$u(r) \leq v(r) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\pi r_0^2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{1/2} + d^{1/2}(r_0) =: w(r) + d^{1/2}(r_0)$$

By assumption

$$\int_{B_{r_0}} |\nabla v|^2 dx = \int_{B_{r_0}} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq 1 - \|u\|_{L^2}^2$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{r_0}} |\nabla w|^2 dx &= \int_{B_{r_0}} |\nabla v (1 + \frac{1}{\pi r_0^2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}|^2 \\ (2.10) \quad &= (1 + \frac{1}{\pi r_0^2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2) \int_{B_{r_0}} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\leq (1 + \frac{1}{\pi r_0^2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2) (1 - \|u\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{\pi r_0^2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \|u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{\pi r_0^2} \|u\|_{L^2}^4 \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $r_0^2 \geq \frac{1}{\pi}$ . Since by (2.9)  $u^2(r) \leq w^2(r) + d$  we get

$$\int_{|x| \leq r_0} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx \leq e^{4\pi d} \int_{B_{r_0}} e^{4\pi w^2} dx$$

The result follows by the Trudinger-Moser inequality, since  $w \in H_0^1(B_{r_0})$  with  $\|w\|_D^2 = \int_{B_{r_0}} |\nabla w|^2 dx \leq 1$ . ■

In the next proposition we show that the result is optimal (as in the Dirichlet-norm case), namely that the supremum in (2.1) becomes infinite if the exponent  $4\pi$  is replaced by a number  $\alpha > 4\pi$ .

**Proposition 2.2** *Suppose that  $\alpha > 4\pi$ . Then, for any domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$*

$$(2.11) \quad \sup_{\|u\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx = +\infty .$$

**Proof.**

We may suppose that  $0 \in \Omega$ , and that for some  $\rho > 0$  the ball  $B_\rho(0) \subset \Omega$ . We use a modified "Moser-sequence", see [11], defined in  $B_\rho(0)$  and continued by zero in  $\Omega \setminus B_\rho(0)$ , and with Sobolev-norm  $\leq 1$  :

$$(2.12) \quad m_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{cases} \frac{\log(\rho/|x|)}{(\log n)^{1/2}} (1 - \frac{\rho^2}{4 \log n})^{1/2} & , \quad \frac{\rho}{n} \leq |x| \leq \rho \\ (\log n)^{1/2} (1 - \frac{\rho^2}{4 \log n})^{1/2} & , \quad 0 \leq |x| \leq \rho/n \end{cases}$$

One checks that  $\|m_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq 1$ , for  $n$  large. Hence one has

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{\|u\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{\alpha u^2} - 1) dx &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_\rho} (e^{\alpha m_n^2} - 1) dx \\ &\geq 2\pi \int_0^{\rho/n} \left( e^{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \log n [1 - \rho^2/(4 \log n)]} - 1 \right) r dr \\ &= 2\pi \left( n^{\frac{\alpha}{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\alpha \rho^2}{8\pi}} - 1 \right) \frac{r^2}{2} \Big|_0^{\rho/n} \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

■

### 3 Critical growth and concentration

Numerous studies in recent years have shown the close connection of critical growth with concentration phenomena, see e.g. the pioneering work of H. Brezis - L. Nirenberg [3].

As pointed out in the introduction, it is of particular interest to study the "highest level of noncompactness" for the functional  $\int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1) dx$ , under the restriction  $\|u\|_S \leq 1$ . In view of this, we make the following definition:

**Definition 3.1** *A sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  is a Sobolev-normalized concentrating sequence (for short, SNC-sequence), if*

- a)  $\|u_n\|_S = 1$
- b)  $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ , weakly in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$
- c)  $\exists x_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $\forall \rho > 0 : \int_{\Omega \setminus B_\rho(x_0)} (|\nabla u_n|^2 + |u_n|^2) dx \rightarrow 0$

Next, we define the **Carleson-Chang limit** as the maximal limit of SNS-sequences:

**Definition 3.2** *Let*

$$\Sigma := \{ \{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \mid \{u_n\} \text{ is a SNC-sequence} \} ,$$

*and define the Carleson-Chang limit as*

$$\text{cc-lim}_{\|u_n\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1) dx := \sup_{\Sigma} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1) dx .$$

The following "concentration-compactness alternative" by P.L. Lions (restated in our notation) is relevant for our purposes:

**Proposition** (P.L. Lions, [10], Theorem I.6). *Let  $\{u_n\} \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  satisfy  $\|u_n\|_S \leq 1$ ; we may assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ . Then either*

$\{u_n\}$  is a SNC-sequence

or

$$\int_{\Omega}(e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1)dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega}(e^{4\pi u^2} - 1)dx; \text{ this holds in particular if } u \neq 0.$$

Then one has

**Proposition 3.3** *Suppose that*

$$S := \sup_{\|u\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega}(e^{4\pi u^2} - 1)dx > \text{cc-lim}_{\|u_n\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega}(e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1)dx .$$

*Then the supremum  $S$  is attained.*

**Proof.** Let  $\{y_n\}$  denote a maximizing sequence for  $S$ , and assume that  $S$  is not attained. We may assume that  $y_n \rightharpoonup y$ . By the alternative of P.L. Lions we get  $y = 0$ , and  $\{y_n\}$  is a SNC-sequence. Hence

$$S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}(e^{4\pi y_n^2} - 1)dx \leq \text{cc-lim}_{\|u_n\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega}(e^{4\pi u_n^2} - 1)dx < S$$

Contradiction! ■

## 4 Upper bound for the Carleson-Chang limit

In this section we prove an explicit upper bound for the Carleson-Chang limit. In particular, we prove the estimates (1.7) and (1.8) of Theorem 1.2. In section 7 we will show that the bound in (1.7) is sharp for  $\Omega = B_R$ , with any radius  $R > 0$ , and the bound in (1.8) is sharp for  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Proof.**

1. Using symmetrization as in section 2, we see that it is sufficient to prove (1.7) for radial functions in  $B_R(0)$ . Following J. Moser [11] we perform the change of variables

$$(4.1) \quad r = e^{-t/2}, \text{ and setting } w_n(t) = (4\pi)^{1/2}y_n(r),$$

we transform the radial integrals on  $[0, R]$  into integrals on the half-line  $[-2 \log R, +\infty)$ . We will write throughout the paper:  $\alpha_R = -2 \log R$ , with  $\alpha_R = -\infty$  if  $R = +\infty$ . One checks that

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla y_n(x)|^2 dx = 2\pi \int_0^R \left| \frac{d}{dr} y_n(r) \right|^2 r dr = \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} |w'_n(t)|^2 dt$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{B_R} (e^{4\pi y_n^2(x)} - 1) dx = 2\pi \int_0^R (e^{4\pi y_n^2(r)} - 1) r dr = \pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} (e^{w_n^2(t)} - 1) e^{-t} dt$$

and similarly

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{B_R} |y_n(x)|^2 dx = 2\pi \int_0^R |y_n(r)|^2 r dr = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} |w_n(t)|^2 e^{-t} dt .$$

The SNC-sequences in this new setting are characterized by:

- a)  $\|w_n\|_S^2 := \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} (|w'_n|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|w_n|^2 e^{-t}) dt = 1$ ,  $w_n(\alpha_R) = 0$
- b)  $w_n \rightharpoonup 0$ , weakly in  $H^1([\alpha_R, +\infty))$



$$c) \int_{\alpha_R}^A (|w'_n|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|w_n|^2 e^{-t}) dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ for any fixed } A > 0,$$

and the estimate (1.7) (which we seek to prove) becomes

$$(4.4) \quad \text{cc-lim}_{\|w_n\|_S \leq 1} \pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} (e^{w_n^2(t)} - 1) e^{-t} dt \leq \pi e^{1-D(R)}$$

for SNC-sequences  $\{w_n\} \subset H^1([\alpha_R, +\infty))$ .

Let now denote  $\{w_n\}$  a maximizing SNC-sequence for the Carleson-Chang limit (1.7). We may assume that the sequence  $\{w_n\}$  satisfies

$$(4.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt > 2\pi e^{-D(R)},$$

since otherwise the theorem is proved. Note that we may assume that  $w_n(t)$  is an increasing function on  $[\alpha_R, +\infty)$ . Fix  $A_R \geq 1$  such that

$$(4.6) \quad t - 2 \log t - D(R) > 1, \quad \forall t \geq A_R.$$

**Claim 1:** There exists a number  $n_1$  such that

$$w_n(t) < 1, \quad \forall t \leq A_R, \quad \forall n \geq n_1$$

Indeed, for  $0 < R < +\infty$  we can estimate

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} w_n(t) &\leq (A_R + 2 \log R)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\alpha_R}^{A_R} |u'_n|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &=: (A_R + 2 \log R)^{1/2} \delta_n, \quad \text{for } t \leq A_R, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , by c).

For  $R = +\infty$  and  $0 < t \leq A_R$  we estimate

$$w_n(t) = w_n(0) + \int_0^t w'(t) dt \leq w_n(0) + t^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t |w'_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} dt$$

The second term goes to zero, as above. For the estimate of  $w_n(0)$  we use the following Radial Lemma (see W. Strauss, [13]), valid for radial functions  $v(r)$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and for  $r \geq 1$ :

$$(r + \frac{1}{2})v^2(r) \leq \frac{5}{4} \int_r^{\infty} (|v'|^2 + |v|^2) \rho d\rho$$

We transform this inequality (as before) by the change of variables  $r = e^{-t/2}$  and  $w(t) = (4\pi)^{1/2} v(r)$  and get, for  $t \leq 0$ :

$$(4.8) \quad (e^{-t/2} + \frac{1}{2})w^2(t) \leq \frac{5}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{e^{-t/2}} (|w'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|w(t)|^2 e^{-t}) dt.$$

Hence, we get for  $w_n(0)$ , using the concentration property of  $w_n$

$$w_n^2(0) \leq \frac{5}{3} \int_{-\infty}^0 (|w'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|w(t)|^2 e^{-t}) dt =: \sigma_n^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus the claim is proved.

By claim 1 we conclude that for  $n$  sufficiently large ( $0 < R \leq +\infty$ )

$$w_n^2(t) < 1 < A_R - 2 \log A_R - D(R), \quad \alpha_R \leq t \leq A_R.$$

Let now  $a_n > A_R$  denote the first  $t > A_R$  with

$$(4.9) \quad w_n^2(a_n) = a_n - 2 \log a_n - D(R).$$

Such an  $a_n$  exists (for  $n$  sufficiently large), since otherwise

$$w_n^2(t) < t - 2 \log t - D(R), \quad \forall t \geq A_R \geq 1, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and thus

$$\pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt \leq \pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{A_R} (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt + \pi \int_{A_R}^{\infty} e^{t-2 \log t - D(R) - t} dt$$

The second term on the right is bounded by  $\pi e^{-D(R)}$ , and in the following claim 2 we prove that the first term goes to 0, for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and thus we have a contradiction to assumption (4.5).

**Claim 2:**  $\pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{A_R} (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

This is immediate for  $0 < R < +\infty$ , since then this term can be estimated, using (4.7), by

$$\pi(R^2 - e^{-AR})(e^{\delta_n^2(A_R + \alpha_R)} - 1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

If  $R = +\infty$  we write

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt + \int_0^{A_R} (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt$$

The second term is now estimated as before, while for the first term we use a series expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^0 (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|w_n(t)|^{2k}}{k!} e^{-t} dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 |w_n(t)|^2 e^{-t} dt + \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{2} |w_n(t)|^4 e^{-t} dt + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{|w_n(t)|^{2k}}{k!} e^{-t} dt \end{aligned}$$

The first term goes to zero by concentration, the second term can be estimated by Sobolev (by returning to the variable  $r$  and back to  $t$ )

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 w_n^4 e^{-t} dt \leq c_0 \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 (|w_n'|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |w_n|^2 e^{-t}) dt \right)^2$$

and hence also goes to zero by concentration. For the third term, observe that by (4.8) we get for  $t \leq 0$

$$w_n^2(t) \leq \frac{5}{4} \frac{1}{e^{-t/2} + 1/2} \sigma_n^2 \leq c e^{t/2} \sigma_n^2$$

Hence we can estimate the series as

$$\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{c^k}{k!} \sigma_n^{2k} e^{k t/2} e^{-t} dt \leq \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} c^k \sigma_n^{2k} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{t/2} dt \leq c_1 \sigma_n^6,$$

and thus claim 2 is proved.

Thus we have proved the existence of a number  $a_n > A_R$  as claimed in (4.9).

We now prove, for  $0 < R \leq +\infty$

- i)  $\pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{a_n} (e^{w_n^2} - 1)e^{-t} dt \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi \int_{a_n}^{\infty} (e^{w_n^2} - 1)e^{-t} dt \leq \pi e^{1-D(R)}$

Proof of i): Note that the argument above shows that  $a_n \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since for an arbitrarily large number  $A_R$  there exists  $n_0(A_R)$  such that  $a_n > A_R$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . By (4.9) we have

$$\pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{a_n} (e^{w_n^2} - 1)e^{-t} dt \leq \int_{\alpha_R}^A (e^{w_n^2} - 1)e^{-t} dt + \pi \int_A^{a_n} e^{-2 \log t - D(R)} dt$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ : for the second term we get  $\pi e^{-D(R)} (\frac{1}{A} - \frac{1}{a_n}) < \epsilon/2$ , for  $A$  sufficiently large, and then the first term becomes  $\leq \epsilon/2$ , for  $n \geq n_0(A, \epsilon)$ , proceeding as in Claim 2.

Proof of ii): We apply the following basic estimate which was proved in [6] (we cite it here in the form given in [7], Proposition 2.2):

**Lemma** (Carleson-Chang): *For  $a > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  given, suppose that  $\int_a^\infty |w'(t)|^2 dt \leq \delta$ . Then*

$$\int_a^\infty e^{w^2 - t} dt \leq e \frac{1}{1 - \delta} e^K, \quad \text{with } K = w^2(a) \left(1 + \frac{\delta}{1 - \delta}\right) - a.$$

We apply this Lemma to our sequence  $\{w_n\}$ , with  $a = a_n$  given in (4.9), and  $\delta = \delta_n = \int_{a_n}^\infty (|w_n'|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|w_n|^2 e^{-t}) dt$ . Furthermore, in the following section 5, (5.1) and section 6, Proposition 6.4, it is shown that:

For  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$  given, let

$$S_{a,b} = \{u \in H^1(\alpha_R, a), u(\alpha_R) = 0, \int_{\alpha_R}^a (|u'|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|u|^2 e^{-t}) dt = b\}.$$

Then the supremum

$$\sup\{\|u\|_\infty^2 : u \in S_{a,b}\}$$

is attained by a function  $y$ , with

$$\|y\|_\infty^2 = y^2(a) = b(a - D(R)) + O\left(\frac{1}{a}\right).$$

Thus, choosing  $a = a_n$  and  $b = b_n = 1 - \delta_n$  we get for  $w_n \in S_{a_n, b_n}$

$$w_n^2(a_n) \leq a_n - a_n \delta_n - D(R) + O(\delta_n) + O\left(\frac{1}{a_n}\right),$$

which implies together with (4.9)

$$(4.10) \quad \delta_n \leq \frac{2 \log a_n}{a_n} + O\left(\frac{\log a_n}{a_n^2}\right)$$

Thus we have for  $K = K_n$  in the Lemma of Carleson and Chang

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.11) \quad K_n &= w_n^2(a_n) \left(1 + \frac{\delta_n}{1 - \delta_n}\right) - a_n \\
&\leq \left(a_n - a_n \delta_n - D(R) + O\left(\frac{\log a_n}{a_n}\right)\right) (1 + \delta_n + O(\delta_n^2)) - a_n \\
&= -D(R) - \delta_n D(R) + O\left(\frac{\log a_n}{a_n}\right) + a_n O(\delta_n^2) \\
&= -D(R) + O\left(\frac{(\log a_n)^2}{a_n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain by the Lemma of Carleson and Chang for any maximizing SNC-sequence  $\{w_n\}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi \int_{a_n}^{\infty} (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi e \frac{1}{1 - \delta_n} e^{K_n} \leq \pi e^{1 - D(R)};$$

thus ii) is proved.

With i) and ii) we now easily complete the proof of the first statement of Theorem 1.2

**2.** It is clear that for  $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega_1$  the corresponding cc-limits are increasing. Thus, it is sufficient to prove 2) for  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ ; this corresponds to setting  $R = +\infty$ , which was included in the proof of 1). ■

## 5 An auxiliary variational problem

In this section we consider the following variational problem: Determine

$$(5.1) \quad \sup \{ \|u\|_{\infty}^2 \mid u \in S_{a,b} \},$$

where

$$S_{a,b} = \left\{ u \in H^1(\alpha_R, a) \mid u(\alpha_R) = 0, \int_{\alpha_R}^a \left( |u'|^2 + \frac{R^2}{4} |u|^2 e^{-t} \right) dt = b > 0 \right\}$$

Note that  $S_{a,b} \subset L^{\infty}(\alpha_R, a)$ , with compact embedding, and hence it is easily seen that the supremum in (5.1) is attained: let  $y_a \in S_{a,b}$  such that

$$(5.2) \quad \|y_a\|_{\infty}^2 = \sup \{ \|u\|_{\infty}^2 \mid u \in S_{a,b} \}.$$

In order to determine the value of (5.2) we need to identify the maximizing function  $y_a \in S_{a,b}$ . The natural way to do this consists in deriving the Euler-Lagrange equation associated to (5.1), but we encounter the difficulty that the functional  $y \mapsto \|y\|_{\infty}^2$  is not differentiable. However, this functional is convex, and hence its *subdifferential* exists. We briefly recall this notion, and then derive the Euler-Lagrange equation for (5.1). For the proofs of some of the results we refer to [8].

**Definition 5.1** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space, and  $\psi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous and convex. Then we denote by  $\partial\psi(u) \subset E'$  the subdifferential of  $\psi$  in  $u \in E$ , given by*

$$\mu_u \in \partial\psi(u) \Leftrightarrow \psi(u+v) - \psi(u) \geq \langle \mu_u, v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in E;$$

here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the dual pairing between  $E$  and  $E'$ . An element  $\mu_u \in \partial\psi(u)$  is called a *subgradient* of  $\psi$  at  $u$ .

In [8], Lemma 2.2, it is proved that

**Lemma:** *If  $\psi$  satisfies in addition*

$$(5.3) \quad \psi(x) \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in E, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(tx) = t^2\psi(x), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

then

$$\mu \in \partial\psi(u) \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \langle \mu, u \rangle = 2\psi(u) \\ \langle \mu, x \rangle \leq \langle \mu, u \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \psi^u = \{x \in E; \psi(x) \leq \psi(u)\}. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, by an easy variation of [8], Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.4, one has:

**Lemma 5.2** *Suppose that  $\psi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies (5.3), and  $\phi \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $\langle \phi'(x), x \rangle = 2\phi(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in E$ . If  $y \in E$  is such that*

$$\psi(y) = \sup_{\{u \in E, \phi(u) = b\}} \psi(u),$$

then

$$\phi'(u) \in \frac{b}{\psi(u)} \partial\psi(u)$$

**Proof.** The Euler-Lagrange equation

$$(5.4) \quad \phi'(u) \in \lambda \partial\psi(u) \quad \text{for some } \lambda > 0$$

is obtained as in [8], Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.4. The value

$$\lambda = \frac{b}{\psi(u)}$$

is found by testing (5.4) with  $u$ :

$$2b = 2\phi(u) = \langle \phi'(u), u \rangle = \lambda \langle \mu_u, u \rangle = \lambda 2\psi(u).$$

■

We now apply Lemma 5.2 to our situation, and obtain

**Theorem 5.3** *Let  $E = \{v \in H^1(\alpha_R, a); v(\alpha_R) = 0\}$ , and consider*

$$\psi(u) = \|u\|_\infty^2 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\phi(u) = \int_{\alpha_R}^a (|u'(x)|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|u(x)|^2 e^{-x}) dx.$$

Suppose that  $y \in E$  satisfies

$$\psi(y) = \sup\{\psi(u) \mid u \in E, \phi(u) = b\};$$

then  $y$  satisfies (weakly) the equation

$$(5.5) \quad -y''(x) + \frac{1}{4}y(x)e^{-x} = \frac{b}{\|y\|_\infty^2} \mu_y, \quad \text{where } \mu_y \in \partial\psi(y) \subset E'$$

## 6 The auxiliary Euler-Lagrange equation

It remains to determine the subgradient  $\mu_y$  in equation (5.5). Again following [8], Lemma 2.6, 2.7 and 2.8 we find:

**Proposition 6.1** *Let  $K_y = \{x \in [\alpha_R, a]; |y(x) = \|y\|_\infty\}$ . Then*

*i)  $\text{supp } \mu_y \subset K_y$*

*ii)  $K_y = \{a\}$*

*iii)  $\mu_y = \|y\|_\infty \delta_a$ , the Dirac delta-function concentrated in the point  $a$ .*

Thus, equation (5.5) becomes

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} -y'' + \frac{1}{4}ye^{-t} = \frac{b}{\|y\|_\infty} \delta_a, & \alpha_R \leq t \leq a \\ y(\alpha_R) = 0 \end{cases}$$

From this one now concludes easily that equation (5.5) is equivalent to solving the equation

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{cases} -w'' + \frac{1}{4}we^{-t} = 0 \\ w(\alpha_R) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad \alpha_R \leq t < a,$$

with the condition that

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{\alpha_R}^a (|w'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|w(t)|^2 e^{-t}) dt = b;$$

the last condition is obtained by multiplying equation (6.1) by  $y$  and integrating.

We now determine the explicit solution of equation (6.2).

**Theorem 6.2** *The solution of equation (6.2) is given by*

• for  $0 < R < +\infty$ :

$$(6.4) \quad w(t) = \gamma \left( K_0(e^{-t/2}) - \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} I_0(e^{-t/2}) \right) =: \gamma z(t)$$

• for  $R = +\infty$ :

$$(6.5) \quad w(t) = \gamma K_0(e^{-t/2}),$$

with unique coefficients  $\gamma = \gamma(R, a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

Here  $I_k(x)$  and  $K_k(x)$  are the  $k$ -th modified Bessel functions of first and second kind, i.e. the solutions of the equation

$$-x^2 u''(x) - xu'(x) + (x^2 + k^2)u(x) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

**Proof.** By inspection. ■

It is crucial to determine with precision the value of the coefficient  $\gamma = \gamma(R, a, b)$  of  $w(t)$ . This requires some lengthy calculations.

We begin by recalling the following relations for the modified Bessel functions (see e.g. [1], 9.6.27,28):

$$(6.6) \quad \frac{d}{dx} I_0(x) = I_1(x), \quad \frac{d}{dx} K_0(x) = -K_1(x), \quad \frac{d}{dx} (x K_1(x)) = -x K_0(x),$$

and the following integral relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b |K_0(r)|^2 r dr &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} r^2 (K_0^2(r) - K_1^2(r)) \right]_a^b \\
\int_a^b |K_1(r)|^2 r dr &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} r^2 (K_1^2(r) - K_0(r)K_2(r)) \right]_a^b \\
(6.7) \quad \int_a^b |I_0(r)|^2 r dr &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} r^2 (I_0^2(r) - I_1^2(r)) \right]_a^b \\
\int_a^b |I_1(r)|^2 r dr &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} r^2 (I_1^2(r) - I_0(r)I_2(r)) \right]_a^b \\
\int_a^b [I_1(r)K_1(r) - I_0(r)K_0(r)] r dr &= [I_0(r)K_1(r)r]_a^b
\end{aligned}$$

see [1]; for the last relation use integration by parts and (6.6).

Using these relations we will prove:

**Theorem 6.3**

1) Condition (6.3) yields for the coefficient  $\gamma = \gamma(R, a, b)$  in (6.4)

$$\gamma^2 = 4 \frac{b}{a} \left[ 1 - \frac{4}{a} C(R) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{a^3}\right),$$

for a large, with

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.8) \quad C(R) &= \frac{1}{4} R^2 \left( K_0^2(R) - K_0(R)K_2(R) + K_0^2(R) \left( 1 - \frac{I_2(R)}{I_0(R)} \right) \right) \\
&\quad + 2RK_0(R)K_1(R) - 2\frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)}
\end{aligned}$$

and  $C(+\infty) = 0$ .

2) The solution  $w(t)$ ,  $\alpha_R \leq t \leq a$ , of equation (6.2) is given by

• for  $0 < R < +\infty$ :

$$(6.9) \quad w(t) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} \left( 1 - \frac{4}{a} C(R) + O\left(\frac{1}{a^2}\right) \right)^{1/2} \left( K_0(e^{-t/2}) - \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} I_0(e^{-t/2}) \right)$$

• for  $R = +\infty$ :

$$(6.10) \quad w(t) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{b}{a}} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{a^2}\right) \right)^{1/2} K_0(e^{-t/2})$$

**Proof.** Recall the definition of  $w(t)$  given in (6.4). We begin by evaluating the expression

$$W^2(a) := \int_{\alpha_R}^a (|w'(x)|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|w^2(x)|^2 e^{-x}) dx$$

Using the explicit form of  $w(t)$  in (6.4), the change of variable  $r = e^{-x/2}$ , and the relations (6.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
W^2(a) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\alpha_R}^a \left\{ \left| K_0'(e^{-x/2}) - \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} I_0'(e^{-x/2}) \right|^2 + \left| K_0(e^{-x/2}) - \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} I_0(e^{-x/2}) \right|^2 \right\} e^{-x} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{e^{-a/2}}^R \left\{ \left| -K_1(r) - \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} I_1(r) \right|^2 + \left| K_0(r) - \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} I_0(r) \right|^2 \right\} r dr \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{e^{-a/2}}^R \left\{ |K_1(r)|^2 + \frac{K_0^2(R)}{I_0^2(R)} |I_1(r)|^2 + |K_0(r)|^2 + \frac{K_0^2(R)}{I_0^2(R)} |I_0(r)|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} (K_1(r)I_1(r) - K_0(r)I_0(r)) \right\} r dr
\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

Using the relations (6.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} r^2 (K_1^2(r) - K_0(r)K_2(r)) \right]_{e^{-a/2}}^R + \frac{K_0^2(R)}{I_0^2(R)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} r^2 (I_1^2(r) - I_0(r)I_2(r)) \right]_{e^{-a/2}}^R \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left[ \frac{1}{2} r^2 (K_0^2(r) - K_1^2(r)) \right]_{e^{-a/2}}^R + \frac{K_0^2(R)}{I_0^2(R)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} r^2 (I_0^2(r) - I_1^2(r)) \right]_{e^{-a/2}}^R \right. \\
(6.12) \quad &\quad \left. + 2 \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} [I_0(r)K_1(r)r]_{e^{-a/2}}^R \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} r^2 \left( K_0^2(r) - K_0(r)K_2(r) + \frac{K_0^2(R)}{I_0^2(R)} (I_0^2(r) - I_0(r)I_2(r)) \right) \right]_{e^{-a/2}}^R \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2 \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} [I_0(r)K_1(r)r]_{e^{-a/2}}^R \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Evaluating at the boundaries we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4} R^2 \left( K_0^2(R) - K_0(R)K_2(R) + K_0^2(R) \left( 1 - \frac{I_2(R)}{I_0(R)} \right) \right) + 2RK_0(R)K_1(R) \\
(6.13) \quad &\quad - \frac{1}{4} e^{-a} \{ K_0^2(e^{-a/2}) - K_0(e^{-a/2})K_2(e^{-a/2}) \\
&\quad + \frac{K_0^2(R)}{I_0^2(R)} [ I_0^2(e^{-a/2}) - I_0(e^{-a/2})I_2(e^{-a/2}) ] \} \\
&\quad - 2e^{-a/2} \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} I_0(e^{-a/2})K_1(e^{-a/2})
\end{aligned}$$

For the terms with argument  $e^{-a/2}$ ,  $a$  large, we now use the following behavior of the Bessel functions for  $x > 0$  small, see [1],9.6.7-9: :

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.14) \quad &K_0(x) \sim -\log x & K_1(x) \sim \frac{1}{x} & K_2(x) \sim \frac{2}{x^2} \\
&I_0(x) \sim 1 & I_1(x) \sim \frac{1}{2}x & I_2(x) \sim \frac{1}{8}x^2
\end{aligned}$$



We get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4}R^2 \left( K_0^2(R) - K_0(R)K_2(R) + K_0^2(R)\left(1 - \frac{I_2(R)}{I_0(R)}\right) \right) + 2RK_0(R)K_1(R) \\
& - \frac{1}{4}e^{-a} \{ (-\log(e^{-a/2}))^2 - (-\log(e^{-a/2}))\frac{2}{e^{-a}} \\
& + \frac{K_0^2(R)}{I_0^2(R)} [1 - \frac{1}{8}e^{-a}] \} - 2e^{-a/2} \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} \frac{1}{e^{-a/2}} \\
(6.15) \quad & = \frac{1}{4}R^2 \left( K_0^2(R) - K_0(R)K_2(R) + K_0^2(R)\left(1 - \frac{I_2(R)}{I_0(R)}\right) \right) + 2RK_0(R)K_1(R) \\
& - \frac{1}{4}e^{-a} \{ (\frac{a}{2})^2 - \frac{a}{2}2e^a + \frac{K_0^2(R)}{I_0^2(R)} [1 - \frac{1}{8}e^{-a}] \} - 2\frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} \\
& = \frac{1}{4}R^2 \left( K_0^2(R) - K_0(R)K_2(R) + K_0^2(R)\left(1 - \frac{I_2(R)}{I_0(R)}\right) \right) + 2RK_0(R)K_1(R) \\
& + \frac{1}{4}a - 2\frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} + O(a^2e^{-a}) \\
& = \frac{1}{4}a + C(R) + O(a^2e^{-a}),
\end{aligned}$$

with  $C(R)$  as in (6.8). Conditions (6.3) and (6.4) yield now

$$(6.16) \quad b = \gamma^2 W^2(a) = \gamma^2 \left( \frac{1}{4}a + C(R) + O(a^2e^{-a}) \right)$$

We rewrite (6.16) as

$$(6.17) \quad \gamma^2 \frac{a}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{4}{a}C(R) + O(ae^{-a}) \right) = b$$

which yields for  $\gamma = \gamma(a, b)$

$$(6.18) \quad \gamma^2 = 4 \frac{b}{a} \left[ 1 - \frac{4}{a}C(R) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{a^3}\right)$$

This proves 1). Assertion 2) follows now from (6.4). Formula (6.10) follows from (6.9), noting that  $C(+\infty) = 0$  and  $K_0(+\infty)/I_0(+\infty) = 0$ .  $\blacksquare$

With this information we can now calculate the value  $\|w\|_\infty^2 = w^2(a)$ :

**Proposition 6.4** *Let  $w(t)$  denote the solution of (6.2), (6.3) and hence of (5.1). Then*

$$\|w\|_\infty^2 = w^2(a) = b \left[ a - D(R) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{a}\right).$$

**Proof.** By (6.4) we have, using (6.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.19) \quad w^2(a) &= \gamma^2 \left( K_0(e^{-a/2}) - \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} I_0(e^{-a/2}) \right)^2 \\
&= 4 \frac{b}{a} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{4}{a}C(R)\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{a^2}\right) \right] \left( K_0(e^{-a/2}) - \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} I_0(e^{-a/2}) \right)^2 \\
&= 4 \frac{b}{a} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{4}{a}C(R)\right) \right] \left( \frac{a}{2} - \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} \right)^2 + O\left(\frac{\log a}{a^3}\right) \\
&= b \left[ a - 4C(R) - 4\frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(6.20) \quad D(R) = 4C(R) + 4\frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} ;$$

then (6.19) becomes

$$(6.21) \quad w^2(a) = b [a - D(R)] + O\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)$$

■

## 7 Construction of optimal concentrating sequences

In this section we show that the upper bounds for the Carleson-Chang limit

$$(7.1) \quad \text{cc-lim}_{\|u_n\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx \leq \pi e^{1-D(R)} ,$$

given in Theorem 1.2 are sharp for  $\Omega = B_R$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ . We do this by constructing explicit optimal SNC-sequences  $\{w_n\}$  for (7.1) for which the Carleson-Chang limit is equal to the bound on the right.

The construction of this sequence follows closely the proof of the upper bound for the Carleson-Chang limit, section 4, in combination with information on the optimal sequence for the corresponding Dirichlet-norm problem, see [7].

We begin by defining the sequence  $\{w_n(t)\}$  on  $[\alpha_R, n]$ : in Theorem 6.3, set  $a = n$  and  $b = 1 - \frac{2\log n}{n}$ . Then, for  $0 < R \leq +\infty$ , let  $w_n(t)$  be given by (6.9) or (6.10), respectively. Thus,  $w_n(t)$  satisfies equation (6.2) with  $a = n$ , and condition (6.3) with  $b = 1 - \frac{2\log n}{n}$ . Furthermore, we have by Proposition 6.4

$$(7.2) \quad w_n^2(n) = \sup\{\|w_n\|_{\infty}^2 \mid w_n \in S_n\} = n - 2\log n - D(R) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) ,$$

where  $S_n = \{u \in H^1(\alpha_R, n) \mid u(\alpha_R) = 0, \int_{\alpha_R}^n (|u'|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|u|^2 e^{-t}) dt = 1 - \frac{2\log n}{n}\}$ . We remark that formula (7.2) constitutes a (late) motivation for the choice of  $a_n$  in (4.9).

It remains to define  $\{w_n(t)\}$  in  $[n, +\infty)$ . Here we can follow [7] where an optimal Dirichlet normalized concentrating sequence was constructed by analyzing carefully the proof of Carleson-Chang [6].

The complete definition of the *optimal SNC-sequence*  $\{w_n(t)\}$  is:

**Definition 7.1** Let  $w_n(t)$  be given by:

$$(7.3) \quad w_n(t) = \begin{cases} w_n(t) , & \text{given by (6.9) or (6.10), respectively,} & \alpha_R \leq t \leq n \\ & \text{with } a = n \text{ and } b = 1 - \frac{2\log n}{n} \\ w_n(n) + \frac{1}{w_n(n)} \log \frac{1 + A_n}{A_n + e^{-(t-n)}} & t \geq n \end{cases}$$

where  $A_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is such that

$$(7.4) \quad \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} (|w_n'(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|w_n(t)|^2 e^{-t}) dt = 1 .$$

We show that  $A_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$  can be chosen as in Definition 7.1, i.e. satisfying (7.4), with the estimate

**Lemma 7.2**

$$(7.5) \quad A_n = \frac{1}{n^2 e} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right)$$

**Proof.** First note that by condition (6.3)

$$(7.6) \quad \int_{\alpha_R}^n (|w'_n|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|w_n|^2 e^{-t}) dt = 1 - \frac{2 \log n}{n}$$

Thus, we look for a constant  $A_n$  such that

$$(7.7) \quad \int_n^\infty (|w'_n|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|w_n|^2 e^{-t}) dt = \frac{2 \log n}{n}$$

Assume that  $A_n \geq \frac{1}{3n^2}$ , then one has

$$\log\left(\frac{1 + A_n}{A_n + e^{-(t-n)}}\right) \leq \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{A_n}\right) \leq \log(1 + 3n^2)$$

and then by (7.3) and using that  $w_n(n) = n + O(\log n)$  (by Proposition 6.4)

$$w_n(t) \leq w_n(n) + \frac{1}{w_n(n)} \log(1 + 3n^2) \leq 2n, \quad \text{for } t \geq n, \quad n \text{ large,}$$

and hence

$$\int_n^\infty |w_n|^2 e^{-t} dt \leq 4n^2 e^{-n}$$

Therefore, condition (7.7) becomes

$$(7.8) \quad \int_n^\infty |w'_n|^2 = \frac{2 \log n}{n} + O(n^2 e^{-n})$$

One proves as in [7] that this yields

$$A_n = \frac{1}{n^2 e} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right)$$

■

We now give an asymptotic lower bound for  $\pi \int_{\alpha_R}^\infty (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :

**Theorem 7.3** *Let  $\{w_n\}$  denote the sequence (7.3), and let  $D(R)$  be given by (6.20). Then*

$$\pi \int_{\alpha_R}^\infty (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} \geq e \pi e^{-D(R)} \left(1 + 2D(R) \frac{\log n}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

**Proof.**

a) First note that

$$(7.9) \quad \pi \int_{\alpha_R}^n (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } n$$

b) Consider now

$$\pi \int_n^\infty (e^{w_n^2} - 1)e^{-t} = \pi \int_n^\infty e^{w_n^2 - t} + O(e^{-n}).$$

Performing the change of variables  $s = t - n$ , setting

$$v_n(s) = \frac{1}{w_n(n)} \log \frac{A_n + 1}{A_n + e^{-s}}$$

and using that by Proposition 6.4

$$\begin{aligned} w_n^2(n) &= \left(1 - \frac{2 \log n}{n}\right)[n - D(R)] + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= n - D(R) - 2 \log n + \frac{2 \log n}{n} D(R) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi \int_{\alpha_R}^\infty \exp([w_n(n) + v_n(s)]^2 - s - n) ds \\ &\geq \pi \int_{\alpha_R}^\infty \exp(w_n^2(n) + 2w_n(n)v_n(s) - s - n) ds \\ &\geq \pi \int_{\alpha_R}^\infty \exp\left(n - 2 \log n - D(R) + 2D(R)\frac{\log n}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + 2 \log \frac{A_n + 1}{A_n + e^{-s}} - s - n\right) \\ (7.10) \quad &= \pi \int_0^\infty \exp(-2 \log n - D(R) + 2 \log \frac{A_n + 1}{A_n + e^{-s}} - s + 2D(R)\frac{\log n}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)) \\ &= \pi e^{-D(R)} \frac{1}{n^2} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1 + A_n}{A_n + e^{-s}}\right)^2 e^{-s} ds \left(1 + 2D(R)\frac{\log n}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \\ &= \pi e^{-D(R)} \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1 + A_n}{A_n} \left(1 + 2D(R)\frac{\log n}{n} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) \\ &= \pi e^{-D(R)} \left(1 + 2D(R)\frac{\log n}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Joining (7.9) and (7.10) we get

$$\pi \int_{\alpha_R}^\infty (e^{w_n^2} - 1)e^{-t} dt \geq \pi e^{-D(R)} \left(1 + 2D(R)\frac{\log n}{n}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

and hence the theorem is proved. ■

We conclude this section by proving some properties of the function  $D(R)$ :

**Lemma 7.4** *Let  $D(R)$  given by (6.20). Then*

$$(7.11) \quad D(R) = 4R K_0(R)K_1(R) - 2 \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)}.$$

Furthermore,  $D(R) > 0$ , for all  $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and

$$D(R) \sim -2 \log R, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$D(R) \sim \frac{\pi}{R} e^{-2R}, \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow +\infty.$$

**Proof.** The explicit form of  $D(R)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} D(R) &= 4C(R) + 4\frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} \\ &= R^2 \left( K_0^2(R) - K_0(R)K_2(R) + K_0^2(R)\left(1 - \frac{I_2(R)}{I_0(R)}\right) \right) + 8RK_0(R)K_1(R) - 4\frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} \end{aligned}$$

Using the relations (see [1], 9.6.26)

$$K_2(x) - K_0(x) = \frac{2}{x}K_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad I_0(x) - I_2(x) = \frac{2}{x}I_1(x)$$

we get

$$(7.12) \quad D(R) = 6RK_0(R)K_1(R) + (2RK_0(R)I_1(R) - 4) \frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} .$$

which simplifies, using (see [1], 9.6.15)

$$(7.13) \quad K_1(x)I_0(x) + K_0(x)I_1(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$

to (7.11).

We prove that  $D(R) > 0$ , for all  $R > 0$ : by (7.11) we get, using again (7.13)

$$\begin{aligned} D(R) &= 2\frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} [RK_1(R)I_0(R) - 1 + RK_1(R)I_0(R)] \\ &= 2\frac{K_0(R)}{I_0(R)} [RK_1(R)I_0(R) - 1 + 1 - RK_0(R)I_1(R)] > 0 , \end{aligned}$$

since  $K_1(x) > K_0(x)$  and  $I_0(x) > I_1(x)$ , for all  $x > 0$ .

Next, using the behavior of the Bessel functions (6.14), for  $R > 0$  small, we have

$$D(R) \sim -4 \log R - 2(-\log R) = -2 \log R , \quad \text{for } R > 0 \text{ small} .$$

For the behavior of  $D(R)$  at  $+\infty$  we use the asymptotic behavior of the Bessel functions at  $+\infty$ , see [1], 9.7.1-2:

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{aligned} I_i(x) &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} e^x \left(1 - \frac{4i^2-1}{8x}\right) \\ K_i(x) &\sim \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} e^{-x} \left(1 + \frac{4i^2-1}{8x}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain by (7.11)

$$(7.15) \quad \begin{aligned} D(R) &\sim 4R \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} e^{-R} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8R}\right) \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} e^{-R} \left(1 + \frac{3}{8R}\right) \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} e^{-R} \left(1 + \frac{-1}{8R}\right) \sqrt{2\pi R} e^{-R} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8R} + O\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right)\right) \\ &\sim 2\pi e^{-2R} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4R}\right) - 2\pi e^{-2R} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4R}\right) = \frac{\pi}{R} e^{-2R} . \end{aligned}$$

■

## 8 The Supremum is attained

In this section we show that the supremum

$$\sup_{\|u\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} (e^{4\pi u^2} - 1) dx$$

is attained for any ball  $\Omega = B_R(0)$ , as well as for  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ .

By Proposition 3.3 it suffices to prove

**Theorem 8.1** *Let  $0 < R \leq +\infty$ . Then*

$$\sup_{\|u\|_S \leq 1} \pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} (e^{u^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt > \text{cc-lim}_{\|u_n\|_S \leq 1} \pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} (e^{u_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt$$

**Proof.** This follows immediately by Theorem 7.3: Choose an element of the maximizing sequence  $\{w_n\}$ , with  $n$  sufficiently large. Then

$$\sup_{\|u\|_S=1} \pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} (e^{u^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt \geq \pi \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} (e^{w_n^2} - 1) e^{-t} dt > \pi e^{1-D(R)} = \text{cc-lim}_{\|u_n\|_S \leq 1} \int_{\alpha_R}^{\infty} (e^{u_n^2} - 1) dx .$$

■

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## References

- [1] M. Abramowitz, A. Segun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover Publ., New York, (1968)
- [2] S. Adachi and K. Tanaka, *Trudinger type inequalities in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and their best exponents*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 2051-2057.
- [3] H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **36** (1983), 437-477.
- [4] H. Berestycki and P.L. Lions, *Nonlinear Scalar field equations, I. Existence of ground state*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anmal., **82** (1983), 313-346.
- [5] D.M. Cao, *Nontrivial solution of semilinear elliptic equation with critical exponent in  $\mathbb{R}^2$* , Comm. Partial Diff. Eq. **17** (1992), 407-435.
- [6] L. Carleson and A. Chang, *on the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser*, Bull. Sc. Math. **110** (1986), 113-127.
- [7] D.G. De Figueiredo, J.M. do Ó and B. Ruf, *On an inequality by N. Trudinger and J. Moser and related elliptic equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), 1-18.
- [8] D.G. De Figueiredo, B. Ruf. *On a superlinear Sturm-Liouville equation and a related bouncing problem*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **421**, (1991), 1-22.

- [9] M. Flucher, *Extremal functions for the Trudinger-Moser inequality in 2 dimensions*, Comm. Math. Helv. **67** (1992), 471-479.
- [10] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. the limit case, part 1*, Riv. Mat. Iberoamericana **1**, (1985) 145-201.
- [11] J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Ind. Univ. Math. J. **30** (1967), 473-484.
- [12] S.I. Pohozaev, *The Sobolev embedding in the case  $pl = n$* , Proc. Tech. Sci. Conf. on Adv. Sci. Research 1964-1965, Mathematics Section, 158-170, Moskov. Ènerget. Inst. Moscow, 1965.
- [13] W.A. Strauss, *Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **55**, (1977), 149-162.
- [14] N.S. Trudinger, *On imbeddings into orlicz spaces and some applications*, J. Math. Mech. **75** (1980), 59-77.

Bernhard Ruf  
Dipartimento di Matematica  
Università degli Studi di Milano  
Via Saldini, 50  
20123 Milano, Italia  
*e-mail*: ruf@mat.unimi.it