Detection of isoforms and genomic alterations by high-throughput fulllength single-cell RNA sequencing for personalized oncology

Working Paper

Author(s):

Dondi, Arthur; Lischetti, Ulrike; Jacob, Francis; Singer, Franziska; [Borgsmüller, Nico](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4073-3877) D; Tumor Profiler Consortium; Heinzelmann-Schwarz, Viola; Beisel, Christian; [Beerenwinkel, Niko](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0573-6119)

Publication date: 2022-12-15

Permanent link: <https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000594543>

Rights / license: [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Originally published in: bioRxiv,<https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.12.520051>

Funding acknowledgement:

190413 - Single-cell transcript isoform sequencing for precision oncology diagnostics and treatment (SNF) 766030 - Computational ONcology TRaining Alliance (EC)

 Detection of isoforms and genomic alterations by high- throughput full-length single-cell RNA sequencing for personalized oncology

 Arthur Dondi1,2,*, Ulrike Lischetti3,* , **, Francis Jacob3 , Franziska Singer2,4 , Nico 7 Borgsmüller^{1,2}, Tumor Profiler Consortium, Viola Heinzelmann-Schwarz³, Christian 8 **Beisel^{1,**}, Niko Beerenwinkel^{1,2,**}** 10 * Equal contributions **** Corresponding authors ¹** ETH Zurich, Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering, Mattenstrasse 26, 4058 Basel, Switzerland 15 ² SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Mattenstrasse 26, 4058 Basel, Switzerland **³** University Hospital Basel and University of Basel, Ovarian Cancer Research, Department of Biomedicine, Hebelstrasse 20, 4031 Basel, Switzerland **⁴** ETH Zurich, NEXUS Personalized Health Technologies, Wagistrasse 18, 8952 Schlieren, Switzerland **Keywords:** full-length single-cell RNA sequencing, long-read PacBio sequencing, transcript concatenation, isoforms, mutations, gene fusions, ovarian cancer

Abstract

 Understanding the complex background of cancer requires genotype-phenotype information in single-cell resolution. Long-read single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq), capturing full- length transcripts, lacked the depth to provide this information so far. Here, we increased the PacBio sequencing depth to 12,000 reads per cell, leveraging multiple strategies, including artifact removal and transcript concatenation, and applied the technology to samples from three human ovarian cancer patients. Our approach captured 152,000 isoforms, of which over 52,000 were novel, detected cell type- and cell-specific isoform usage, and revealed differential isoform expression in tumor and mesothelial cells. Furthermore, we identified gene fusions, including a novel scDNA sequencing-validated *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* fusion, which was misclassified as high *TESPA1* expression in matched short-read data, and called somatic and germline mutations, confirming targeted NGS cancer gene panel results. With multiple new opportunities, especially for cancer biology, we envision long-read scRNA-seq to become increasingly relevant in oncology and personalized medicine.

-
-

Graphical Abstract:

Introduction

66 Cancer is a complex disease characterized by genomic and transcriptomic alterations¹ that drive multiple tumor-promoting capabilities or hallmarks². Among others, these alterations include point mutations, insertions and deletions (indels), and gene fusions on the genomic level, and splice isoforms on the transcriptomic level. Their detection offers great potential for 70 personalized oncology as they can serve as direct therapeutic targets^{3,4} or potential 71 neoantigens informing on the immunogenicity of the tumor⁵. Gene fusions arising from large- scale genomic rearrangements, for example, play an oncogenic role in a variety of tumor 73 types⁶, and are successfully used as therapeutic targets^{7,8}. Like mutations⁹ and copy number 74 variations¹⁰, fusion rates can vary widely across cancer types, and gene fusions are thought 75 to be drivers in 16.5% of cancer cases, and even the only driver in more than $1\%^{11}$. Furthermore, out-of-frame gene fusions are more immunogenic than mutations and indels, 77 making them an ideal target for immunotherapies and cancer vaccines^{12,13}. On the transcriptomic level, alternative splicing is a major mechanism for the diversification of a cell's 79 \cdot transcriptome and proteome¹⁴ and can impact all hallmarks of tumorigenesis. It also presents 80 a fairly novel non-genomic source of potential neoantigens¹⁵. In breast and ovarian cancer, 68% of samples had at least one isoform with novel exon-exon junction (neojunction) detected 82 in proteomic data¹⁶.

83 The complexity of cancer further extends to intra-tumor heterogeneity¹⁷ and its intricate 84 interplay with the tumor microenvironment $(TIME)^{18}$. Ultimately, to fully decipher functional tumor heterogeneity and its effect on the TME, single-cell resolution providing both phenotype and genotype information is required. Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) is now widely used for the phenotypic dissection of heterogeneous tissues. It can be divided into short-read, high-throughput technologies allowing for gene expression quantification and long-read, low-89 throughput technologies that cover full-length transcripts¹⁹. Up to now, short- and long-read methods had to be used in parallel to combine the advantages of each technology. The long- read scRNA-seq field is rapidly expanding, with methods being constantly developed and 92 improved on Nanopore^{20,21} and PacBio^{22–26} long-read platforms. So far, long-read RNA-seq 93 has however only been applied on the bulk level in the field of oncology^{24,27,28}. High-quality, high-throughput, long-read scRNA-seq has the potential to provide isoform-level cell type- specific readouts and capture tumor-specific genomic alterations. With near ubiquitous p53 mutations and defective DNA repair pathways causing frequent non-recurrent gene fusions, high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC) is an ideal candidate to investigate these 98 alterations^{10,29,30}.

 Here, for the first time, we used high-quality, high-throughput long-read scRNA-seq to capture cell type-specific genomic and transcriptomic alterations on clinical cancer patients. We applied both short-read and long-read scRNA-seq to five samples from three HGSOC patients, comprising 2,571 cells, and generated the largest PacBio scRNA-seq dataset to date. We were able to identify over 150,000 isoforms, of which a third were novel, as well as novel cell type- and cell-specific isoforms. We detected differential isoform usage in tumor cells and cells of the TME. Additionally, we discovered dysregulations in the insulin like growth factor (IGF) network in tumor cells on the genomic and transcriptomic level. Thereby, we demonstrated that scRNA-seq can capture genomic alterations accurately, including cancer- and patient- specific germline and somatic mutations in genes such as *TP53*, as well as gene fusions, including a novel *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* fusion.

Results

Long-read scRNA-seq creates a catalog of isoforms in ovarian cancer

patient-derived tissue samples

 We generated short-read and long-read scRNA-seq data from five omentum biopsy samples (**Extended Data Table 1**) from three HGSOC patients. Three samples were derived from HGSOC omental metastases and two from matching distal tumor-free omental tissues (**Fig. 1a**). To generate long reads, we opted for the PacBio platform for its generation of high- fidelity (HiFi) reads through circular consensus sequencing (CCS). To overcome its limitations 118 in sequencing output and optimize for longer library length, we 1) removed template-switch oligo artifacts that can account for up to 50% of reads through biotin enrichment, 2) concatenated transcripts to sequence multiple cDNA molecules per CCS read, and 3) sequenced on the PacBio Sequel II platform (2-4 SMRT 8M cells per sample, **Methods**). This allowed the generation of a total of 212 Mio HiFi reads in 2,571 cells, which, after demultiplexing, deduplication, and intrapriming removal, resulted in 30.7 Mio unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) (**Extended Data Table 1**). On average, 12k UMIs were detected per cell.

 The long-read dataset revealed 152,546 isoforms, each associated with at least three UMIs. 127 We classified the isoforms according to the SQANTI classification³¹ and calculated their proportions (**Methods**, **Fig. 1b**,**c**): full splice match (FSM) - isoforms already in the GENCODE database (32.8%), incomplete splice matches (ISM) - isoforms corresponding to shorter versions of the FSM (35.1%), novel in catalog (NIC) - isoforms presenting combinations of known splice donors and acceptors (15.9%), and novel not in catalog (NNC) - isoforms harboring at least one unknown splice site, or neojunction (14.4%). Novel isoforms (classes NIC and NNC) accounted for 30% of the isoforms, and 11% of the total reads in all samples, while FSM accounted for 33% of the isoforms and 80% of the reads (**Fig. 1c,d**), indicating that high coverage is required for the reliable detection of new, low abundant, transcripts.

 To evaluate the structural integrity of all isoforms, we compared their 5' end to the FANTOM5 138 CAGE database³² and their 3' end to the PolyASite database³³ (Fig. 1e). More than 82% of the NIC and 74% of NNC isoforms could be validated on 3' and 5' ends, similarly to FSM. As expected, fewer ISM isoforms were found to be complete (42%): they are either incompletely sequenced isoforms missing their 5' end (30%) or the result of early 3' termination (55%).

 FSM, NIC, and NNC had overall better 3' and 5' validation than the full-length tagged isoforms in the GENCODE database (**Fig. 1e**). Only the 'Matched Annotation from NCBI and EMBL-145 EBI' (MANE³⁴) containing curated representative transcripts cross-validated between the GENCODE and RefSeq database had a better 3' and 5' validation of 95%. A total of 52,884 novel isoforms were complete (NIC+NNC), of which 40,046 were confirmed as valid novel isoforms by GENCODE, corresponding to 17% of the current GENCODE v36 database. Isoforms that were not confirmed were mainly either "partially redundant with existing transcripts", or "overlapping with multiple loci". Finally, we assessed the biotypes of our newly discovered isoforms, indicative of their presumed functional categorization. We found that 42% are protein coding, more than the 36% of protein coding isoforms found in the GENCODE database (230k entries) (**Fig. 1f-g**). This demonstrates the ability of concatenated long-read

 sequencing to generate high yield, high-quality data and discover novel isoforms with enhanced annotation.

 Long-read sequencing allows for short-read-independent cell type identification

 Next, through comparison to short-read data, we assessed the ability of long-read sequencing to cluster cells and to identify cell types. We generated short- and long-read gene count matrices and removed non-protein-coding, ribosomal, and mitochondrial genes. After filtering, we obtained 16.5 Mio unique long reads associated with 12,757 genes, and 26.3 Mio unique short reads associated with 13,122 genes (**Extended Data Table 1**). The short- and long-read datasets were of similar sequencing depth with a median of 4,930 and 2,750 UMIs per cell, respectively (average 10,235 and 6,413 UMIs, **Extended Data Fig. 1a**). Also, the genes detected in both datasets overlapped by 86.4% (**Extended Data Fig. 1b,c**).

 We first identified cell types independently per cell, using cell type marker gene lists (**Methods**). We compared short- and long-read data and found that both data types identified cell types with similar percentages, namely HGSOC (13% in short-read vs 15% in long-read data), mesothelial cells (22 vs 23%), fibroblasts (9 vs 8%), T cells (38 vs 37%), myeloid cells (both 14%), B cells (3 vs 1%), and endothelial cells (both 1%). Those cell populations expressed cell type specific marker genes (**Extended Data Fig. 1c**). We then projected short- read gene, long-read gene, and long-read isoform expression onto 2-dimensional embeddings 174 using UMAP³⁵ (**Fig. 2a**). We manually clustered cell types based on the embeddings and calculated the Jaccard distance between clusters. Cell clusters based on short- and long-176 reads were very similar, with a Jaccard distance >94% for all cell types except B-cells, where the Jaccard distance was >75% (**Fig. 2b**). Furthermore, Jaccard similarity analysis between cell type clusters and attributed cell type labels were analogous between short- and long-read data, with a better prediction of B cells and endothelial cells for long reads **(Extended Data Fig. 1b**). These findings show that long-read gene and isoform expression data can be used to identify cell types reliably and independently from short-read data.

 Long-read sequencing captures germline and somatic mutations and identifies increased neojunctions in tumor cells

 Next, we assessed the potential of long-read data for mutation detection, and used somatic mutations to further validate the cell type annotation. Germline mutations are expected in all cell types, whereas somatic mutations should be present only in tumor cells. As reference, we used mutations called from a panel covering 324 genes on patient-matched bulk DNA samples (**Methods**). We identified germline variants in 48 cells belonging to all cell types from distal omentum and tumor sites (**Fig. 2c, Supplementary Table 1**). Somatic mutations were called in 34 cells, all in the cell cluster annotated as tumor cells (**Fig. 2d**). In 20 of those cells, *TP53* was found mutated (**Supplementary Table 1**). Thus, high-fidelity long-read data can be leveraged for both germline and somatic mutation calling.

 We analyzed the expression of cell type-specific isoforms. HGSOC cells expressed more genes, transcript isoforms, and RNA molecules than other cell types **(Extended Data Fig. 3a- c)**. This difference does however not translate into mean UMIs per isoform, as isoforms expressed in cancer cells harbor fewer UMIs than in mesothelial cells, for example. This means that cancer cells express more low-abundant isoforms **(Extended Data Fig. 3d)** suggesting wider isoform diversity and broader cellular functions and controls. Isoform class distribution between cell types revealed a higher fraction of novel isoforms and neojunctions (NNC) in tumor cells (**Fig. 2e**).

 We then looked into isoforms uniquely expressed in the different cell types. At the cell type level, cancer cells contained more than 8% (9,476) of cell type-specific isoforms, between 2.3- 203 10.6 times more than the most frequent other cell types (myeloids, T/NK cells, fibroblasts and mesothelial cells) (**Methods, Extended Data Fig. 3e**). At the cellular level, 0.5% of the cancer- specific isoforms were also unique to a single cell, which is between 3-6 times the percentage of unique isoforms in other cell types (**Extended Data Fig. 3e**). In all cell types, cell type- specific isoforms (**Extended Data Fig. 3f)** had a higher percentage of novel isoforms than non-specific isoforms distributed across cells **(Fig. 2e)**. This phenomenon was even stronger in cell-specific isoforms: in cancer, more than 75% of isoforms unique to cells were novel, and 50% of these were neojunctions (NNC) (**Extended Data Fig. 3e**). Those rare isoforms were 211 difficult to detect for previous methods, hence their novelty. Taken together, cancer cells expressed at least twice as many unique isoforms than other cell types, indicating an increased transcriptomic diversification and support previous findings of cancer-specific 214 neojunction expression in bulk data.

Differential isoform expression in the tumor microenvironment reveals epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition

 Comparing cells from metastatic and tumor-free samples, we found that mesothelial and fibroblast cells showed distinct clustering, in both short- and long-read embeddings (**Fig. 3a**). We observed a bridge between TME fibroblasts and mesothelial cells on the UMAPs, suggesting that TME cells might undergo a form of transdifferentiation. To understand this phenomenon, we analyzed differential isoform and gene expression in TME vs. distal mesothelial and fibroblast cells. For mesothelial cells, the gene with the highest change in relative isoform abundance amongst all its transcripts was the collagen type 1 alpha chain (*COL1A1)* (Pcorr=6.34x10-49 , |ΔΠ|=0.86, **Methods**) **(Fig. 3b)**. TME mesothelial cells used the canonical 3' transcription termination site, while distal cells had a premature transcription termination, resulting in a truncated protein (**Fig. 3c**). *COL1A1* was also the top differentially 227 expressed gene (P = $2x10^{-3}$) between TME and distal mesothelial cells, and the fifth most differentially expressed gene between TME and distal fibroblasts (P = 0.015), with TME cells overexpressing it in both cases compared to their distal counterparts. *COL1A2*, was also found to be differentially spliced in TME mesothelial cells (P_{corr}=6.85x10⁻⁹¹, |ΔΠ|=0.37) and fibroblasts (Pcorr=2.02x10-77 , |ΔΠ|=0.36). HGSOC cells showed the same *COL1A2* splicing 232 pattern as TME cells when compared to all non-tumor cells $(P_{corr}=6.54 \times 10^{-79}$, $|\Delta \Pi|=0.42$). Both expressed transcripts with a canonical 3'UTR, longer than the 3'UTR expressed in distal cells (**Fig. 3d**). Thus, in two cases, tumor-associated stromal cells overexpressed and used longer collagen matrix isoforms than their distal counterparts. Another top differentially expressed isoform in TME vs. distal mesothelial cells was gelsolin (*GSN*), which exists in two main protein variants: one residing in the cytoplasm (*cGSN*), the other in the extracellular (plasma) 238 environments (pGSN)³⁶. At the gene level, GSN was not significantly overexpressed in TME vs. distal or in HGSOC vs. non-HGSOC cells. However, TME mesothelial cells had a 140 significantly higher *cGSN/pGSN* isoform ratio than distal ones (P_{corr}=2.49x10⁻¹⁸, |ΔΠ|=0.34) (**Fig. 3e**). Similarly, cancer cells had a significantly higher *cGSN/pGSN* ratio than non-cancer

242 cells (P_{corr}=3.4x10⁻¹²⁷, |ΔΠ|=0.28), and consistent with findings for *COL1A*, TME cells displayed a cancer-like isoform expression profile compared to cells from distal sites, suggesting tissue mimicry. To test if the differential expression of those structural isoforms in TME cells could be linked to epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), we performed gene set enrichment, which revealed the EMT pathway as enriched in TME mesothelial and fibroblasts cells (**Fig. 3f**) supporting the idea of a tumor-transformed stroma.

Differential isoform expression in cancer reveals isoform-specific *IGF1*

usage

 HGSOC cells significantly expressed different isoforms in 17% of the genes, compared to all distal cells, but only 0.6% were switched with |ΔΠ|>0.5 (6,841 genes tested, **Methods**) (**Extended Data Fig. 4a).** One of the most significant switches was found in the insulin-like 253 growth factor gene *IGF1* (P_{corr} =1.1x10⁻¹³⁰, $|\Delta \Pi|$ =0.68), a gene coding for a hormone linked to the development, progression, survival, and chemoresistance of many cancer types including 255 ovarian cancer³⁷. Cancer cells from all patients almost exclusively used the second exon of the gene as their transcription start site (Class II isoform), whereas other cells mainly used the first exon (Class I isoform)³⁸ (**Fig. 4a,b**). The Class II isoform was highly expressed in HGSOC, with 95% of cancer cells expressing it (**Fig. 4c,d**). Reflecting the findings of the DIE analysis in mesothelial cells, fibroblasts and mesothelial cells in the TME also expressed a higher fraction of class II isoforms than cells derived from distal biopsies (**Fig. 4d**). *IGF1* was found 261 to be significantly higher expressed in cancer cells $(P_{corr}=4.8x10^{-32})$ as well as in TME mesothelial cells and fibroblasts compared to distal mesothelial cells and fibroblasts 263 (P_{corr}=4.05 \times 10⁻³²).

 Similarly, cancer and TME cells differentially expressed multiple isoforms in the two actin- associated tropomyosin genes *TPM1* and *TPM2*. Cancer cells expressed terminal exon 9a 267 and exon 6b of TPM2 (P_{corr} <10⁻²⁹³, $|\Delta \Pi|$ =0.28), and TME cells also expressed those exons more than distal ones (**Extended Data Fig. 4a-d**). Cancer cells also preferentially expressed exon 1b and 6a of *TPM1* (**Extended Data Fig. 4e**). Another strongly switched gene in cancer 270 cells is vesicle-associated *VAMP5* (P_{corr}=4.59x10⁻¹⁷, |ΔΠ|=0.70). Indeed, the overexpressed isoforms in HGSOC cells were a (predicted protein-coding) *VAMP8-VAMP5* read-through gene, i.e., a novel gene formed of two adjacent genes (**Extended Data Fig. 4f**). HGSOC cells expressed almost no wild-type (wt) *VAMP5* but had a significantly higher *VAMP8* expression 274 than other cells $(P_{corr}=1.0x10^{-15}t)$, indicating that this read-through gene was under transcriptional control of *VAMP8*. Amongst others, HGSOC cells also differentially expressed 276 isoforms in the Golgi vesicle-associated *AP1S2* gene (P_{corr}=6.52x10⁻⁹⁷, |ΔΠ|=0.60). Fibroblasts, mesothelial, and myeloid cells expressed the canonical isoform (Uniprot: P56377- 1), whereas HGSOC cells used another terminal 3' exon (Uniprot: A0A5F9ZHW1) (**Extended Data Fig. 4g**). Last, patient 2 cancer cells highly expressed a novel shortened isoform of 280 ceramide kinase gene CERK, (P_{corr}=1.38x10⁻³⁹, |ΔΠ|=0.78) (**Extended Data Fig. 4h**). In summary, tumor cells showed differential isoform usage in genes associated with hormonal (*IGF1*), actin (*TPM1, TPM2, GSN*), vesicle (*VAMP8-VAMP5, APS1A*), and sphingolipid (*CERK*) functions.

Long-read sequencing captures gene fusions and identifies an *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* fusion that was misidentified in short-read data

 To detect fusion transcripts, we aligned long reads to the reference genome and filtered for reads split-aligned across multiple genes. We then ranked fusion transcripts with counts across all cells of more than 10 UMIs (**Supplementary Table 2**). Out of the 34 detected fusion entries, 21 were genes fused with mitochondrial ribosomal RNA (*mt-rRNA1*-*2*) and ubiquitous among all cell types, 11 isoforms were *IGF2BP2*::*TESPA1* fusions specific to patient 2, one was a cancer cell-specific *CBLC* (chr8:43.064.215) fusion to a long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) expressed in patient 3, and one was a cancer cell-specific fusion of *FNTA* with a lncRNA expressed in patient 1. The ubiquitous *mt-rRNA* fusions were likely template-switching artifacts 294 from the library preparation, as rRNA makes up to 80% of RNA in cells³⁹. *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* was a highly expressed fusion event in patient 2: 2,174 long-reads mapped to both *IGF2BP2* (Chr3) and *TESPA1* (Chr12). The gene fusion consisted of 5' located exons 1-4 of *IGF2BP2,* corresponding to 112 amino acids (aa) and including the RNA recognition motif 1 (RRM1) and half of the RRM2 domain*,* linked to the terminal *TESPA1* 3' untranslated region (UTR) exon, encoding 69 aa as in-frame fusion and including no known domains (**Fig. 5a**). In total, the gene fusion encoded 181 aa, compared to 599 aa of wt *IGF2BP2* and 521 aa of wt *TESPA1* **(Fig. 5b)**. 98.9% of fusion reads were found in HGSOC cells and the fusion was detected in 86.8% of patient 2's cancer cells, making it a highly cancer cell- and patient-specific fusion event (**Fig. 5c**). Cancer cells lacking the gene fusion had lower overall UMI counts, suggesting low coverage as a possible reason for the absence of the gene fusion (**Fig. 5d**).

 We next investigated the footprint of the gene fusion in the short-read data. The *TESPA1* gene was expressed uniquely in T cells and highly expressed only in patient 2, almost exclusively in HGSOC cells, and colocalized with *IGF2BP2* expression (**Fig. 5e,f**). In short-read data, *TESPA1* was the highest differentially expressed gene in cancer cells compared to non-cancer 310 cells in patient 2 (P_{corr} =1.17x10⁻¹⁴). Next, we designed a custom reference including the *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* transcriptomic breakpoint as well as wt *TESPA1* and wt *IGF2BP2* junctions and re-aligned Patient 2's short-reads (**Extended Data Fig. 5, Methods**). Out of the 989 reads mapping to the custom reference, 94% preferentially aligned to *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* (99.8% of those in HGSOC cells). This implies that the reported overexpression of *TESPA1* in short-reads is false, as nearly all junction reads map to the fusion and not the wt gene. Reads covering the *TESPA1* 3' UTR region harbored three heterozygous single nucleotide polymorphisms (hSNPs): chr12:54.950.144 A>T (rs1047039), chr12:54.950.240 G>A (rs1801876), and chr12:54.950.349 C>G (rs2171497). In long reads, wt *TESPA1* was either triple-mutated or not mutated at all, indicating two different alleles. All fusion long reads, however, were triple-mutated, indicating a genomic origin and monoallelic expression of the fusion (**Fig. 5g**). In short reads, the three loci were mutated in nearly all reads, supporting the hypothesis that the observed *TESPA1* expression represents almost completely *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* expression and that it has a genomic origin.

Genomic breakpoint validation of the *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* fusion

To validate that the *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* gene fusion is the result of genomic rearrangements,

- we looked for a breakpoint in single-cell DNA sequencing (scDNA-seq) data from a patient
- 2-matched metastatic sample. Two RNA fusion long reads mapped to intronic regions of
- *IGF2BP2* and *TESPA1* (**Extended Data Fig. 5**) indicating the location of the breakpoint at

 chr3:185.604.020-chr12:54.960.603. We then estimated the scDNA-seq copy number 330 profiles of all cells and identified two clones among the 162 cells of the scDNA sample: a cancer clone (Subclone 0) and a copy number-neutral non-cancer clone (Subclone 1) (**Fig. 6a**). We next aligned the scDNA data to a custom reference covering the breakpoint (**Methods, Supplementary Dataset 1**), including the wt *TESPA1*, wt *IGF2BP2,* and *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* fusion sequences. We found nine reads mapping to the breakpoint (nine in subclone 0 cancer cells, zero in sublone 1 cells, P=0.0321) **(Fig. 6b)**. We also found 14 reads mapping to wt *IGF2BP2* (ten in subclone 0 cells, four in sublone 1 cells, P=0,78) (**Fig. 6c**), and eight reads mapping to wt *TESPA1* (five subclone 0 cells, three subclone 1 cells, P=1.0) (**Fig. 6d**). Thus, scDNA-seq data confirmed the breakpoint in the intronic region detected by the long-read scRNA-seq. The scDNA-seq data also confirmed that the *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* fusion was cancer-cell specific, as suggested by long-read scRNA-seq data. *IGF2* RNA, which is bound by the wt IGF2BP2 protein, is also largely overexpressed in 342 patient 2 cancer cells compared to other patients $(P_{corr} < 2.54 \times 10^{-15})$. The genomic region containing *IGF2BP2* has an increased copy number (**Fig. 6a**) in patient 2, so the fact that one allele is a fusion allele does not impair the wt *IGF2BP2* transcription.

345 Discussion

346 Detecting genomic alterations such as mutations^{40,41} and gene fusions^{42,43} in combination with 347 isoform-level¹⁵ transcriptomic readouts on the single-cell level can provide valuable information on cancer formation, progression, the role of the TME, drug targets, and therapy 349 response⁴⁴. Here, we applied PacBio HiFi high-throughput long-read RNA-seq on five omental metastases and tumor-free samples from chemo-naive HGSOC patients to detect and quantify all of these alterations.

 Until now, a combination of single-cell short- and long-read sequencing was necessary to identify cell-specific isoforms: the higher depth of short-read sequencing allowed for cell typing 354 based on gene expression, while long-read sequencing was used to identify isoforms²². Leveraging multiple strategies to generate high PacBio sequencing output, we achieved a 50- 356 fold increased sequencing depth compared to the first long-read PacBio scRNA-seq study²² allowing for short read-comparable cell type identification. Consequently, future studies with similar or increased long-read throughput will not have to rely on parallel short-read sequencing, thereby saving cost and labor.

 Our analysis revealed a differential isoform usage between distal tumor-free and TME mesothelial cells in extracellular matrix associated genes (*COL1A1, COL1A2, GSN*). A geneset enrichment analysis between the two sites revealed higher EMT pathway enrichment in TME-derived mesothelial cells and fibroblasts. These findings are consistent with increasing evidence that EMT in the TME is induced by cancer cells, leading to cancer-associated 365 phenotypes⁴⁵ including TGFβ1-induced mesenchymal states of mesothelial cells in ovarian cancer46 . Notably, in *IGF1, TPM2, GSN* and *COL1A2* genes, we found overlap in isoform usage between cancer and TME cells (fibroblasts and mesothelial cells). Whether this cancer mimicry of the TME is caused by signaling or the result of mRNA exchange via tumor-secreted 369 extracellular vesicles⁴⁷, as it was shown for GSN^{48} , requires further investigation.

 Additionally, we demonstrated the potential of the technology in terms of coverage and sequencing accuracy to detect mutations and gene fusions. In particular, in one patient, the novel fusion *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* was highly overexpressed compared to wt *IGF2BP2* (~10x more) and *TESPA1* (~150x more). *IGF2BP2* is known to be regulated via 3'UTR miRNA silencing49 , however the *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* fusion has the unregulated 3'UTR of *TESPA1*, 375 which could explain its overexpression. *TESPA1* is normally expressed in T cells⁵⁰ and long- read data confirmed T cell-specific wt *TESPA1* expression. Short read data however erroneously reported *TESPA1* as the most differentially expressed gene in cancer cells, resulting from 3' end capture of the fusion transcripts. This highlights that short-read scRNA- seq data fails to distinguish between gene and fusion expression, potentially leading to wrong biological conclusions.

 Overall, HGSOC cells revealed a profoundly modified IGF system in all patients, with a drastic switch from *IGF1* Class I to Class II isoform, *IGF2* overexpression, and a highly expressed *IGF2BP2* gene fusion in one patient. The *IGF* protein family promotes cancer growth, survival, proliferation, and drug resistance through signaling via *PI3K-AKT* or *MAPK*, and is a known 386 clinical target in ovarian cancer³⁷. Secreted (Class II) *IGF1* is associated with the progression 387 of ovarian cancer⁵¹ and the observed overexpression of Class II IGF1 in HGSOC cells could mediate uncontrolled cell proliferation in the tumor.

 Although the achieved sequencing depth allowed for short-read independent cell typing and clustering, a further increased depth is needed to capture low abundance transcripts. For example, we did not obtain sufficient reads to retrieve and characterize the T cell receptor repertoire. This is consistent with a long-read scRNA-seq study in blood lymphocytes that reported a 3.6-fold lower pairing rate for T cell receptors than the higher abundant B cell 394 receptors from plasmablasts⁵². With further technological advances and decreased sequencing costs, however, we expect that these limitations can and will be overcome. Enrichment for low abundant transcripts for long-read sequencing or depletion of mitochondrial 397 and ribosomal RNA $⁵³$ represent interesting avenues forward.</sup>

 Altogether, we demonstrate that long-read sequencing provides a more complete picture of cancer-specific changes. These findings highlight the manifold advantages and new opportunities that this technology provides to the field of precision oncology, opening the 401 premise of personalized drug prediction and neoantigen detection for cancer vaccines^{54,55}.

Materials and Methods

Omentum patient cohort

 The use of material for research purposes was approved by the corresponding cantonal ethic commissions (EKNZ: 2017–01900, to V.H.S.) and informed consent was obtained for all human primary material. Tissue samples were immediately collected from the theater and transferred on ice to the department of biomedicine of the University Hospital Basel for tissue dissociation.

Sample processing

 Fresh omentum and omental HGSOC tumor metastasis biopsy samples were cut into small pieces and dissociated in digestion solution (1 mg/mL collagenase/Dispase [Sigma cat. no. 10269638001], 1 unit/mL DNase I [NEB, cat. no. M0303] and 10% FBS in DMEM [Sigma, cat. no. D8437-500mL]) for 30 min at 37°C. To focus on the non-adipose cell fraction, adipocytes were separated by centrifugation and the cell pellet was collected. Red blood cell lysis (RBC) was performed using MACS red blood lysis solution (cat. no. 130-094-183). Then, the cell pellet was resuspended into MACS dead cell removal microbeads (cat. no. 130-090-101) and was loaded into the AutoMACS separator to remove dead cells. After counting cell number, cells were resuspended in PBS with 1% BSA and transferred to the Genomics Facility Basel. The cell suspension was again filtered and cell number and viability was assessed on a Cellometer K2 Image Cytometer (Nexcelom Bioscience, cat. no. Cellometer K2) using ViaStain AOPI Staining Solution (Nexcelom Bioscience, cat. no. CS2-0106-5mL) and PD100 cell counting slides (Nexcelom Bioscience, cat. no. CHT4-PD100-003). For samples with 423 viability below 70% and when cell numbers allowed ($>10^5$ cells total), apoptotic and dead cells were removed by immunomagnetic cell separation using the Annexin Dead Cell Removal Kit (StemCell Technologies, cat. no. 17899) and EasySep Magnet (StemCell Technologies, cat. no. 18000). If the cell pellet appeared still red, additional RBC lysis was performed. Cells were washed with a resuspension buffer (PBS with 0.04% BSA), spun down and resuspended in a resuspension buffer. Finally, cells were again counted and their viability determined. The cell concentration was set according to 10x Genomics protocols (700-1,200 cells/µL).

10x Genomics single-cell capture and short-read sequencing

Cell suspensions were loaded and processed using the 10x Genomics Chromium platform

with the 3P v3.1 kit on the 10x Genomics Chromium Single Cell Controller (10x Genomics,

 PN-120263) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 500 or 1,000 cells were targeted per lane. The quality of cDNA traces and GEX libraries were profiled on a 5200 Fragment Analyzer

(Agilent Technologies).

Paired-end sequencing was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq platform (100 cycles, 380pm

loading concentration with 1% addition of PhiX) at recommended sequencing depth (20,000-

50,000 reads/cell).

Long-read library preparation and PacBio sequencing

 To increase long-read PacBio sequencing throughput, we followed the strategy of cDNA 441 concatenation of the HIT-scISOseg protocol²³ with the modification of two rounds of biotin-

PCR in order to further reduce template-switch oligo (TSO) artifacts from the data.

- Full protocol details:
- cDNA amplification and biotin-enrichment

445 15 ng of each patient's cDNA library were amplified using the KAPA HiFi HotStart Uracil+ ReadyMix 2x (Kapa Biosystems, cat. no. KK2801) with 0.5 µM final concentration of custom- primers (Integrated DNA Technologies, HPLC purified). Primers contained overhang 448 sequences adapted from Hebelstrup *et al.⁵⁶* with a single deocxyuredine (dU) residue at a 10 nt distance from the 5' terminus enabling USER enzyme digestion and creating single- stranded overhangs. Generated PCR fragments thus contain a single dU residue per DNA strand. The forward primer was specific to the 10x Genomics partial Read 1 sequence and contained a biotin modification allowing for biotin enrichment of amplified full-length cDNA molecules. The reverse primer was specific to the 10x Genomics partial TSO sequence.

- Forward Primer: /5Biosg/AGGTCTTAA/ideoxyU/CTACACGACGCCTTCCGATCT
- Reverse Primer: ATTAAGACC/ideoxyU/AAGCAGTGGTATCAACGCAGAG

 The PCR was run according to the manufacturer's instruction with two cycles at an annealing temperature of 63°C followed by 7 cycles at an annealing temperature of 67°C; annealing time was 30 seconds. Extension was performed at 72°C for 90 seconds. PCR products were purified at 0.6X SPRIselect bead cleanup (Beckman Coulter, cat. no. B23318) according to the manufacturer's instructions and eluted in 22 µL EB buffer (Qiagen, cat. no. 19086). DNA concentrations were measured using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. no. Q32854), which were in the range of 1.5 µg per sample. cDNA traces were additionally evaluated on a 5200 Fragment Analyzer System (Agilent Technologies) using the HS NGS Fragment Kit, 1-6000 bp (Agilent, cat. no. DNF-474-0500). Full-length cDNAs were enriched through capture on 5 µL streptavidin-coated M-280 dynabeads using the Dynabeads™ kilobaseBINDER™ Kit (Invitrogen, cat. no. 60101), thus depleting TSO-TSO artifacts. Washed Dynabeads containing the DNA-complexes were directly resuspended in 20 μ L USER reaction buffer containing 10 μ L StickTogether DNA Ligase Buffer 2x (NEB, cat. no. B0535S), 1.5 µL USER Enzyme (NEB, cat. no. M5505S) and 8.5 µL Nuclease-free water (Invitrogen, AM9939) and incubated in a thermocycler at 37°C for 20 min and held at 10°C (no annealing). This created a nick at the deoxyuracil site forming palindrome overhangs and releasing the biotin-bound DNA molecules from the beads. Beads were removed by magnetic separation and the supernatant with the biotin-released cleaved PCR products was subjected to a 0.6X SPRIselect cleanup step. Approximately 100 ng of purified product per sample were split into two aliquots and subjected to a second PCR amplification step with 6 cycles using an annealing temperature of 67°C. Reactions were pooled, purified by 0.6X SPRIselect cleanup and quality checked on both Qubit and Fragment Analyzer. Total DNA yield was between 5-8 µg, which were subjected to a second round of streptavidin-purification using 10 µL of beads.

Transcript ligation

481 Beads were incubated in 19 µL USER reaction buffer at 37°C for 20 min for USER digestion and 25°C for 17 min for overhang annealing. Beads were then removed by magnetic separation and the supernatant was transferred to a new PCR tube. 1 µL of T4 DNA ligase high-concentration (2,000,000, units/mL, NEB, cat. no. M0202T) was added, mixed and incubated at 10°C for >24hrs and heat inactivated at 65°C for 10 min. To efficiently deplete any non-ligated transcripts, 0.38X SPRIselect cleanup was performed, eluted in 20 µL EB buffer and traces were evaluated on the Fragment Analyzer using the HS Large Fragment kit (Agilent Technologies, cat. no. DNF-492-0500) at 1:5 dilutions. Ligation products were 8-11kb long; average yield was 100 ng per sample.

End repair/dA tailing, adapter ligation and PCR amplification

 To enable PCR-amplification of the ligated construct, the NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina was followed (NEB, cat. no. E7645S) using total DNA yield as input material. 2.5 µL of 5 µM dT overhang adapter (Roche, cat. no. KK8727) were used for the End Prep 494 reaction. Adapter-ligated libraries were purified by 0.39X SPRIselect cleanup, eluted in 22 µL EB buffer and products were evaluated by HS Large Fragment kit. Total yield of around 40 ng was split in two and PCR amplified using 2X KAPA HiFi Hot-Start ReadyMix (Roche, cat. no. KK2602) and KAPA Library Amplification Primer Mix (10X concentration, Roche, cat. no. KK2623), 10 µL library input each with 11 cycles and 9 min extension time. Following a 0.38X SPRIselect cleanup and elution in 48 µL EB buffer, products were evaluated on a large fragment gel revealing an average fragment length of libraries of 4.6 kb and average total of

 1.1 µg DNA. To increase total yield to 2 µg DNA required for SMRTbell library preparation of a product with 5 kb amplicon size, the PCR was repeated with three additional cycles and 5 min extension time. After 0.4X SPRI cleanup and Fragment Analyzer inspection, the final yield was 2 µg per library.

PacBio SMRTbell library preparation

 The SMRTbell Express Template Kit (PacBio, cat. no. 100-938-900) was used following manufacturer's instructions for DNA damage repair, end repair/dA-tailing and ligation of a hairpin adapter (double amount used). Final purification of the SMRTbell template was performed by 0.42X SPRIselect cleanup and elution in 43 µL EB buffer. Exonuclease 510 treatment was performed by addition of 5 μ L of NEBbuffer1 (NEB, cat. no. B7001S) and 1 μ L of each Exonuclease I (NEB, cat. no. M0293S) and Exonuclease III (NEB, cat. no. M0206S) bringing the total volume to 50 µL per reaction. Enzyme treatment was performed at 37°C for 60 min. After SPRIselect cleanup, products were quantified on a large fragment gel at 1:30 dilution. Final yield was approximately 650 ng per sample, a sufficient amount for long-read sequencing.

PacBio Sequel II sequencing

 Libraries were sequenced on the PacBio Sequel II platform with the SMRT cell 8M. Omentum metastasis and tumor-free omentum were run on three and two 8M cells, respectively.

Single-cell DNA-sequencing

 Cell suspensions were loaded and processed using the 10x Genomics Chromium platform with the single-cell CNV kit on the 10x Genomics Chromium Single Cell Controller (10x

Genomics, PN-120263) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Paired-end sequencing

- was performed on the Illumina NovaSeq platform (100 cycles, 380pm loading concentration
- with 1% addition of PhiX) at recommended sequencing depth.
- Data Analysis
- Short-read data analysis
- Preprocessing

 Raw reads were mapped to the GRCh38 reference genome using 10x Genomics Cell Ranger 3.1.0 to infer read counts per gene per cell. We performed index-hopping removal using a 530 method developed by Griffiths *et al.*⁵⁷.

531 10x Genomics short-read analysis

532 GEX data of each sample was analyzed using the scAmpi workflow⁵⁸. In brief, UMI counts were quality controlled and cells and genes filtered to remove known contaminants. Cells where over 50% of the reads mapped to mitochondrial genes and cells with fewer than 400 different expressed genes were removed, as well as non protein-coding genes and genes that 536 were expressed in less than 20 cells. Doublet detection was performed using scDblFinder⁵⁹. Subsequently, counts were normalized and corrected for cell cycle effects, library size, and 538 sample effect using sctransform⁶⁰. Similar cells were grouped based on unsupervised 539 clustering using Phenograph⁶¹ and an automated cell type classification was performed independently for each cell⁶² using gene lists defining highly expressed genes in different cell types from previous publications. Major cell type marker lists were developed in-house based 542 on unpublished datasets (manuscripts in preparation) including the Tumor Profiler Study⁶³ 543 using the Seurat FindMarkers method. Immune subtype marker gene lists were obtained 544 from Newman *et al.⁶⁵*, enriched with T cell subtypes from Sade-Feldman *et al.⁶⁶*

- Long-read data analysis
- Generating CCS

 Using SMRT-Link (version 9.0.0.92188), we performed circular consensus sequencing (CCS) with the following modified parameters: maximum subread length 50,000 bp, minimum subread length 10 bp, and minimum number of passes 3.

Unconcatenating long reads

 We used NCBI BLAST (version 2.5.0+) to map the 5' and 3' primers to CCS constructs, with 552 parameters: "-outfmt 7 -word size 5" as described previously²³. Sequences between two successive primers were used as input for primer trimming using IsoSeq3 Lima (parameters: --isoseq --dump-clips --min-passes 3). Cell barcodes and UMIs were then demultiplexed using IsoSeq3 tag with parameter --design T-12U-16B. Finally, we used IsoSeq3 refine with option --require-polya to remove concatemers and trim polyA tails. Only reads with a correct 5'-3' primer pair, a barcode also found in the short-read data, a UMI, and a polyA tail were retained.

Isoform classification

 Demultiplexing UMIs with IsoSeq3 dedup and calling isoforms on the cohort level with 560 collapse isoforms by sam.py resulted in unfeasible runtimes. Therefore, we called isoforms first on the cell level as a pre-filtering step. Long-reads were split according to their cell barcodes, and UMI deduplication was performed using IsoSeq3 dedup. Next, reads were mapped and aligned to the reference genome (hg38) using minimap2 with parameters: -ax splice -uf --secondary=no -C5. Identical isoforms were merged based on their aligned exonic 565 structure using collapse isoforms by sam.py with parameters: -c 0.99 -i 0.95 --566 gen mol count. We then classified isoforms using SQANTI3 with arguments: --skipORF --567 fl_count --skip_report. We finally filtered artifacts including intrapriming (accidental priming of pre-mRNA 'A's), reverse-transcriptase template switching artifacts, and mismapping to non- canonical junctions. In order to have a unique isoform catalog for all our samples, we then retained only reads associated to isoforms passing the SQANTI3 filter, and we ran 571 collapse isoforms by sam.py, SQANTI3 classification and filtering again on all cells together. 572 The described pipeline is available here and was implemented in Snakemake, a reproducible 573 and scalable workflow management system.

3' and 5' isoform filtering

 For SQANTI3-defined isoforms, incomplete splice match, novel in catalog and novel not in catalog, we only retained isoforms falling within 50 bp of a CAGE-validated transcription start 577 site (FANTOM5 CAGE database), and 50 bp of a polyA site form the PolyASite database³³. The GENCODE database was used as a comparison, all protein-coding isoforms were grouped under the GENCODE.full label, a subset including only full-length isoforms was 580 Iabeled as GENCOD.FL, and the Matched Annotation from NCBI and EMBL-EBI (MANE 34) 581 was named GENCODE.MANE.

582 Isoforms biotypes

583 Novel isoform biotypes were assessed internally by the GENCODE team with biotypes 584 matching those described by Frankish *et al.*⁶⁸.

585 Cell type-specific isoforms

 Considering only the SQANTI3-defined 'full splice match', 'novel not in catalog' and 'novel in catalog' isoforms with at least 3 reads, we established the following classification: "Cell-588 specific" isoforms are present in only 1 cell and "cell type specific" isoforms are present in \geq =3 cells of an unique cell type.

- 590
- 591 Cell type annotation
- 592

593 Cells were annotated with long-reads the same way as short-reads, using scROSHI. The 594 major cell types were modified according to gene expression in long-reads. Immune subtype 595 marker gene lists were unchanged.

596 Mutation detection

 Positions of mutations from Foundation Medicine's targeted NGS panel (Foundation One CDx) mutations described in Table 1 were used as reference. One mutation not present in the list, TP53_P151H, was visually detected in Patient 1 and added to the list. If a position was mutated at least in one cell belonging to a distal biopsy sample, the mutation was classified as a germline variant. Cells with one mutated read in one of the positions were considered mutated.

603 Differential isoform tests

604 Differential isoform testing was performed using a χ2 test as previously described in 605 Scisorseq r^{25} . Briefly, counts for each isoform ID were assigned to individual cell types, and 606 genes were discarded if they did not reach sufficient depth per condition (25 reads per 607 condition per gene). P-values from a x^2 test for differential isoform usage were computed per 608 gene where a sufficient depth was reached, and we corrected for multiple testing using 609 Benjamini Hochberg correction with a 5% false discovery rate. If the corrected p-value was 610 \leq 0.05 and the sum of change in the relative percent of isoform ($\Delta \Pi$) of the top two isoforms in 611 either positive or negative direction was more than 10%, then the gene was called differentially 612 spliced. To classify the top differentially spliced genes, we took the rank of genes by $\Delta \Pi$ and 613 corrected p-values, and summed those two ranks. The smallest sum of ranks were considered 614 as the top differentially expressed genes. Differentially used isoforms were visualized using 615 ScisorWiz⁶⁹.

Pathway enrichment analysis

 We used GSVA to perform pathway enrichment analysis. Gene sets were obtained from the 618 default scAmpi workflow⁷⁰, with the addition of the 619 EPITHELIAL MESENCHYMAL TRANSITION pathway from GSEA.

Fusion Discovery

 Mapped reads from isoform classification were pooled. We called reads mapping to two separate genes at a distance of more than 100,000 bp or to different chromosomes using 623 fusion finder.py (cDNA Cupcake package, https://github.com/Magdoll/cDNA Cupcake) with parameters --min_locus_coverage_bp 200 -d 1000000. Fusion isoforms with sufficient depth (min. 10 reads) were kept, and their breakpoint, expression per cell type and number of cells in which they are expressed was assessed.

Short-reads re-alignment to *IGF2BP2::TESPA1*

 We designed a custom reference including *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* transcriptomic breakpoint as well as the wild-type *IGF2BP2* and *TESPA1* exon junction covering the breakpoint. The reference was composed of 5 sequences of 80 nucleotides (40 bases upstream and downstream of the breakpoint), sequences XXX_1 and XXX_2 represent the breakpoints of the two main isoforms seen in each gene:

- >TESPA1_wt_1
- TTCTGTCAGACCACATGCTGTTGTGGTGGTGGAGAAAGCAATTCTGGAGGCTGGCAAATCCAAG GTCAAAAGCCTGCA
-
- >TESPA1_wt_2
- TTCTGTCAGACCACATGCTGTTGTGGTGGTGGAGAAAGCTTCACGAGTCTTTGCCAGCAAAAGTC TGGTGGTGGTGGG
-
- >IGF2BP2_wt_1
- ATGTGACGTTGACAACGGCGGTTTCTGTGTCTGTGTTGACTTGTTCCACATTCTCCACTGTCCCA TATTGAGCCAAAA
-
- >IGF2BP2_wt_2
- ATCACTGGATTGTGTGTTCTTCTGAATTACTTCTTTAGGCTTGTTCCACATTCTCCACTGTCCCAT ATTGAGCCAAAA
-
- >TESPA1_IGF2BP2_fusion_1
- TTCTGTCAGACCACATGCTGTTGTGGTGGTGGAGAAAGCCTTGTTCCACATTCTCCACTGTCCCA TATTGAGCCAAAA
-
- >TESPA1_IGF2BP2_fusion_2
- CAAATCCAAGGTCAAAAGCCTGCATCTGGTGAGGGCCTCCTTGTTCCACATTCTCCACTGTCCCA TATTGAGCCAAAA
-
- Patient 2 reads were aligned to this reference using minimap2 with parameters: -ax sr -- secondary=no. Reads mapping unambiguously to one of those reference sequences were then attributed to the cell type to which their cell barcode belonged.

scDNA analysis

 Cell Ranger DNA was used to demultiplex and align Chromium-prepared sequencing samples. We used the cellranger-dna mkfastq command to generate FASTQ files from the Illumina raw BCL files, and we ran the command cellranger-dna cnv to align FASTQ files to the hg38 reference genome, call cells, and estimate copy numbers. We obtained the copy 666 unumber profiles and detected the main clonal structure of samples using $SCICoNE⁷¹$.

DNA breakpoint validation

 To validate in scDNA data breakpoints found in scRNA data, we used the putative scRNA breakpoint reads as a reference to re-align scDNA reads using BWA with options: -pt8 -CH. For the *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* fusion, the reference was composed of 3 sequences of 184 nucleotides (92 bases upstream and downstream of the breakpoint):

-
- >IGF2BP2_WT
- CAAACTTGTAGAAATGTGAATTTTTCTTGTTATTTTACAAGATTTGCAAAGGGACCTGAGACCCCG AAAAGCTTAAGGACTACTGTTAAAAATACTGTTTGTTAAATAACTTTAAAGCAGCTGCAGCCTTTAT GGGTTGCAGGGAGTTGTATGTAATGCTCAGAAAGAGCTGCCACTGAGAAT
-
- >TESPA1_WT
- TTCAATGATGTGGGCTGATTAGAACATAGCTGAAAGCAGGTGTTGGGATATTGATTTCCATGGCT GGTCCTCACCTGTTACAAAACTTCTACTACAATGAGTTTCAAACTTCAATATGCAATCAATTATCTA
- ACCTAAAGATCTTGGTAAAACTGTGATTCATTAGGTCTGGGGTGGGGGCTG
-
- >IGF2BP2_TESPA1_Fusion
- TTCAATGATGTGGGCTGATTAGAACATAGCTGAAAGCAGGTGTTGGGATATTGATTTCCATGGCT GGTCCTCACCTGTTACAAAACTTCTACTACTGTTTGTTAAATAACTTTAAAGCAGCTGCAGCCTTT
- ATGGGTTGCAGGGAGTTGTATGTAATGCTCAGAAAGAGCTGCCACTGAGAAT
-
- Reads mapping unambiguously to one of those reference sequences were then attributed to the clone to which their cell barcode belonged.
-
- Data and code availability
- The raw sequencing files reported in this study have been deposited in the European
- Genome-phenome Archive (EGA) under the accession number EGAS00001006807. The
- software used to analyze the data of this study has been deposited at the GitHub repository: https://github.com/cbg-ethz/scIsoPrep

Acknowledgements

 We thank Ina Nissen (Genomics Facility Basel) for technical support with PacBio sequencing, Ching-Yeu Liang (University Hospital Basel) for assistance with sample dissociation, and Anne Bertolini for her help with the scAmpi R package. We also wish to thank Elisabeth Tseng (Pacific Bioscience) for her help with cDNA_Cupcake, SQANTI3 and long-read sequencing analysis, Anoushka Joglekar (Weill Cornell Medicine) for her help with ScisorSeq and ScisorWiz as well as Lucia Csepregi for her help with immune repertoire analysis. Furthermore, we are grateful to Adam Frankish (Wellcome Sanger Institute) and the GENCODE Project team for their help with the manual annotation of transcripts. Graphical

 illustrations were created with BioRender.com. Illumina sequencing was carried out in the Genomics Facility Basel of the University of Basel and the Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering, ETHZl. PacBio sequencing was done in the Functional Genomics Center Zurich of the University of Zurich and ETHZ, and the Lausanne Genomic Technologies Facility, University of Lausanne.

⁷¹⁰ Funding Information

711 Part of this work was funded by the SNSF SPARK grant #190413, the grant #2017-510 of the

712 Strategic Focal Area "Personalized Health and Related Technologies (PHRT)" of the ETH

713 Domain, and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under

714 the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement #766030.

⁷¹⁵ Author contributions

 UL and CB acquired funding and conceived and designed the experiments. VHS provided patient material. UL, FJ, AD, and CB selected the clinical cohort. UL performed 10x Genomics sample processing as well as short-read and long-read sequencing library preparation. The Tumor Profiler Consortium provided scDNA-seq data and NGS panel results. AD designed the analysis pipeline, and implemented it with the help of NBo. AD conducted all computational analyses. FS assisted in short-read scRNA-seq analysis. AD, UL, FJ, NBe, and CB interpreted the data. AD and UL wrote the manuscript with contributions of all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

⁷²⁴ Tumor Profiler Consortium authors list

725 Rudolf Aebersold², Melike Ak²⁸, Faisal S Al-Quaddoomi^{9,17}, Silvana I Albert⁷, Jonas Albinus⁷, 726 Ilaria Alborelli²⁴, Sonali Andani^{6,17,26,31}, Per-Olof Attinger¹¹, Marina Bacac¹⁶, Daniel 727 Baumhoer²⁴, Beatrice Beck-Schimmer³⁹, Niko Beerenwinkel^{4,17}, Christian Beisel⁴, Lara 728 Bernasconi²⁷, Anne Bertolini^{9,17}, Bernd Bodenmiller^{8,35}, Ximena Bonilla^{6,17,26}, Lars 729 Bosshard^{9,17}, Byron Calgua²⁴, Ruben Casanova³⁵, Stéphane Chevrier³⁵, Natalia 730 Chicherova^{9,17}, Ricardo Coelho¹⁸, Maya D'Costa¹⁰, Esther Danenberg³⁷, Natalie 731 Davidson^{6,17,26}, Monica-Andreea Drăgan⁴, Reinhard Dummer²⁸, Stefanie Engler³⁵, Martin 732 Erkens¹⁴, Katja Eschbach⁴, Cinzia Esposito³⁷, André Fedier¹⁸, Pedro Ferreira⁴, Joanna 733 Ficek^{6,17,26}, Anja L Frei³¹, Bruno Frey¹³, Sandra Goetze⁷, Linda Grob^{9,17}, Gabriele Gut³⁷, 734 Detlef Günther⁵, Martina Haberecker³¹, Pirmin Haeuptle¹, Viola Heinzelmann-Schwarz^{18,23}, 735 Sylvia Herter¹⁶, Rene Holtackers³⁷, Tamara Huesser¹⁶, Alexander Immer^{6,12}, Anja Irmisch²⁸, 736 Francis Jacob¹⁸, Andrea Jacobs³⁵, Tim M Jaeger¹¹, Katharina Jahn⁴, Alva R James^{6,17,26}, 737 Philip M Jermann²⁴, André Kahles^{6,17,26}, Abdullah Kahraman^{17,31}, Viktor H Koelzer³¹, Werner 738 Kuebler²⁵, Jack Kuipers^{4,17}, Christian P Kunze²², Christian Kurzeder²¹, Kjong-Van 739 Lehmann^{6,17,26}, Mitchell Levesque²⁸, Ulrike Lischetti¹⁸, Sebastian Lugert¹⁰, Gerd Maass¹³, 740 Markus G Manz³⁰, Philipp Markolin^{6,17,26}, Martin Mehnert⁷, Julien Mena², Julian M Metzler²⁹, 741 Nicola Miglino¹, Emanuela S Milani⁷, Holger Moch³¹, Simone Muenst²⁴, Riccardo Murri³⁸, 742 Charlotte KY Ng^{24,34}, Stefan Nicolet²⁴, Marta Nowak³¹, Monica Nunez Lopez¹⁸, Patrick GA 743 Pedrioli³, Lucas Pelkmans³⁷, Salvatore Piscuoglio^{18,24}, Michael Prummer^{9,17}, Natalie 744 Rimmer¹⁸, Mathilde Ritter¹⁸, Christian Rommel¹⁴, María L Rosano-González^{9,17}, Gunnar 745 Rätsch^{3,6,17,26}, Natascha Santacroce⁴, Jacobo Sarabia del Castillo³⁷, Ramona Schlenker¹⁵,

746 Petra C Schwalie¹⁴, Severin Schwan¹¹, Tobias Schär⁴, Gabriela Senti²⁷, Wenguang Shao⁷, 747 Franziska Singer^{9,17}, Sujana Sivapatham³⁵, Berend Snijder^{2,17}, Bettina Sobottka³¹, Vipin T 748 Sreedharan^{9,17}, Stefan Stark^{6,17,26}, Daniel J Stekhoven^{9,17}, Tanmay Tanna^{4,6}, Alexandre PA Theocharides³⁰, Tinu M Thomas^{6,17,26}, Markus Tolnay²⁴, Vinko Tosevski¹⁶, Nora C Toussaint9,17, Mustafa A Tuncel4,17, Marina Tusup28, Audrey Van Drogen7 , Marcus Vetter²⁰ 750 , 751 Tatjana Vlajnic²⁴, Sandra Weber²⁷, Walter P Weber¹⁹, Rebekka Wegmann², Michael 752 Weller³³, Fabian Wendt⁷, Norbert Wey³¹, Andreas Wicki^{30,36}, Mattheus HE Wildschut^{2,30},

- 753 Bernd Wollscheid⁷, Shuqing Yu^{9,17}, Johanna Ziegler²⁸, Marc Zimmermann^{6,17,26}, Martin
- 754 Zoche 31 , Gregor Zuend 32

755 ¹ Cantonal Hospital Baselland, Medical University Clinic, Rheinstrasse 26, 4410 Liestal, 756 Switzerland, ² ETH Zurich, Department of Biology, Institute of Molecular Systems Biology, 757 Otto-Stern-Weg 3, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland, ³ETH Zurich, Department of Biology, Wolfgang-758 Pauli-Strasse 27, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland, ⁴ETH Zurich, Department of Biosystems Science 759 and Engineering, Mattenstrasse 26, 4058 Basel, Switzerland, ⁵ETH Zurich, Department of 760 Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 1-5/10, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland, 761 ⁶ ETH Zurich, Department of Computer Science, Institute of Machine Learning, 762 Universitätstrasse 6, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland, ⁷ETH Zurich, Department of Health Sciences 763 and Technology, Otto-Stern-Weg 3, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland, ⁸ETH Zurich, Institute of 764 Molecular Health Sciences, Otto-Stern-Weg 7, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland, ⁹ETH Zurich, 765 NEXUS Personalized Health Technologies, John-von-Neumann-Weg 9, 8093 Zurich, 766 Switzerland, ¹⁰ F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Grenzacherstrasse 124, 4070 Basel, Switzerland, 767 ¹¹F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Grenzacherstrasse 124, 4070 Basel, Switzerland, , ¹²Max 768 Planck ETH Center for Learning Systems, 13 Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Nonnenwald 2, 769 82377 Penzberg, Germany, ¹⁴ Roche Pharmaceutical Research and Early Development, 770 Roche Innovation Center Basel, Grenzacherstrasse 124, 4070 Basel, Switzerland, ¹⁵Roche 771 Pharmaceutical Research and Early Development, Roche Innovation Center Munich, Roche 772 Diagnostics GmbH, Nonnenwald 2, 82377 Penzberg, Germany, ¹⁶ Roche Pharmaceutical 773 Research and Early Development, Roche Innovation Center Zurich, Wagistrasse 10, 8952 774 Schlieren, Switzerland, ¹⁷SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland, 775 ¹⁸ University Hospital Basel and University of Basel, Department of Biomedicine, 776 Hebelstrasse 20, 4031 Basel, Switzerland, ¹⁹ University Hospital Basel and University of 777 Basel, Department of Surgery, Brustzentrum, Spitalstrasse 21, 4031 Basel, Switzerland, 778 ²⁰ University Hospital Basel, Brustzentrum & Tumorzentrum, Petersgraben 4, 4031 Basel, 779 Switzerland, ²¹ University Hospital Basel, Brustzentrum, Spitalstrasse 21, 4031 Basel, 780 Switzerland, ²² University Hospital Basel, Department of Information- and Communication 781 Technology, Spitalstrasse 26, 4031 Basel, Switzerland, ²³University Hospital Basel, 782 Gynecological Cancer Center, Spitalstrasse 21, 4031 Basel, Switzerland, ²⁴University 783 Hospital Basel, Institute of Medical Genetics and Pathology, Schönbeinstrasse 40, 4031 784 Basel, Switzerland, ²⁵ University Hospital Basel, Spitalstrasse 21/Petersgraben 4, 4031 785 Basel, Switzerland, ²⁶ University Hospital Zurich, Biomedical Informatics, 786 Schmelzbergstrasse 26, 8006 Zurich, Switzerland, ²⁷University Hospital Zurich, Clinical 787 Trials Center, Rämistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland, ²⁸ University Hospital Zurich, 788 Department of Dermatology, Gloriastrasse 31, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland, ²⁹ University 789 Hospital Zurich, Department of Gynecology, Frauenklinikstrasse 10, 8091 Zurich, 790 Switzerland, ³⁰ University Hospital Zurich, Department of Medical Oncology and Hematology, 791 Rämistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland, ³¹University Hospital Zurich, Department of 792 Pathology and Molecular Pathology, Schmelzbergstrasse 12, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland,

- 793 ³²University Hospital Zurich, Rämistrasse 100, 8091 Zurich, Switzerland, ³³University
- Hospital and University of Zurich, Department of Neurology, Frauenklinikstrasse 26, 8091
- 795 Zurich, Switzerland, ³⁴ University of Bern, Department of BioMedical Research,
- 796 Murtenstrasse 35, 3008 Bern, Switzerland, ³⁵University of Zurich, Department of Quantitative
- 797 Biomedicine, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland, ³⁶University of Zurich,
- 798 Faculty of Medicine, Zurich, Switzerland, ³⁷ University of Zurich, Institute of Molecular Life
- 799 Sciences, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland, ³⁸ University of Zurich,
- Services and Support for Science IT, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland,
- 801 ³⁹ University of Zurich, VP Medicine, Künstlergasse 15, 8001 Zurich, Switzerland

Conflict of interest

- The authors declare no competing interests.
- **ORCiDs**
- Ulrike Lischetti: 0000-0002-9956-3043
- Arthur Dondi: 0000-0003-3234-2550
- Francis Jacob: 0000-0002-0446-1942
- Christian Beisel: 0000-0001-5360-2193
- Niko Beerenwinkel: 0000-0002-0573-6119
- Franziska Singer: 0000-0002-6017-1595
- Nico Borgsmüller: 0000-0003-4073-3877
- Viola Heizelmann: 0000-0002-4056-3225
-

References

- 1. Garraway, L. A. & Lander, E. S. Lessons from the cancer genome. *Cell* **153**, 17–37 (2013).
- 2. Hanahan, D. Hallmarks of cancer: new dimensions. *Cancer Discov.* **12**, 31–46 (2022).
- 3. Hertzman Johansson, C. & Egyhazi Brage, S. BRAF inhibitors in cancer therapy.
- *Pharmacol. Ther.* **142**, 176–182 (2014).
- 4. Li, J. *et al.* A functional genomic approach to actionable gene fusions for precision oncology. *Sci. Adv.* **8**, eabm2382 (2022).
- 5. Schumacher, T. N. & Schreiber, R. D. Neoantigens in cancer immunotherapy. *Science* **348**, 69–74 (2015).
- 6. Yu, Y.-P. *et al.* Identification of recurrent fusion genes across multiple cancer types. *Sci.*

- *Rep.* **9**, 1074 (2019).
- 7. Bower, H. *et al.* Life expectancy of patients with chronic myeloid leukemia approaches the life expectancy of the general population. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **34**, 2851–2857 (2016).
- 8. Khan, M. *et al.* ALK inhibitors in the treatment of ALK positive NSCLC. *Front. Oncol.* **8**, 557 (2018).
- 9. Alexandrov, L. B. *et al.* Signatures of mutational processes in human cancer. *Nature* **500**, 415–421 (2013).
- 10. Zack, T. I. *et al.* Pan-cancer patterns of somatic copy number alteration. *Nat. Genet.* **45**, 1134–1140 (2013).
- 11. Gao, Q. *et al.* Driver fusions and their implications in the development and treatment of human cancers. *Cell Rep.* **23**, 227-238.e3 (2018).
- 12. Wang, Y., Shi, T., Song, X., Liu, B. & Wei, J. Gene fusion neoantigens: Emerging targets for cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Lett.* **506**, 45–54 (2021).
- 13. Wei, Z. *et al.* The Landscape of Tumor Fusion Neoantigens: A Pan-Cancer Analysis. *iScience* **21**, 249–260 (2019).
- 14. Blencowe, B. J. Alternative splicing: new insights from global analyses. *Cell* **126**, 37–47 (2006).
- 15. Bonnal, S. C., López-Oreja, I. & Valcárcel, J. Roles and mechanisms of alternative splicing in cancer - implications for care. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **17**, 457–474 (2020).
- 16. Kahles, A. *et al.* Comprehensive Analysis of Alternative Splicing Across Tumors from 8,705 Patients. *Cancer Cell* **34**, 211-224.e6 (2018).
- 17. Marusyk, A. & Polyak, K. Tumor heterogeneity: causes and consequences. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1805**, 105–117 (2010).
- 849 18. Whiteside, T. L. The tumor microenvironment and its role in promoting tumor growth. *Oncogene* **27**, 5904–5912 (2008).
- 19. Hedlund, E. & Deng, Q. Single-cell RNA sequencing: Technical advancements and biological applications. *Mol. Aspects Med.* **59**, 36–46 (2018).
- 20. Glinos, D. A. *et al.* Transcriptome variation in human tissues revealed by long-read

sequencing. *Nature* **608**, 353–359 (2022).

- 21. Philpott, M. *et al.* Nanopore sequencing of single-cell transcriptomes with scCOLOR-seq. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **39**, 1517–1520 (2021).
- 22. Gupta, I. *et al.* Single-cell isoform RNA sequencing characterizes isoforms in thousands
- of cerebellar cells. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 1197–1202 (2018).
- 23. Zheng, Y.-F. *et al.* HIT-scISOseq: High-throughput and High-accuracy Single-cell Full- length Isoform Sequencing for Corneal Epithelium. *BioRxiv* (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.07.27.222349.
- 24. Al'Khafaji, A. M. *et al.* High-throughput RNA isoform sequencing using programmable cDNA concatenation. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.10.01.462818.
- 25. Joglekar, A. *et al.* A spatially resolved brain region- and cell type-specific isoform atlas of the postnatal mouse brain. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 463 (2021).
- 26. Hardwick, S. A. *et al.* Single-nuclei isoform RNA sequencing unlocks barcoded exon connectivity in frozen brain tissue. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **40**, 1082–1092 (2022).
- 27. Veiga, D. F. T. *et al.* A comprehensive long-read isoform analysis platform and sequencing resource for breast cancer. *Sci. Adv.* **8**, eabg6711 (2022).
- 28. Namba, S. *et al.* Transcript-targeted analysis reveals isoform alterations and double-hop fusions in breast cancer. *Commun. Biol.* **4**, 1320 (2021).
- 29. Macintyre, G. *et al.* Copy number signatures and mutational processes in ovarian carcinoma. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1262–1270 (2018).
- 30. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated genomic analyses of ovarian carcinoma. *Nature* **474**, 609–615 (2011).
- 31. Tardaguila, M. *et al.* SQANTI: extensive characterization of long-read transcript
- 877 sequences for quality control in full-length transcriptome identification and quantification.
- *Genome Res.* (2018) doi:10.1101/gr.222976.117.
- 32. Abugessaisa, I. *et al.* FANTOM5 CAGE profiles of human and mouse reprocessed for GRCh38 and GRCm38 genome assemblies. *Sci. Data* **4**, 170107 (2017).
- 33. Herrmann, C. J. *et al.* PolyASite 2.0: a consolidated atlas of polyadenylation sites from 3'

- end sequencing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **48**, D174–D179 (2020).
- 34. Morales, J. *et al.* A joint NCBI and EMBL-EBI transcript set for clinical genomics and research. *Nature* **604**, 310–315 (2022).
- 35. Becht, E. *et al.* Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 38–44 (2018).
- 36. García-Bartolomé, A. *et al.* Altered Expression Ratio of Actin-Binding Gelsolin Isoforms Is a Novel Hallmark of Mitochondrial OXPHOS Dysfunction. *Cells* **9**, (2020).
- 37. Liefers-Visser, J. A. L., Meijering, R. A. M., Reyners, A. K. L., van der Zee, A. G. J. & de
- Jong, S. IGF system targeted therapy: Therapeutic opportunities for ovarian cancer.
- *Cancer Treat. Rev.* **60**, 90–99 (2017).
- 38. Philippou, A., Maridaki, M., Pneumaticos, S. & Koutsilieris, M. The complexity of the IGF1 gene splicing, posttranslational modification and bioactivity. *Mol. Med.* **20**, 202–214 (2014).
- 39. Choy, J. Y. H., Boon, P. L. S., Bertin, N. & Fullwood, M. J. A resource of ribosomal RNA- depleted RNA-Seq data from different normal adult and fetal human tissues. *Sci. Data* **2**, 150063 (2015).
- 40. Martínez-Jiménez, F. *et al.* A compendium of mutational cancer driver genes. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **20**, 555–572 (2020).
- 41. Tate, J. G. *et al.* COSMIC: the catalogue of somatic mutations in cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D941–D947 (2019).
- 42. Mertens, F., Johansson, B., Fioretos, T. & Mitelman, F. The emerging complexity of gene fusions in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **15**, 371–381 (2015).
- 43. Yang, W. *et al.* Immunogenic neoantigens derived from gene fusions stimulate T cell responses. *Nat. Med.* **25**, 767–775 (2019).
- 44. Berger, M. F. & Mardis, E. R. The emerging clinical relevance of genomics in cancer medicine. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **15**, 353–365 (2018).
- 45. Jing, Y., Han, Z., Zhang, S., Liu, Y. & Wei, L. Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in tumor microenvironment. *Cell Biosci.* **1**, 29 (2011).
- 46. Kenny, H. A. *et al.* Mesothelial cells promote early ovarian cancer metastasis through fibronectin secretion. *J. Clin. Invest.* **124**, 4614–4628 (2014).
- 47. Hoshino, A. *et al.* Tumour exosome integrins determine organotropic metastasis. *Nature* **527**, 329–335 (2015).
- 48. Asare-Werehene, M. *et al.* The exosome-mediated autocrine and paracrine actions of
- plasma gelsolin in ovarian cancer chemoresistance. *Oncogene* **39**, 1600–1616 (2020).
- Development and Metastasis via Regulating IGF2BP2. *Onco Targets Ther* **13**, 2247– 2260 (2020).

49. Yang, Y. *et al.* Tumor Suppressor microRNA-138 Suppresses Low-Grade Glioma

- 50. Wang, D. *et al.* Tespa1 is involved in late thymocyte development through the regulation of TCR-mediated signaling. *Nat. Immunol.* **13**, 560–568 (2012).
- 51. Brokaw, J. *et al.* IGF-I in epithelial ovarian cancer and its role in disease progression. *Growth Factors* **25**, 346–354 (2007).
- 52. Volden, R. & Vollmers, C. Single-cell isoform analysis in human immune cells. *Genome Biol.* **23**, 47 (2022).
- 53. Dutton, G. CRISPR-Cas9 Technology Cuts Clutter from Sequencing Libraries. *Genetic Engineering & Biotechnology News* **41**, 24–25 (2021).
- 54. Lang, F., Schrörs, B., Löwer, M., Türeci, Ö. & Sahin, U. Identification of neoantigens for individualized therapeutic cancer vaccines. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **21**, 261–282 (2022).
- 55. Lin, M. J. *et al.* Cancer vaccines: the next immunotherapy frontier. *Nat. Cancer* **3**, 911– 926 (2022).
- 56. Hebelstrup, K. H. *et al.* UCE: A uracil excision (USER)-based toolbox for transformation of cereals. *Plant Methods* **6**, 15 (2010).
- 57. Griffiths, J. A., Richard, A. C., Bach, K., Lun, A. T. L. & Marioni, J. C. Detection and
- removal of barcode swapping in single-cell RNA-seq data. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2667 (2018).
- 58. Bertolini, A. *et al.* scAmpi-A versatile pipeline for single-cell RNA-seq analysis from basics to clinics. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **18**, e1010097 (2022).
- 59. Germain, P.-L., Lun, A., Garcia Meixide, C., Macnair, W. & Robinson, M. D. Doublet

identification in single-cell sequencing data using scDblFinder. *F1000Res.* **10**, 979

- (2021).
- 60. Hafemeister, C. & Satija, R. Normalization and variance stabilization of single-cell RNA-
- seq data using regularized negative binomial regression. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 296 (2019).
- 61. Levine, J. H. *et al.* Data-Driven Phenotypic Dissection of AML Reveals Progenitor-like
- Cells that Correlate with Prognosis. *Cell* **162**, 184–197 (2015).
- 62. Prummer, M. *et al.* scROSHI robust supervised hierarchical identification of single cells. *BioRxiv* (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.04.05.487176.
- 63. Irmisch, A. *et al.* The Tumor Profiler Study: integrated, multi-omic, functional tumor profiling for clinical decision support. *Cancer Cell* **39**, 288–293 (2021).
- 64. Hao, Y. *et al.* Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. *Cell* **184**, 3573-3587.e29 (2021).
- 65. Newman, A. M. *et al.* Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression profiles. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 453–457 (2015).
- 66. Sade-Feldman, M. *et al.* Defining T Cell States Associated with Response to Checkpoint Immunotherapy in Melanoma. *Cell* **175**, 998-1013.e20 (2018).
- 67. Köster, J. & Rahmann, S. Snakemake--a scalable bioinformatics workflow engine. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 2520–2522 (2012).
- 68. Frankish, A. *et al.* GENCODE reference annotation for the human and mouse genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D766–D773 (2019).
- 69. Stein, A. N., Joglekar, A., Poon, C.-L. & Tilgner, H. U. ScisorWiz: Visualizing Differential Isoform Expression in Single-Cell Long-Read Data. *BioRxiv* (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.04.14.488347.
- 70. Bertolini, A. *et al.* scAmpi A versatile pipeline for single-cell RNA-seq analysis from basics to clinics. *BioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.03.25.437054.
- 71. Kuipers, J., Tuncel, M. A., Ferreira, P., Jahn, K. & Beerenwinkel, N. Single-cell copy number calling and event history reconstruction. *BioRxiv* (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.04.28.065755.

Figure 1: Study design and long read data overview. (a) Schematic of freshly processed HGSOC metastasis and patient-matched tumor-free omentum tissue biopsies, scRNA-seq. **(b)** Definition of SQANTI-defined isoform structural categories. **(c)** Proportions of isoform structural categories detected in merged metastasis and healthy omentum samples. Percentage and total number of isoforms per category are indicated. **(d)** Proportions of unique reads attributed to isoforms detected in **(c)**. Percentage and total number of UMIs per category are indicated. **(e)** Percentage of isoforms for which transcription start site is supported by CAGE (FANTOM5) data and transcription termination site is supported by polyA (PolyASite) data, per isoform structural categories. GENCODE.all indicates all protein-coding isoforms in the GENCODE database, GENCODE.FL is a subset of GENCODE.full containing only isoforms tagged as full-length, and GENCODE.MANE is a subset of canonical transcripts, one per human protein coding locus. **(f)** GENCODE defined biotypes composition of novel isoforms. **(g)** Biotypes composition of the GENCODE database.

Figure 2: Clustering and cell type specific isoform distribution.

(a) Cohort UMAP embeddings by data types and automatic cell type annotation. Top and bottom rows: cell type labels based on short- and long-read data, respectively. Left column: embedding on short-read data - gene level, middle column: embedding on long-read data gene level, right column: embedding on long-read data - isoform level. **(b)** Jaccard distance of cell populations in different UMAP embeddings: short-reads - gene level versus long-reads - gene level (left), short-reads - gene level versus long-reads - isoform level (middle), long-reads - gene level versus long-reads - isoform level (right). **(c)** Long-reads gene level UMAP cohort visualizations of cells with at least one somatic mutation also found in bulk DNA. **(d)** Long-reads - gene level UMAP cohort visualization of cells with at least one germline variant. Germline variants are variants detected in healthy omentum distal samples. **(e)** SQANTI-defined structural category normalized distribution of isoforms detected per cell type (number of isoforms displayed in white).

Figure 3: Differential isoform expression in tumor microenvironment reveals epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition.

(a) Cohort UMAPs embedding of short-read data - gene level (left), long-read data - gene level (middle), long-read data - isoform level (right), colored by tissue type. **(b)** Volcano plot of mesothelial TME vs. distal cells differential isoform usage. The X-axis represents the effect size in the gene, the Y-axis is the p-value derived from a χ2 test corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg method. **(c)** ScisorWiz representation of isoforms in *COL1A1*, each horizontal line represents a single read colored according to cell types. Dashed boxes highlight the use of the canonical 3' UTR in TME fibroblasts and mesothelial cells, while distal mesothelial cells use an earlier 3' exon termination. **(d)** ScisorWiz representation of isoforms in *COL1A2*. Dashed boxes highlight the 3'UTR, where TME and HGSOC cells differentially express a longer 3'UTR than distal cells. **(e)** ScisorWiz representation of isoforms in *GSN*. Dashed boxes highlight the TSS, where mesothelial TME and HGSOC cells differentially express the *cGSN* isoform, while mesothelial distal cells and fibroblasts use *pGSN*. **(f)** Gene set variation analysis (GSVA) scores for different cell types. Heatmap colors from blue to red represent low to high enrichment.

(a) ScisorWiz representation of isoforms in *IGF1*, each horizontal line represents a single isoform colored according to cell types. Colored areas are exons, and whitespace are intronic space, not drawn to scale. Exons are numbered according to the Gencode reference, Class I and II isoforms are isoforms with starting exons 1 and 2, respectively. Boxes highlight Class II expression in cancer and TME cells. **(b)** Projection of *IGF1* gene (top) and ClassI/II isoform (bottom) expression on UMAP obtained from clustering on long-reads transcripts. **(c)** Alluvial plot of cells expressing *IGF1* in different cell types (left), divided between cells expressing Class I or II (right). **(d)** Barplot of percentage of cells expressing Class II isoform in different cell types and locations colored by cell type.

Figure 5: Tumor and patient-specific detection of novel *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* **gene fusion. (a)** Overview of wt *IGF2BP2*, wt *TESPA1* and gene fusions with exon structure. **(b)** Overview of wt IGF2BP2, wt TESPA1 and fusion proteins and protein domains. RRM: RNA-recognition motif, KH: hnRNP K-homology domain, KRAP_IP3R_bind: Ki-ras-induced actin-interacting protein-IP3R-interacting domain. **(c)** Violin plot showing patient and tumor specific *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* fusion transcript detection in patient 2. **(d)** UMI count in fusion-containing vs -lacking patient 2 tumor cells. **(e)** scDNA copy-number profile clustering of the matched patient 2 sample. Subclone 0 (121 cells) exhibited multiple copy number alterations along its genome representing a single tumor clone, while subclone 1 (62 cells) had a diploid genome representing non-HGSOC cells. **(f)** patient 2 scDNA reads aligning to custom *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* gene fusion breakpoint reference. Only tumor subclone reads were found to align to it.

Figure 6: *IGF2BP2::TESPA1* **fusion breakpoint validation in scDNA.**

(a) Copy number values per subclone in Patient 2 scDNA. Sublone 0 has multiple copy number alterations, indicative of cancer, while Subclone 1 is copy-number neutral, non-cancer. **(b)** IGV view of scDNA reads aligning unambiguously to the *TESPA1::IGF2BP2* genomic breakpoint. In red, reads from Subclone 0 cells, in blue, reads from Subclone 1 cells. **(c)** IGV view of scDNA reads aligning unambiguously to wt *IGF2BP2*. The dashed line indicates the location of the (putative) breakpoint. **(d)** IGV view of scDNA reads aligning unambiguously to wt *TESPA1*. The dashed line indicates the location of the breakpoint.