ETH zürich

Joint inversion of daily and longperiod geomagnetic transfer functions reveals lateral variations in mantle water content

Journal Article

Author(s): Munch, Federico D.; Grayver, Alexander V.; Guzavina, Martina; Kuvshinov, Alexey; Khan, Amir 🗈

Publication date: 2020-05-28

Permanent link: https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000410771

Rights / license: In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted

Originally published in: Geophysical Research Letters 47(10), <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2020gl087222</u>

Funding acknowledgement:

159907 - The thermo-chemical structure of Earth's mantle from joint analysis of seismic and electromagnetic sounding data and thermodynamic modeling (SNF)



Joint inversion of daily and long-period geomagnetic transfer functions reveals lateral variations in mantle water content

F. D. Munch¹, A. V. Grayver¹, M. Guzavina¹, A. V. Kuvshinov¹, A. Khan^{1,2}

¹Institute of Geophysics, ETH Zurich, Switzerland ²Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Zurich, Switzerland.

Key Points:

10

11

• Joint inversion of daily and long-period geomagnetic variations results in better

resolved mantle conductivity structure

- · Incorporation of seismic constraints helps isolate mantle water content
- We find a relatively dry mantle beneath Europe and a water-enriched transition
- zone underneath North America and northern Asia

Corresponding author: Federico D. Munch, fmunch@seismo.berkeley.edu

Present address: Berkeley Seismological Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1029/ŒŒÕŠĖÌ Ï ŒG

13 Abstract

We present a novel approach to investigate variations in upper mantle and transition zone 14 (MTZ) water content based on the joint analysis of electromagnetic (EM) signals originating in the 15 ionosphere and magnetosphere. We invert EM signals (period range 6 hours-85 days) to probe 16 the electrical conductivity structure underneath 20 geomagnetic observatories, accounting for the 17 complex spatial structure of the ionospheric and magnetospheric sources. The joint inversion of 18 19 EM data for the daily and long-period bands lead to significantly improved resolution in the upper mantle and MTZ. The conductivity profiles reveal significant lateral variability, which we interpret in 20 terms of mantle water content by coupling electrical conductivity with constrains on mantle thermo-21 chemical structure derived from the analysis of seismic data. Our results suggest the existence of 22 a relatively dry MTZ beneath Europe and a water-enriched MTZ underneath North America and 23 northern Asia. 24

25 Plain Language Summary

The amount of water (hydrogen) trapped in the Earth's interior has a strong effect on the evolution 26 and dynamics of the planet, which ultimately controls the occurrence of earthquakes and volcanic 27 eruptions. However, the distribution of water inside the Earth is yet not well-understood. Deep 28 electromagnetic induction techniques make use of changes in the Earth's magnetic field to detect 29 variations in electrical conductivity inside the planet. Electrical conductivity is a characteristic 30 of a rock that varies with temperature and the amount of water in its interior. Here, we present 31 a methodology that combines different deep electromagnetic induction techniques to estimate the 32 amount of water in different regions of Earth's mantle. Our analysis suggests the presence of small 33 amounts of water in the mantle underneath Europe, whereas larger amounts are found beneath North 34 America and northern Asia. These findings help revealing the complexity of the water cycle and 35 can potentially be used to benchmark predictions from studies that simulate mantle dynamics. 36

1 Introduction

37

Constraining the water (hydrogen) distribution in Earth's interior is important for understanding its evolution and dynamics since water has a profound effect on rheological properties and melting relationships [e.g., *Hirschmann*, 2006; *Karato*, 2011]. High-pressure mineral-physics studies have reported that mantle transition zone (MTZ) minerals can store large amounts of water (~1– 3 wt%), whereas much smaller amounts (~0.1–0.2 wt%) can be stored in upper mantle minerals [e.g., *Hirschmann et al.*, 2005; *Bolfan-Casanova*, 2005]. Supporting evidence for a relatively dry
(~0.02 wt%) upper mantle and a hydrous (~1 wt%) MTZ has been provided by the analysis of mantle
xenoliths [e.g., *Peslier et al.*, 2010; *Peslier and Bizimis*, 2015] and ringwoodite inclusions found in
a natural diamond that truly originated in the MTZ [*Pearson et al.*, 2014], respectively. However,
the present distribution of water in the Earth's interior and the mechanisms for water exchange
between different mantle reservoirs remain uncertain [e.g., *Ohtani et al.*, 2004; *Hirschmann*, 2006; *Bolfan-Casanova et al.*, 2006].

As electrical conductivity is a transport property that is highly sensitive to mantle temperature 50 and the presence of water and melt [e.g., Karato, 2011; Katsura and Yoshino, 2015; Khan, 2016], 51 deep electromagnetic (EM) sounding techniques play a fundamental role in mapping the distribution 52 of water in the mantle by imaging variations in electrical conductivity [e.g., Koyama et al., 2006; 53 Kelbert et al., 2009; Shimizu et al., 2010; Semenov and Kuvshinov, 2012; Koyama et al., 2014; Sun 54 et al., 2015]. These techniques rely on the fact that primary magnetic fields (e.g., those that originate 55 in the magnetosphere) penetrate into the deep Earth and induce secondary signals by virtue of EM 56 induction. EM variations in the daily band (4-24 hours) are mainly dominated by the ionospheric 57 current system, while variations with periods longer than one day are generated by magnetospheric 58 currents [e.g., Finlay et al., 2017]. As penetration depth depends on frequency, analyzing these 59 signals in as wide a range of periods as possible allows us to sense electrical conductivity variations 60 in the widest depth range possible [e.g., Püthe et al., 2015a]. However, EM sounding studies often 61 rely on single-source data analysis facing the problem of limited depth resolution due to a limited 62 frequency range imposed by various source morphologies [cf., Kuvshinov, 2008]. 63

Only a few studies have attempted to combine EM responses from different sources. For 64 instance, Egbert and Booker [1992] and Bahr et al. [1993] combined signals from magnetospheric 65 and ionospheric origin recorded at geomagnetic observatories to obtain regional conductivity models 66 of the mantle underneath North America and Europe, respectively. These studies, however, invoked 67 simplistic source assumptions, which is likely to increase the chance of introducing source related 68 model biases. Alternatively, Olsen [1998] accounted for a more complex source geometry (in the 69 period range 3–720 hr) by incorporating estimates of horizontal gradients of the horizontal magnetic 70 field. Given that direct measurement of these gradients on a single site is challenging in practice, 71 the author estimated gradients from horizontal magnetic field components measured at an array of 72 nearby observatories, which is limited to regions with a dense network of geomagnetic observatories 73 (e.g., Europe). More recently, Grayver et al. [2017] jointly inverted the magnetospheric global 74

response and ocean tidal signals from satellite magnetic field measurements, yielding a consistent

⁷⁶ global conductivity model of the oceanic upper mantle and transition zone.

Here, we perform inversions of daily (6–24 hours) and long-period (3–85 days) local responses recorded at a series of geomagnetic observatories to infer lateral variations in upper mantle and MTZ conductivity structure and water content. To isolate the influence of water on electrical conductivity from thermal effects, we follow *Khan* [2016] and combine phase equilibrium calculations, laboratorymeasured electrical conductivity of mantle minerals, and estimates of mantle temperature and major element chemistry derived from the analysis of short- and long-period seismic data using the results of *Munch et al.* [2020, in press].

84 **2 Methods**

85

2.1 Multi-source EM global-to-local transfer functions

Signals due to the magnetospheric currents dominate natural geomagnetic variations at periods 86 longer than one day [cf., Finlay et al., 2017; Olsen and Stolle, 2017]. The source of these signals is 87 often described via a single – first zonal – spherical harmonic, leading to the widely-used local C-88 response [Banks, 1969]. This transfer function (TF) relates vertical and horizontal components of the 89 magnetic variations at an observational site. However, it is known that the magnetospheric source has 90 a more complex structure, especially during the main phase of geomagnetic storms [e.g., Daglis and 91 Kozyra, 2002; Olsen and Kuvshinov, 2004; Balasis and Egbert, 2006], thus potentially introducing 92 errors in the estimated responses, resulting in biases in the retrieved conductivity structure [e.g., 93 *Püthe et al.*, 2015b]. Furthermore, geomagnetic field variations in the period range between a few 94 hours and one day are dominated by the ionospheric current systems which are characterized by a 95 more complex morphology [e.g., Yamazaki and Maute, 2017]. This complexity invalidates simplistic 96 source models that would allow the use of a TF similar to the C-response. To account for complex 97 ionospheric and magnetospheric sources, we resort here to an alternative set of global-to-local 98 transfer functions, $T_n^m(\mathbf{r}_a, \omega)$, that relate the vertical component of the magnetic field $Z(\mathbf{r}_a, \omega)$ at an 99 observation site $\mathbf{r}_a = (a, \theta, \varphi)$ to a set of global spherical harmonic expansion (SHE) coefficients, 100 $\varepsilon_n^m(\omega)$, that describe the source structure [*Püthe et al.*, 2015b] 101

$$Z(\mathbf{r}_{a},\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \varepsilon_{n}^{m}(\omega) T_{n}^{m}(\mathbf{r}_{a},\omega), \qquad (1)$$

where N_{ε} is the maximum (cut-off) degree for the external SHE coefficients, ω is the angular frequency, *a* denotes the Earth's mean radius, θ is the colatitude, and φ is the longitude.

-4-

The estimation of global-to-local TFs is a two step procedure: (i) external SHE coefficients 104 describing the source are determined from horizontal components of the magnetic field measured 105 at a global network of geomagnetic observatories assuming an a priori three-dimensional (3-D) 106 Earth model, which consists of a mantle with a one-dimensional (1-D) conductivity distribution 107 overlaid by a laterally-varying surface conductance layer; the latter approximates the nonuniform 108 distribution of oceans and continents (see Appendix A for details on estimating "magnetospheric" 109 source coefficients); and (ii) TFs are estimated by relating the vertical component of the magnetic 110 field measured at every site of interest with the source coefficients determined in the previous step. 111 The data for estimating "magnetospheric" TFs consist of hourly mean values of geomagnetic field 112 variations measured at 132 mid-latitude (geomagnetic latitudes between $\pm 6^{\circ}$ and $\pm 56^{\circ}$) permanent 113 geomagnetic observatories (see Figure S1) for the years 1998–2018 retrieved from the British 114 Geological Survey (BGS) database [Macmillan and Olsen, 2013]. After removal of the main field 115 and its secular variations using the CHAOS model [Olsen et al., 2006], source coefficients and 116 global-to-local transfer functions for the long-period band (3-85 days) were determined following 117 the procedures described in Püthe et al. [2015b], which includes section-averaging [e.g., Olsen, 1998] 118 and Huber-weighted robust least-squares methods [e.g., Aster et al., 2005]. As for global-to-local 119 TFs in the daily band (24, 12, 8, and 6 hours), we used those estimated by Guzavina et al. [2019] 120 from the BGS database. Geographic and geomagnetic coordinate systems were used for estimating 121 "daily" and "long-period" TFs, respectively. 122

123

2.2 Probabilistic inversion

We employed the probabilistic approach of *Tarantola and Valette* [1982] and the Metropolis algorithm [e.g., *Metropolis et al.*, 1953; *Hastings*, 1970] to determine the conductivity structure underneath each station from the estimated global-to-local TFs. Building on previous experience [*Munch et al.*, 2018], we reduced the burn-in stage of the Metropolis algorithm by using a global optimization technique [Covariance Matrix Adaptation Evolution Strategy; *Hansen and Ostermeier*, 2001] to obtain a good initial model \mathbf{m}_0 for every inversion. The solution of the non-linear inverse problem is then given in terms of the posterior probability distribution

$$\gamma(\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{d}) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{\phi(\mathbf{m},\mathbf{d})}{2}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{\beta}{p_m} \sum_{i=1}^M |\nabla \mathbf{m}_i|^{p_m}\right],$$
 (2)

where **d** denotes observed data and $\mathbf{m} = [\lambda(\sigma_1) \cdots \lambda(\sigma_N)]$ represents the unknown conductivity structure, with $\lambda(\cdot)$ being a log-based transformation ensuring positivity of the argument. The subsurface was parametrized in terms of 25 layers ranging in thickness from 50 km in the upper mantle and MTZ to 400 km at the core-mantle boundary with a fixed core conductivity ($\sigma = 10^5$

-5-

S/m). The regularization parameter β was determined by means of an L-curve analysis [*Hansen*, 196 1999] performed on the models \mathbf{m}_0 and the scalar p_m was set to 1.5 which provides a good balance 197 between sharp conductivity contrasts and smooth models [*Grayver and Kuvshinov*, 2016]. The data 198 misfit term is given by

$$\phi(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{d}) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{M}} \left(\frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} \left| w_i^k \left(f_i^k(\mathbf{m}) - d_i^k \right) \right|^2 \right),\tag{3}$$

where \mathcal{M} denote the EM methods in the daily and long-period bands and w^k , $f^k(\mathbf{m})$, and d^k are corresponding data weights (reciprocal of uncertainties), forward operator, and observed data, respectively. As discussed by *Grayver et al.* [2017], normalizing with the number of actual measurements (N_k) is an important aspect that helps balance the contribution of each EM technique.

2.3 Inference of water content from retrieved conductivity profiles

143

We interpreted the obtained conductivity profiles in terms of upper mantle and transition 144 zone water content by comparing the retrieved models with laboratory-based conductivity profiles 145 computed using the approach of *Khan* [2016]. To isolate the influence of water on electrical 146 conductivity from thermal effects, we constrained mantle temperature and major element chemistry 147 underneath each geomagnetic observatory by incorporating into the inversion probability density 148 functions independently derived from the inversion of short- (P-to-s receiver functions) and long-149 period (Rayleigh wave phase velocities) seismic data from a nearby seismic station [Munch et al., 150 2020, in press]. Mantle composition was parameterized in terms of a single variable that represents 151 the amount of basalt in a basalt-harzburgite mixture, with the composition of basalt and harzburgite 152 end-members described using the CFMASNa chemical model system comprising the oxides CaO-153 MgO-FeO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂-Na₂O. Mantle temperature was described in terms of an adiabat defined by 154 the mantle potential temperature T_p, which represents the temperature that the mantle would have 155 at the surface, if it ascended along an adiabat without undergoing melting [McKenzie and Bickle, 156 1988]. 157

The laboratory-based conductivity profiles were computed from mineral phase equilibrium calculations and experimental measurements of mantle mineral conductivities. We employed the Gibbs free-energy minimization strategy of *Connolly* [2009] and the self-consistent thermodynamic formulation of *Stixrude and Lithgow-Bertelloni* [2005] with parameters given by *Stixrude and Lithgow-Bertelloni* [2011] to predict stable mineralogy (mineral modes) as a function of pressure, temperature, and composition. Several independent measurements of the electrical conductivity for major upper

-6-

mantle and MTZ minerals exist. To minimize subjectivity, we here considered two databases built 164 on the measurements of (i) Yoshino, Katsura, and coworkers (referred to as YK) and (ii) Karato, Dai, 165 and coworkers (referred to as KD). Table S2 provides the list of data sources used to build the YK 166 and KD databases. The laboratory-measured databases account for the effect of water content on the 167 following hydrous minerals: olivine, orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, wadsleyite, ringwoodite, and 168 garnet (only KD database). Building on previous experience [e.g., Khan, 2016], we parameterized 169 the water content of the mantle in terms of the water contents in olivine (upper mantle) and wadslevite 170 (transition zone). Water contents in orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, and ringwoodite were estimated 171 using the water partition coefficients based on measurements from Inoue et al. [2010] and Ferot and 172 Bolfan-Casanova [2012]. Water content in garnet (only relevant for KD database) was estimated 173 using the water partition coefficients derived by Mookherjee and Karato [2010]. 174

175 **3 Results**

176

3.1 Long-period transfer functions

As discussed above, we estimated global-to-local transfer functions in the period range 3–85 177 days from 21 years (1998–2018) of ground-based geomantic observatory data. In order to better 178 account for the complexity of the source, magnetospheric time series were parameterized in terms 179 of 13 SHE coefficients $(\varepsilon_1^0, \varepsilon_1^1, \varepsilon_1^{-1}, \varepsilon_2^0, \varepsilon_2^1, \varepsilon_2^{-1}, \varepsilon_2^2, \varepsilon_2^{-2}, \varepsilon_3^2, \varepsilon_3^{-2}, \varepsilon_4^0, \varepsilon_4^1, \text{ and } \varepsilon_4^{-1})$. The choice of source 180 coefficients aims at maximizing the coefficient of determination (i.e., measure of how well a proposed 181 source geometry predicts the observed time series; see Figure S2), while minimizing the number 182 of source terms that limits the maximum period for which multi-variate transfer functions can be 183 estimated [Püthe and Kuvshinov, 2014] and that may result in over-fitting. All source terms were 184 used to estimate long-period global-to-local transfer functions T_n^m , but only the dominant source 185 term T_1^0 was considered in the inversion to retrieve the conductivity structure underneath each station 186 because this TF is most sensitive to the radial structure of the Earth [Kuvshinov, 2008]. In the daily 187 band, we also considered only TFs corresponding to dominant source terms, namely, T_p^{p+1} at periods 188 $\frac{24}{p}$ hr (p = 1 - 4). As discussed in Section 2.1, the estimation of source coefficients requires 189 the assumption of a mantle 1-D conductivity profile to separate the external (inducing) from the 190 internal (induced) contributions in the measured geomagnetic variations. We find that differences 191 in the long-period TFs introduced by the choice of the mantle conductivity profile are within data 192 uncertainties (see Figure S3). 193

Figure 1a depicts estimated long-period responses T_1^0 , uncertainties, and squared coherencies 194 (coh²) for four geomagnetic observatories: Boulder (BOU, USA), Niemegk (NGK, Germany), Belsk 195 (BEL, Poland), and Irkutsk (IRT, Russia). For comparison, transfer functions \hat{T}_1^0 estimated assuming 196 that the source is described by the first zonal spherical harmonic are also shown. In this case, 197 the TFs were derived from the local C-response $C(\mathbf{r}_a, \omega)$ – estimated using the Z/H method of 198 Banks [1969] – as $\hat{T}_1^0(\mathbf{r}_a, \omega) = \frac{3C(\mathbf{r}_a, \omega)}{C(\mathbf{r}_a, \omega) + a} \cos \theta$. In agreement with previous studies [*Püthe et al.*, 199 2015b], we find that the incorporation of additional source terms increases the squared coherency, 200 especially at periods <10 days and geomagnetic latitudes $>40^{\circ}$, thus decreasing the potential bias of 201 the responses that could result from correlated noise and spatial aliasing [Olsen, 1998]. Figure 1b 202 shows the average relative difference between T_1^0 and \hat{T}_1^0 as a function of geomagnetic colatitude. 203 We observe that the use of simplistic source models results in significant differences of the estimated 204 TFs, particularly for the imaginary part, which can potentially bias the inversion. 205

3.2 Data fit and recovered conductivity models

206

The transfer functions were individually inverted to determine the most probable set of conductivity profiles underneath 20 inland geomagnetic observatories (see Figure 2), for which synthetic TFs can be computed with low computational cost using analytical solutions for radial 1-D Earth models. Coastal and island geomagnetic observatories have been excluded from this analysis because calculation of synthetic TFs for these locations require the use of 3-D solvers to account for ocean induction effects [e.g., *Kuvshinov et al.*, 2002], making the use of Markov chain Monte Carlo methods [e.g., *Mosegaard and Tarantola*, 1995] impracticable.

We succeed at explaining the observed daily and long-period EM variations for 13 geomagnetic 214 observatories (see Figures S5–S7), indicated in Figure 2 by red stars surrounded by black circles. 215 However, we find a cluster of 7 stations located in Europe (black circles in Figure S4) for which the 216 fit of daily transfer functions is unsatisfactory under the 1-D assumption (see Figures S6–S8). One 217 can speculate that this reflects: 1) the presence of anomalously shallow 3-D structure underneath 218 central Europe and the Mediterranean linked to the subduction and ponding of slabs in the MTZ as 219 suggested by seismic tomography [e.g., Zhu et al., 2015; Cottaar and Deuss, 2016]; or 2) artifacts 220 due to the presence of noise (e.g., signals due to polar eletrojet currents) that are not accounted for 221 in the presently used source parameterization. 222

Figure S4 illustrates the posterior probability distributions obtained when inverting daily and long-period signals separately and jointly at a single station (Alice Spring in Australia). In agreement

-8-



Figure 1. Example of experimental global-to-local transfer functions. a) Real (positive) and imaginary (negative) parts of the experimental global-to-local (blue) transfer functions T_1^0 (bottom row) and squared coherencies coh² (top row) estimated in this study for Boulder (BOU), Niemegk (NGK), Belsk (BEL), and Irkutsk (IRT) geomagnetic observatories. Geographic location of the geomagnetic observatories are shown in Figure 2. Error bars indicate uncertainties of the experimental transfer functions. For comparison, transfer functions \hat{T}_1^0 obtained for a case when the source is described by the first zonal spherical harmonic are shown in red. b) Mean relative differences between the real (left) and imaginary (right) parts of T_1^0 and \hat{T}_1^0 as a function of geomagnetic colatitude.

-9-



Figure 2. Geographic location of mid-latitude observatories used in this study between geomagnetic latitudes $\phi_{GM} - 56^{\circ} - .6^{\circ}$ and $6^{\circ} - 56^{\circ}$. Red stars and black circles denote stations at which the observed daily (6–24 hours) and long-period (3–85 days) transfer functions are successfully fitted in the inversion, respectively. Green triangles indicate seismic stations for which constraints on mantle temperature and composition were derived by *Munch et al.* [2020, in press] from analysis of short- and long-period seismic data. Station information is summarized in Table S1. Data fit for all stations is shown in Figures S5–S7.

with previous studies [e.g., *Munch et al.*, 2018], long-period signals best constrain the conductivity
structure in the depth range between 400 and 1400 km (see Figure S4b), while daily variations provide
information on the conductivity structure between 200 and 500 km depth (see Figure S4a) [e.g., *Koch and Kuvshinov*, 2013]. The models obtained from the joint inversion of daily and long-period signals
(see Figure S4c) manage to resolve the conductivity structure in the upper mantle (200–400 km depth), MTZ (400–660 km depth), and the uppermost lower mantle (660–1400 km depth).

-10-

3.3 Constraints on upper mantle and transition zone water content

231

4

We determined the range of water contents in olivine and wadsleyite that best explain the 232 inverted conductivity profiles between 200 and 1200 km depth. This was achieved by comparing the 233 inverted conductivity models with laboratory-based conductivity profiles (described in Section 2.3) 234 using an L_2 -norm misfit function and the Metropolis algorithm. In particular, we focus on 8 235 geomagnetic observatories for which probability density functions of the mantle potential temperature 236 and composition (basalt fraction) derived from the inversion of short- and long-period seismic data 237 [Munch et al., 2020, in press] recorded at a nearby station (indicated by triangles in Figure 2) are 238 available. 239

Figure 3 summarizes the conductivity models obtained from the joint inversion of long-period 240 and daily signals (black) and best-fitting laboratory-based conductivity profiles (green). Laboratory-241 based conductivity profiles implicitly incorporate discontinuities across the major phase transitions 242 (olivine \rightarrow wadsleyite, wadsleyite \rightarrow ringwoodite, and ringwoodite \rightarrow bridgmanite+ferropericlase), whereas the conductivity models retrieved from the inversion of observed EM responses are smoother 244 down to depths of ~ 1000 km. We find an overall agreement between the inverted conductivity models 245 and laboratory-based conductivity profiles particularly in the upper mantle and MTZ. However, we 246 observe that laboratory-based conductivity profiles are systematically more conductive in the lower 247 mantle (800-1200 km depth) than the conductivity models derived from the observed data. This 248 difference might reflect that 1) temperature estimates derived from the inversion of seismic data 249 might be biased towards higher temperatures; or 2) the laboratory-based conductivity databases 250 considered in this work tend to overestimate the electrical conductivity of lower mantle minerals. 251 Future work should aim at refining the laboratory-based mineral conductivity databases, particularly 252 for lower-mantle minerals, as the degree to which hydrogen affects the electrical conductivity of 253 lower mantle minerals remains uncertain. In this light, determining the influence of hydrogen on 254 electrical conductivity in the lower mantle minerals is critical to improve the current understanding 255 of the water distribution in the deep mantle and the circulation between the various reservoirs. 256

Figure 4 depicts inferences on water content in the upper mantle and MTZ minerals olivine (C_w^{ol}) and wadsleyite (C_w^{wad}) obtained using the YK and KD databases. The former database generally leads to a significantly more hydrated upper mantle and MTZ. As discussed by *Khan and Shankland* [2012], this difference arises from the fact that the KD database tends to result in higher electrical conductivities for a given water content. The use of the YK database results in anomalously high water contents ($C_w^{ol} \sim 0.3$ wt% and $C_w^{wad} \sim 1.9$ wt%) underneath stations located in northern Asia (NVS

-11-



Figure 3. Posterior probability distributions of electrical conductivity (σ) retrieved from the joint inversion of daily and long-period transfer functions (black) and best-fitting laboratory-based conductivity profiles (green) for the KD database. The geographic location of each geomagnetic observatory is shown in Figure 2 and station acronyms are defined in Table S1. Best-fitting laboraty-based conductivity profiles obtained using the YK database are shown in Figure S8.

and IRT). These estimates significantly exceed the experimentally-determined water storage capacity of mantle minerals [e.g., *Hirschmann et al.*, 2005; *Litasov et al.*, 2011], which would result in the accumulation of partial melt atop the MTZ [*Bercovici and Karato*, 2003]. However, no evidence for the existence of a melt layer (i.e., negative amplitudes on the receiver function waveforms due to a low shear-wave velocity anomaly) is observed in the seismic data and further analysis focuses on estimates derived with the KD database.

In agreement with previous studies [e.g., *Karato*, 2011; *Fullea et al.*, 2011; *Jones et al.*, 2012; *Khan and Shankland*, 2012], our results indicate a relatively dry upper mantle ($C_w^{ol} < 0.02 \text{ wt\%}$) underneath all stations, whereas significat lateral variability in MTZ water content is observed. We find relatively low MTZ water contents ($C_w^{wad} < 0.05 \text{ wt\%}$) in Australia (ASP) and Europe (BDV,

-12-

PAG, SUA, and KIV), whereas moderate ($C_w^{wad} \sim 0.15 \text{ wt\%}$) and high water contents ($C_w^{wad} \sim 0.3 \text{ wt\%}$) are required to explain the inverted conductivity profiles in Asia (IRT and NVS) and North America (BOU), respectively. A dry MTZ below Europe has already been suggested by *Utada et al.* [2009] from the joint analysis of seismic and electromagnetic models. In agreement with MTZ water contents experimentally determined by *Freitas et al.* [2017], our estimates suggest that the nearwater saturated conditions implied by hydrous ringwoodite inclusions found in a natural diamond [*Pearson et al.*, 2014] are not representative of the whole MTZ.

280 4 Conclusions

In this study, we combined EM responses in a wide period band to infer the electrical conductivity structure underneath a set of inland geomagnetic observatories, while accounting for complex spatial structure of the magnetospheric and ionospheric sources [*Püthe et al.*, 2015b]. We found that simplistic source models are likely to introduce significant errors in the estimated transfer functions and therefore, bias the inferred conductivity models. Furthermore, the incorporation of daily-band responses (6–24 h) into the inversion leads to improved model resolution, especially in the upper mantle and transition zone.

The retrieved conductivity models were subsequently interpreted in terms of variations in 288 upper mantle (olivine) and transition zone (wadsleyite) water content by combining mineral phase 289 equilibria calculations, laboratory-based electrical conductivity measurements, and estimates on 290 mantle temperature and major element chemistry derived independently from the inversion of short-291 and long-period seismic data. We find the existence of a relatively dry transition zone beneath Europe ($C_w^{wad} < 0.05 \text{ wt\%}$) and a water-enriched ($C_w^{wad} \sim 0.3 \text{ wt\%}$) transition zone underneath North 293 America and northern Asia. These findings suggest that the near-water saturated conditions hinted 294 at by hydrous ringwoodite inclusions found in a natural diamond [Pearson et al., 2014] are not likely 295 to be representative of the entire MTZ. 296

The methodology presented here provides quantitative constraints on water content in the upper mantle and transition zone. To move beyond the current results and improve our understanding of the water distribution in the deep mantle and mantle water circulation, future work should aim at: 1) extending the geographical distribution of geomagnetic observatories to include data from coastal and island; and 2) inverting EM and seismic data jointly by means of an integrated approach [e.g., *Khan*, 2016]. The former demands the use of 3-D EM solvers to account for the ocean induction effect [e.g., *Kuvshinov et al.*, 2002], which makes the implementation of Markov chain Monte

-13-

Carlo methods impracticable. The latter requires a refinement of the laboratory-based electrical conductivity databases to lower-mantle minerals, and the extension of the thermodynamic database employed for mineral phase equilibria calculations to account for effects related to oxygen fugacity, water content, and melt.

-14-



Figure 4. Sampled water content in (a) olivine and (b) wadsleyite retrieved using the Yoshino-Katsura (YK) and Karato-Dai (KD) electrical conductivity databases. Water contents are given in terms of $C^x = C_w^x/C_w^x$, where *x* denotes either of the minerals olivine and wadsleyite, C_w^x is in wt%, and $C_w^0 = 1$ wt%. Constraints on the probability distribution of (c) mantle potential temperature (T_p) and (d) composition (basalt fraction) were obtained from the inversion of P–to–s receiver functions and Rayleigh wave phase velocities [*Munch et al.*, 2020, in press]. Station acronyms are defined in Table S1 and geographic locations are depicted in Figure 2.

308 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments, which helped improve the manuscript. F.D.M. was supported by a grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation

-15-

(Project No. 159907). A.G. and A.V.K. were supported by ESA through the Swarm DISC project. 311 M.G. was supported by ETH Grant No. ETH-3215-2. The authors would also like to acknowledge 312 the British Geological Survey (BGS), WDC Geomagnetism (Edinburgh), INTERMAGNET, and 313 the many Institutes around the World that operate magnetic observatories. Time series of geomag-314 netic field components used in this study are available on ftp://ftp.nerc-murchison.ac.uk/ 315 geomag/Swarm/AUX_OBS/hour. Long-period transfer functions and main inversion results (con-316 ductivity profiles, water content, basalt fraction, and mantle potential temperature estimates) for each 317 stations are available on https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000405622. 318

Appendix A: Estimation of magnetospheric source coefficients

Maxwell's equations describe the spatio-temporal behavior of electromagnetic fields and can be formulated in frequency domain as

$$\frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \mathbf{j}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega), \tag{4}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r},\omega) = i\omega \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r},\omega),\tag{5}$$

where **B** and **E** represent the complex Fourier transforms of magnetic flux density and electric field at a location $\mathbf{r} = (r, \vartheta, \varphi)$. The distance from the Earth's center is denoted by r, ϑ is the colatitude, and φ is the longitude. Furthermore, $\mathbf{j}^{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega)$ is the complex Fourier transform of an impressed source current density, $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ represents the electrical conductivity distribution in the media, ω is the angular frequency, and μ_0 is the magnetic permeability of free space. Given that deep electromagnetic (EM) studies consider induction due to natural current systems which vary on time scales between a few hours and a few months, displacement currents are here neglected.

Above the conducting Earth and below the region enclosed by the current \mathbf{j}^{ext} , Eq. (4) reduces to $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = 0$, thus **B** is a potential field that can described as a gradient of a scalar potential *V*

$$\mathbf{B} = -\nabla V. \tag{6}$$

Since $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, then *V* satisfies Laplace's equation ($\nabla^2 V = 0$) and hence, can be decomposed into external and internal parts such that $V = V^{\text{ext}} + V^{\text{int}}$, where

$$V^{\text{ext}} = a \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \varepsilon_n^m(\omega) \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^n Y_n^m(\vartheta, \varphi), \tag{7}$$

$$Y^{\text{int}} = a \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \sum_{l=-k}^{k} \iota_k^l(\omega) \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{-(k+1)} Y_k^l(\vartheta,\varphi),\tag{8}$$

-16-

١

where $\varepsilon_n^m(\omega)$ and $\iota_k^l(\omega)$ are the spherical harmonic expansion (SHE) coefficients of the external (inducing) and internal (induced) parts of the potential, N_{ε} and N_{ι} are the maximum (cut-off) degrees for external and internal coefficients, respectively, and Y_n^m is the spherical harmonic of degree *n* and order *m*

$$Y_n^m(\vartheta,\varphi) = P_n^{|m|}(\cos\vartheta)e^{im\varphi},\tag{9}$$

where $P_n^{|m|}(\cos \vartheta)$ corresponds to the Schmidt quasi-normalized associated Legendre function of degree *n* and order |m|. Note that in Eqs. (7) and (8), we use different indices for external and internal coefficients to account for the 3-D conductivity distribution. In a 1-D Earth (in which conductivity is only a function of depth), every external coefficient only induces one internal coefficient of the same degree and order. They are linearly related by the (scalar) Q-response, which is defined as

$$u_n^m(\omega) = Q_n(\omega)\varepsilon_n^m(\omega).$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

Note that in 1-D case, Q_n is independent of the order *m* [e.g., *Bailey*, 1969] and $N_{\varepsilon} = N_{\iota}$. In a 3-D Earth, however, every external coefficient ε_n^m induces a whole series of internal coefficients ι_k^l such that we can write

$$\iota_k^l(\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^{N_\varepsilon} \sum_{m=-n}^n Q_{kn}^{lm}(\omega) \varepsilon_n^m(\omega), \tag{11}$$

where the Q_{kn}^{lm} form a 2-D array of transfer functions we refer to as Q-matrix. The diagonal elements of this matrix mostly describe the bulk conductivity and, in case of a layered (1-D) Earth, they are equivalent to the scalar Q-responses. The off-diagonal elements describe a transfer of energy to coefficients of different degree and order, which only occurs if the subsurface has a 3-D structure. Note that our a priori 3-D Earth's model consists of a mantle with 1-D conductivity distribution overlaid by a surface layer of known laterally-variable conductance; the latter approximates nonuniform distribution of oceans and continents. Our numerical experiments shows that the diagonal elements of corresponding Q-matrix are dominant for the considered period range (3–85 days). Therefore, exploiting Eqs. (6)-(8) and (11), the magnetic field on the surface of the Earth, $\mathbf{r}_a = (a, \vartheta, \varphi)$, can be written as

$$B_r(\mathbf{r}_a,\omega) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \varepsilon_n^m(\omega) \Big[n - (n+1)Q_{nn}^{mm}(\omega) \Big] Y_n^m(\vartheta,\varphi), \tag{12}$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\mathbf{r}_{a},\omega) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \varepsilon_{n}^{m}(\omega) \left[1 + Q_{nn}^{mm}(\omega)\right] \nabla_{\perp} Y_{n}^{m}(\vartheta,\varphi), \tag{13}$$

where subscript τ denotes the tangential part of **B** and ∇_{\perp} is the surface gradient. With the following

344 denotation

343

$$v_n^m(\omega) = \varepsilon_n^m(\omega) \left[1 + Q_{nn}^{mm}(\omega) \right],\tag{14}$$

-17-

time domain equivalent of Eq. (13) reads

350

351

352

353

354

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

$$\mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\mathbf{r}_{a},t) = -\sum_{n=1}^{N_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} v_{n}^{m}(t) \nabla_{\perp} Y_{n}^{m}(\vartheta,\varphi).$$
(15)

- In this study, we estimated external source coefficients $\epsilon_n^m(t)$ following the procedure proposed by *Püthe et al.* [2015b]. This procedure can be summarized as follows:
- (1) Collection of hourly-mean time series of geomagnetic field components from mid-latitude per manent observatories (see Figure S1) from the British Geological Survey database [*Macmillan and Olsen*, 2013].
 - (2) Removal of the main field and its secular variations using the CHAOS model [*Olsen et al.*, 2006].
 - (3) Detrend each magnetic field component using cubic B-splines.
 - (4) Rotation of the horizontal magnetic field components from geographic to geomagnetic coordinates using geomagnetic north pole coordinates for the year 2010.
- (5) Estimation of v_n^m for each time instant *t* from the tangential components of the observed magnetic field in geomagnetic coordinates using Eq. (15) and a Huber-weighted robust leastsquares method [e.g., *Aster et al.*, 2005]. Weights are meant to counteract potential biases introduced by cluster of stations (e.g., the high concentrations of observatories in the Northern hemisphere). At each time instant *t*, we triangulate the spatial distribution of observatories (via calculating the convex hull). Weights are then estimated as the surface area of the spherical triangle that has a given observatory as its center.
 - (6) Separation of internal and external contributions as follows:

A. Transformation of the unseparated coefficients $v_n^m(t)$ into frequency domain.

- B. Computation of radial magnetic field $B_{n,r}^m(\mathbf{r}_a,\omega)$ induced by unit amplitude spherical harmonic sources ($\epsilon_n^m = 1$) in frequency domain using a numerical solver based on integral equation approach [*Kuvshinov*, 2008]. As mentioned above, computations are performed in a priori 3-D Earth's model consisting of a mantle with 1-D conductivity distribution overlaid by a surface layer of laterally-variable conductance.
- C. Calculation of $Q_{nn}^{mm}(\omega)$ from the computed $B_{n,r}^m(\mathbf{r}_a,\omega)$ as [*Püthe and Kuvshinov*, 2014]

$$Q_{nn}^{mm}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(n+1)||Y_n^m||^2} \int_{\Omega} \left[B_{n,r}^m(\mathbf{r}_a,\omega) - B_{n,r}^{m,ext}(\mathbf{r}_a)) \right] \hat{Y}_n^m(\vartheta,\varphi) ds.$$
(16)

©2020 American Geophysical Union. All rights reserved.

Here \hat{Y}_n^m denotes complex conjugation Y_n^m , Ω is the complete solid angle, $ds = \sin \vartheta d\vartheta d\varphi$, and $||Y_n^m||^2$ is the squared norm of the spherical harmonic Y_n^m , and $B_{n,r}^{m,ext}$ is the external part of radial magnetic field, i.e.

$$B_{n,r}^{m,ext}(\mathbf{r}_a) = -nY_n^m(\vartheta,\varphi).$$
(17)

Substituting Eq. (17) into Eq. (16) we have

$$Q_{nn}^{mm}(\omega) = \frac{1}{(n+1)||Y_n^m||^2} \int_{\Omega} B_{n,r}^m(\mathbf{r}_a,\omega) \hat{Y}_n^m(\vartheta,\varphi) ds + \frac{n}{n+1}.$$
 (18)

D. Separation of internal and external contributions using Eq. (14)

$$\epsilon_n^m(\omega) = v_n^m(\omega) / [1 + Q_{nn}^{mm}(\omega)].$$

E. Transformation of the external coefficients $\epsilon_n^m(\omega)$ into time domain.

377 References

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

- Aster, R., B. Borchers, and C. Thurber (2005), Parameter estimation and inverse problems: Elsevier academic.
- Bahr, K., N. Olsen, and T. J. Shankland (1993), On the combination of the magnetotelluric and the geomagnetic depth sounding method for resolving an electrical conductivity increase at 400 km depth, *Geophysical research letters*, 20(24), 2937–2940.
- Bailey, R. (1969), Inversion of the geomagnetic induction problem, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.*, *315*, 185– 194.
- Balasis, G., and G. D. Egbert (2006), Empirical orthogonal function analysis of magnetic observa-
- tory data: Further evidence for non-axisymmetric magnetospheric sources for satellite induction
 studies, *Geophysical research letters*, *33*(11).
- Banks, R. (1969), Geomagnetic variations and the electrical conductivity of the upper mantle, *Geophysical Journal International*, *17*(5), 457–487.
- Bercovici, D., and S.-i. Karato (2003), Whole-mantle convection and the transition-zone water filter,
 Nature, 425(6953), 39–44.
- Bolfan-Casanova, N. (2005), Water in the Earth's mantle, *Mineralogical Magazine*, 69(3), 229–257.
- Bolfan-Casanova, N., C. A. Mccammon, and S. J. Mackwell (2006), Water in transition zone and lower mantle minerals, *GEOPHYSICAL MONOGRAPH-AMERICAN GEOPHYSICAL UNION*, 168, 57.
- ³⁹⁶ Connolly, J. (2009), The geodynamic equation of state: what and how, *Geochemistry, Geophysics*, ³⁹⁷ *Geosystems*, *10*(10).

- Cottaar, S., and A. Deuss (2016), Large-scale mantle discontinuity topography beneath Europe:
 Signature of akimotoite in subducting slabs, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,
 121(1), 279–292.
- ⁴⁰¹ Daglis, I., and J. Kozyra (2002), Outstanding issues of ring current dynamics, *Journal of atmospheric* ⁴⁰² *and solar-terrestrial physics*, *64*(2), 253–264.
- Egbert, G. D., and J. R. Booker (1992), Very long period magnetotellurics at Tucson observatory:
 implications for mantle conductivity, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 97(B11),
 15,099–15,112.
- Ferot, A., and N. Bolfan-Casanova (2012), Water storage capacity in olivine and pyroxene to 14GPa:
 Implications for the water content of the Earth's upper mantle and nature of seismic discontinuities,
 Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 349, 218–230.
- ⁴⁰⁹ Finlay, C., V. Lesur, E. Thébault, F. Vervelidou, A. Morschhauser, and R. Shore (2017), Challenges
 ⁴¹⁰ handling magnetospheric and ionospheric signals in internal geomagnetic field modelling.
- Freitas, D., G. Manthilake, F. Schiavi, J. Chantel, N. Bolfan-Casanova, M. Bouhifd, and D. Andrault
 (2017), Experimental evidence supporting a global melt layer at the base of the Earth's upper
 mantle, *Nature communications*, 8(1), 2186.
- Fullea, J., M. Muller, and A. Jones (2011), Electrical conductivity of continental lithospheric mantle
 from integrated geophysical and petrological modeling: Application to the Kaapvaal Craton and
 Rehoboth Terrane, southern Africa, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *116*(B10).
- Grayver, A., F. Munch, A. Kuvshinov, A. Khan, T. Sabaka, and L. Tøffner-Clausen (2017), Joint inversion of satellite-detected tidal and magnetospheric signals constrains electrical conductivity and water content of the upper mantle and transition zone, *Geophysical Research Letters*.
- Grayver, A. V., and A. V. Kuvshinov (2016), Exploring equivalence domain in nonlinear inverse
 problems using Covariance Matrix Adaption Evolution Strategy (CMAES) and random sampling,
 Geophysical Journal International, 205(2), 971–987.
- Guzavina, M., A. Grayver, and A. Kuvshinov (2019), Probing upper mantle electrical conductiv ity with daily magnetic variations using global-to-local transfer functions, *Geophysical Journal International*, 219(3), 2125–2147.
- Hansen, N., and A. Ostermeier (2001), Completely derandomized self-adaptation in evolution strate gies, *Evolutionary computation*, 9(2), 159–195.
- Hansen, P. C. (1999), The L-curve and its use in the numerical treatment of inverse problems.
- Hastings, W. K. (1970), Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov chains and their applications,
 Biometrika, 57(1), 97–109.

-20-

431	Hirschmann, M. M. (2006), Water, melting, and the deep Earth H2O cycle, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet.
432	<i>Sci.</i> , <i>34</i> , 629–653.
433	Hirschmann, M. M., C. Aubaud, and A. C. Withers (2005), Storage capacity of H ₂ O in nominally
434	anhydrous minerals in the upper mantle, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 236(1), 167–181.
435	Inoue, T., T. Wada, R. Sasaki, and H. Yurimoto (2010), Water partitioning in the Earth's mantle,
436	Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 183(1), 245–251.
437	Jones, A. G., J. Fullea, R. L. Evans, and M. R. Muller (2012), Water in cratonic lithosphere:
438	Calibrating laboratory-determined models of electrical conductivity of mantle minerals using
439	geophysical and petrological observations, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 13(6).
440	Karato, Si. (2011), Water distribution across the mantle transition zone and its implications for
441	global material circulation, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 301(3), 413-423.
442	Katsura, T., and T. Yoshino (2015), Heterogeneity of electrical conductivity in the oceanic upper
443	mantle, in The Earth's Heterogeneous Mantle, pp. 173-204, Springer.
444	Kelbert, A., A. Schultz, and G. Egbert (2009), Global electromagnetic induction constraints on
445	transition-zone water content variations, Nature, 460(7258), 1003-1006.
446	Khan, A. (2016), On Earth's mantle constitution and structure from joint analysis of geophysical and
447	laboratory-based data: An example, Surveys in Geophysics, 37(1), 149–189.
448	Khan, A., and T. Shankland (2012), A geophysical perspective on mantle water content and melting:
449	Inverting electromagnetic sounding data using laboratory-based electrical conductivity profiles,
450	Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 317, 27–43.
451	Koch, S., and A. Kuvshinov (2013), Global 3-D EM inversion of Sq variations based on simultaneous
452	source and conductivity determination: concept validation and resolution studies, Geophysical
453	Journal International, 195(1), 98–116.
454	Koyama, T., H. Shimizu, H. Utada, M. Ichiki, E. Ohtani, and R. Hae (2006), Water content in the
455	mantle transition zone beneath the north pacific derived from the electrical conductivity anomaly,
456	Earth's Deep Water Cycle, pp. 171–179.
457	Koyama, T., A. Khan, and A. Kuvshinov (2014), Three-dimensional electrical conductivity structure
458	beneath Australia from inversion of geomagnetic observatory data: evidence for lateral variations
459	in transition-zone temperature, water content and melt, Geophysical Journal International, 196(3),
460	1330.
461	Kuvshinov, A. (2008), 3-D global induction in the oceans and solid Earth: recent progress in
462	modeling magnetic and electric fields from sources of magnetospheric, ionospheric and oceanic
463	origin, Surveys in geophysics, 29(2), 139–186.
1	
	-21-

- Kuvshinov, A. V., N. Olsen, D. B. Avdeev, and O. V. Pankratov (2002), Electromagnetic induction
 in the oceans and the anomalous behaviour of coastal C-responses for periods up to 20 days,
 Geophysical research letters, 29(12).
- Litasov, K. D., A. Shatskiy, E. Ohtani, and T. Katsura (2011), Systematic study of hydrogen incorporation into Fe-free wadsleyite, *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, *38*(1), 75–84.
- Macmillan, S., and N. Olsen (2013), Observatory data and the Swarm mission, *Earth, Planets and Space*, 65(11), 1355–1362.
- ⁴⁷¹ McKenzie, D., and M. Bickle (1988), The volume and composition of melt generated by extension ⁴⁷² of the lithosphere, *Journal of petrology*, *29*(3), 625–679.
- ⁴⁷³ Metropolis, N., A. W. Rosenbluth, M. N. Rosenbluth, A. H. Teller, and E. Teller (1953), Equation of
 ⁴⁷⁴ state calculations by fast computing machines, *The journal of chemical physics*, *21*(6), 1087–1092.
- Mookherjee, M., and S.-i. Karato (2010), Solubility of water in pyrope-rich garnet at high pressures
 and temperature, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *37*(3).
- ⁴⁷⁷ Mosegaard, K., and A. Tarantola (1995), Monte Carlo sampling of solutions to inverse problems,
 ⁴⁷⁸ *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, *100*(B7), 12,431–12,447.
- Munch, F., A. Grayver, A. Kuvshinov, and A. Khan (2018), Stochastic inversion of geomagnetic
 observatory data including rigorous treatment of the ocean induction effect with implications
 for transition zone water content and thermal structure, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 123(1), 31–51.
- Munch, F., A. Khan, B. Tauzin, M. van Driel, and D. Giardini (2020, in press), Seismological
 evidence for the existence of thermo-chemical heterogeneity in Earth's continental mantle, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*.
- Ohtani, E., K. Litasov, T. Hosoya, T. Kubo, and T. Kondo (2004), Water transport into the deep
 mantle and formation of a hydrous transition zone, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*,
 143, 255–269.
- ⁴⁸⁹ Olsen, N. (1998), The electrical conductivity of the mantle beneath Europe derived from C-responses ⁴⁹⁰ from 3 to 720 hr, *Geophysical Journal International*, *133*(2), 298–308.
- ⁴⁹¹ Olsen, N., and A. Kuvshinov (2004), Modeling the ocean effect of geomagnetic storms, *Earth*, ⁴⁹² *planets and space*, *56*(5), 525–530.
- ⁴⁹³ Olsen, N., and C. Stolle (2017), Magnetic signatures of ionospheric and magnetospheric current ⁴⁹⁴ systems during geomagnetic quiet conditions—an overview, *Space Science Reviews*, 206(1-4),
- 495

5-25.

- Olsen, N., H. Lühr, T. J. Sabaka, M. Mandea, M. Rother, L. Tøffner-Clausen, and S. Choi (2006),
 CHAOS– A model of the Earth's magnetic field derived from CHAMP, ørsted, and SAC-C
 magnetic satellite data, *Geophysical Journal International*, *166*(1), 67–75.
- Pearson, D., F. Brenker, F. Nestola, J. McNeill, L. Nasdala, M. Hutchison, S. Matveev, K. Mather,
 G. Silversmit, S. Schmitz, et al. (2014), Hydrous mantle transition zone indicated by ringwoodite
 included within diamond, *Nature*, 507(7491), 221.
- Peslier, A. H., and M. Bizimis (2015), Water in Hawaiian peridotite minerals: A case for a dry
 metasomatized oceanic mantle lithosphere, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, *16*(4), 1211–
 1232.
- Peslier, A. H., A. B. Woodland, D. R. Bell, and M. Lazarov (2010), Olivine water contents in the
 continental lithosphere and the longevity of cratons, *Nature*, 467(7311), 78.
- ⁵⁰⁷ Püthe, C., and A. Kuvshinov (2014), Mapping 3-D mantle electrical conductivity from space: a new
 ⁵⁰⁸ 3-D inversion scheme based on analysis of matrix Q-responses, *Geophysical Journal International*,
 ⁵⁰⁹ 197(2), 768–784.
- ⁵¹⁰ Püthe, C., A. Kuvshinov, A. Khan, and N. Olsen (2015a), A new model of Earth's radial conductivity
 ⁵¹¹ structure derived from over 10 yr of satellite and observatory magnetic data, *Geophysical Journal*⁵¹² *International*, 203(3), 1864–1872.
- Püthe, C., A. Kuvshinov, and N. Olsen (2015b), Handling complex source structures in global EM
 induction studies: from C-responses to new arrays of transfer functions, *Geophysical Journal International*, 201(1), 318–328.
- Semenov, A., and A. Kuvshinov (2012), Global 3-D imaging of mantle conductivity based on inver sion of observatory C-responses–II. Data analysis and results, *Geophysical Journal International*,
 191(3), 965–992.
- Shimizu, H., H. Utada, K. Baba, T. Koyama, M. Obayashi, and Y. Fukao (2010), Three-dimensional imaging of electrical conductivity in the mantle transition zone beneath the North Pacific Ocean
 by a semi-global induction study, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, *183*(1), 252–269.
 Stixrude, L., and C. Lithgow-Bertelloni (2005), Thermodynamics of mantle minerals-I. physical
- ⁵²³ properties, *Geophysical Journal International*, *162*(2), 610–632.
- Stixrude, L., and C. Lithgow-Bertelloni (2011), Thermodynamics of mantle minerals-II. Phase
 equilibria, *Geophysical Journal International*, 184(3), 1180–1213.
- Sun, J., A. Kelbert, and G. Egbert (2015), Ionospheric current source modeling and global geomagnetic induction using ground geomagnetic observatory data, *Journal of Geophysical Research:* Solid Earth, 120(10), 6771–6796.

- Tarantola, A., and B. Valette (1982), Generalized nonlinear inverse problems solved using the least squares criterion, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 20(2), 219–232.
- ⁵³¹ Utada, H., T. Koyama, M. Obayashi, and Y. Fukao (2009), A joint interpretation of electromagnetic ⁵³² and seismic tomography models suggests the mantle transition zone below Europe is dry, *Earth* ⁵³³ *and Planetary Science Letters*, 281(3), 249–257.
- Yamazaki, Y., and A. Maute (2017), Sq and EEJ–A review on the daily variation of the geomagnetic
 field caused by ionospheric dynamo currents, *Space Science Reviews*, 206(1-4), 299–405.
- ⁵³⁶ Zhu, H., E. Bozdağ, and J. Tromp (2015), Seismic structure of the European upper mantle based on ⁵³⁷ adjoint tomography, *Geophysical Journal International*, 201(1), 18–52.

-24-