


Partially-deuterated samples of HET-s(218–289) fibrils: assignment and deuterium isotope effect

Journal Article

Author(s):

Smith, Albert A.; Ravotti, Francesco; Testori, Emilie; Cadalbert, Riccardo; [Ernst, Matthias](#) ; Böckmann, Anja; Meier, Beat H.

Publication date:

2017-02

Permanent link:

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000128245>

Rights / license:

[In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted](#)

Originally published in:

Journal of Biomolecular NMR 67(2), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10858-016-0087-0>

Funding acknowledgement:

146757 - NMR studies in the Solid State (SNF)
159707 - NMR studies in the Solid State (SNF)

Partially-Deuterated Samples of HET-s(218-289) Fibrils: Assignment and Deuterium Isotope Effect

Albert A. Smith,¹ Francesco Ravotti,¹ Emilie Testori,¹ Riccardo Cadalbert,¹ Matthias Ernst,^{1*} Anja Böckmann,^{2*} and Beat H. Meier^{1*}

¹*ETH Zürich, Physical Chemistry, Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 2, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland*

²*Institut de Biologie et Chimie des Protéines, Bases Moléculaires et Structurales des Systèmes Infectieux, Labex Ecofect, UMR 5086 CNRS, Université de Lyon, 7 passage du Vercors, 69367 Lyon, France*

M.E.: maer@ethz.ch, +41 44 6324366

A.B.: a.boeckmann@ibcp.fr, +33 4 72722649

B.M.: beme@ethz.ch, +41 44 6324401

Abstract

Fast magic-angle spinning and partial sample deuteration allows direct detection of ¹H in solid-state NMR, yielding significant gains in mass sensitivity. In order to further analyze the spectra, ¹H detection requires assignment of the ¹H resonances. In this work, resonance assignments of backbone H^N and H_α are presented for HET-s(218-289) fibrils, based on the existing assignment of C_α, C_β, C', and N resonances. The samples used are partially deuterated for higher spectral resolution, and the shifts in resonance frequencies of C_α and C_β due to the deuterium isotope effect are investigated. It is shown that the deuterium isotope effect can be estimated and used for assigning resonances of deuterated samples in solid-state NMR, based on known resonances of the protonated protein.

Keywords

solid-state NMR, fibrils, chemical shift assignment, deuterium isotope effect, deuterated proteins, proton detection

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grants 200020_146757 and 200020_159707) and by the French ANR (ANR-14-CE09-0024B).

Introduction

Amyloid fibrils are protein aggregates, which form long fibers that are rich in β -sheets and are stabilized by a network of hydrogen bonds between layers. Fibrils appear in many diseases including Alzheimer's, Huntington's, and Parkinson's (Knowles et al. 2014). However, they also serve functional roles such as hormone storage (Maji et al. 2009) and can provide structure and pigmentation (Fowler et al. 2007). The HET-s prion, the topic of this study, provides a means of programmed cell death, known as heterokaryon incompatibility, in *podospora anserina* (Glass and Kaneko 2003; Saupe 2000). The HET-s(218-289) prion is useful as a model amyloid, yielding at physiological conditions only one polymorph (Meier and Böckmann 2015) and producing well-resolved solid-state NMR spectra (Siemer et al. 2005). The assignment (^{13}C , ^{15}N resonances) and structure of HET-s(218-289) have been solved (Siemer et al. 2006; Van Melckebeke et al. 2010; Wasmer et al. 2008), excluding ~ 14 residues found in the termini and a loop that do not give strong NMR signals. Recently, we have also investigated site-specific dynamics of the HET-s amyloids, for which the assignment presented in this paper was used (Smith et al. 2016).

In this study, we expand the existing HET-s(218-289) assignment to include backbone ^1H 's ($\text{H}\alpha$, H^{N}). Fast magic-angle spinning (MAS, >50 kHz), in conjunction with partially-deuterated samples, gives sufficient resolution in the ^1H dimension such that ^1H detected experiments become useful (Agarwal et al. 2014; Huber et al. 2011; Knight et al. 2011; Lewandowski et al. 2011; Smith et al. 2016). For constant sample size, one then gains approximately eight times the total signal-to-noise ratio as compared to ^{13}C detection, with relatively small losses due to an extra CP transfer step (Penzel et al. 2015). For HET-s(218-289) fibrils, it is possible to resolve most residues using $\text{H}\alpha$ - $\text{C}\alpha$ 2D correlation experiments or H - N correlation experiments, with partial protonation and fast MAS (60 kHz). For assignment of the $\text{H}\alpha$ resonances, we have used partial protonation at the $\text{C}\alpha$, using 25 % protonation, which has been shown to be adequate for randomly protonated samples (Asami et al. 2012). For assignment of the H^{N} resonances, we used a fully deuterated sample, with 100% back-protonation on the H^{N} and exchangeable side-chain protons. We furthermore re-assign the $\text{C}\alpha$, $\text{C}\beta$, C' , and N resonances that have shifted for the different samples— due to the deuterium isotope effect for ^{13}C resonances, with additional shifting for ^{15}N resonances due to pH and temperature. We calculate the deuterium isotope effect for ^{13}C (Hansen 1988; Jameson and Osten 1986; Maciel et al. 1967), and use it for re-assignment of resonances. We also compare

the deuterium isotope effect among different proteins and between solution- and solid-state NMR, and discuss use of calculations of the isotope effect for assignment of NMR spectra.

Theory

The existing resonance assignment of the ^{13}C in fully protonated HET-s(218-289) (Siemer et al. 2006; Van Melckebeke et al. 2010), BMRB accession numbers 11028, 11064, allows us to utilize the deuterium isotope effect to estimate the $^{13}\text{C}\alpha$ and $^{13}\text{C}\beta$ resonance frequencies in partially deuterated samples. The deuterium isotope effect can be estimated by (Jameson and Osten 1984).

$$\Delta\sigma = \sigma_0^* - \sigma_0 = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial\Delta r_i} \right)_e \left[\langle \Delta r_i \rangle^* - \langle \Delta r_i \rangle \right] + \text{higher terms} \quad (1)$$

Here, σ_0 and σ_0^* are the chemical shift of some nucleus, with and without isotope substitution, respectively, and $\langle \Delta r_i \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta r_i \rangle^*$ are the mean bond distance, before and after isotopic substitution. Then, the $(\partial\sigma / \partial\Delta r_i)_e$ are the derivative of the isotope effect with respect to some bond distance, Δr_i , in the molecule, and are, in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, mass independent. As indicated, the total isotope effect is additive, and the $(\partial\sigma / \partial\Delta r_i)_e$ are usually negative, and become smaller in magnitude for bonds further away from the nucleus of interest– so that when some bond is less extended, then the nucleus is more shielded. In this form, it is clear that the deuterium isotope effect is then the result of a decrease of the average bond distances, $\langle \Delta r_i \rangle$, when a proton is substituted with the heavier deuterium atom, yielding increased chemical shielding (decrease in chemical shift when given in ppm).

Because the isotope effect is approximately additive, it is possible to reformulate Eq. (1) for the total shift for $\text{C}\alpha$ or $\text{C}\beta$ with the following formula (Hansen 1988; Hansen 2000):

$${}^T\Delta\text{C}(\text{D}) = {}^1\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})d_1 + {}^2\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})d_2 + {}^3\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})d_3 \quad (2)$$

Here, ${}^T\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ is the total shift of the ^{13}C due to the deuterium isotope effect (by convention, ${}^T\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ is the difference of deuterated and the protonated sample, and is negative), ${}^n\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ is the shift due to a deuterium n bonds away from the ^{13}C , and d_n is the number of deuterium atoms n bonds away (where contributions for $n>3$ are negligible). Note that in this formulation ${}^n\Delta\text{C}(\text{D}) = (\partial\sigma / \partial\Delta r_i)_e \left[\langle \Delta r_i \rangle^* - \langle \Delta r_i \rangle \right]$, where the i^{th} bond is n bonds away. By assuming that the ${}^n\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ values are approximately the same for different residues in a given protein, it is

possible to fit the residue specific deuterium isotope effect for that protein to Eq. (2), in order to obtain the ${}^n\Delta C(D)$ (Venters et al. 1996). Note that the assumption that the ${}^n\Delta C(D)$ are the same for different residues is not entirely correct, with even structural information being contained in the isotope effect of the C α resulting from deuteration at the amide position (Ottiger and Bax 1997), and further correlation between the isotope effect resulting from deuteration at the C α position and structure (LeMaster et al. 1994; Morris and Murray 1975).

Materials and Methods

Sample preparation of partially-protonated, [U- ${}^{13}C$, ${}^{15}N$] HET-s fibrils

Partial Deuteration

Samples in this study were prepared to yield partial deuteration, with protonation of the C α (referred to as “H α sample”), the backbone N (referred to as “H N sample”), or protonation at both positions (referred to as “H α H N sample”). Obtaining the desired protonation for the H N sample is straightforward- before fibrilization, HET-s(218-289) is unstructured (Balguerie et al. 2003; Berthelot et al. 2010), and so expression in deuterated media followed by fibrilization in fully protonated solvent yields protonation at all exchangeable sites. Partial protonation at the C α with reduced protonation elsewhere can be achieved by expression in ${}^2H, {}^{13}C$ glucose as the sole carbon source, and a mixture of D $_2O/H_2O$ (Asami et al. 2010; Lundström et al. 2009). We use this approach, with a 75/25 ratio of D $_2O$ to H $_2O$. This yields 25% protonation at the C α . However, it will also cause variability in the protonation level at the nearby carbons. In Table 1, the protonation fraction at the C β is given for different residues, and also the probability that at least one proton occurs at the C β (Lundström et al. 2009). Although experiments used with the H α and H α H N samples select for C α that are protonated, the variability in protonation level on nearby carbons will also give some variability in the chemical shift of those carbons and their neighbors due to the isotope effect.

Expression and purification

The H α and H α H N samples are prepared using partial protonation at non-exchangeable sites using the above method, with fibrilization in D $_2O$ for the H α sample to yield deuteration at all exchangeable sites, and fibrilization in H $_2O$ for the H α H N sample to yield protonation at all exchangeable sites. The H N sample is prepared with full deuteration except at exchangeable sites. All samples are uniformly ${}^{13}C$, ${}^{15}N$ labeled, and all preparations follow standard expression steps that have been previously described (Balguerie et al. 2003), with variations in

sample protonation. For all samples, Histidine-tagged HET-s(218-289) was recombinantly expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 in M9 minimal medium, containing ^2H , ^{13}C glucose (2.5 g/L) as the sole carbon source and $^{15}\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ (1 g/L) as the sole nitrogen source. Purification steps are always performed in H_2O buffers. After expression, the cells were lysed in 150 mM NaCl and 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 8 and disrupted using a microfluidizer (Microfluidics). The lysate was centrifuged for 90 min at 8,250 g. The pellet was resuspended in buffer (150 mM NaCl and 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 8). Guanidinium HCl powder was added until the solution had doubled in volume, and the sample was incubated overnight at 60°C. The sample was then centrifuged for 3 hours at 186,000 g until the supernatant was clear, and subsequently filtered (0.2 μm pore-size). Equilibrated Ni-Sepharose was added to bind to the Histidine-tagged sample and the protein was allowed to bind over one hour to the resin. The sample was transferred to a column and the resin was washed, using a buffer (6 M guanidinium HCl, 20 mM Imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 8) with eight times the column volume. The protein was eluted using elution buffer (6 M guanidinium HCl, 500 mM Imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 8), while taking 1 mL fractions. The fractions with protein were pooled in one Falcon tube.

Table 1: Expected deuteration level at the H β position for different amino acids for the H α -C α sample

Amino Acids	Protonation fraction^a	Probability (at least 1 ^1H)^a
Cys, Phe, Ser, Trp, Tyr	0.06	0.13
Arg, Gln, Glu, Leu, Pro	0.25	0.44
Asn, Asp, Met	0.17	0.31
Ile, Val	0.25	0.25
Ala	0.13	0.35
His	0.13	0.25
Lys	0.15	0.28
Thr	0.09	0.17

^aRe-calculated using Table 2 from (Lundström et al. 2009), to account for the $\text{D}_2\text{O}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ratio of 75/25.

Fibrilization

For all samples, the buffer was exchanged with 150 mM acetic acid pH = 2.5 (the H α sample uses acetic acid in D_2O), using a HiPrep 26/10 desalting column (GE Healthcare). The

H α sample was lyophilized after elution and resuspended in D₂O. This was repeated two more times, in order to remove all exchangeable protons from the H α sample. For all samples, the pH was adjusted to pH = 7.4 by addition of 3 M Tris at 25 °C, triggering fibrilization. Aggregation of HET-s is visible after about 10 minutes, but is allowed to fully fibrilize for three days. Fibrils were then washed three times with D₂O for the H α sample, and with H₂O for the other samples. Fibrils were finally washed with 50 mM citric acid at pH 5 (in D₂O for the H α sample and in H₂O for the other samples). All samples were centrifuged into 1.3 mm (Bruker) rotors (Böckmann et al. 2009).

NMR spectroscopy

Solid-state NMR spectroscopy

All spectra were acquired on a Bruker Avance III 850 MHz spectrometer with MAS at 60 kHz, using a Bruker 1.3 mm triple-resonance probe. Sample temperature was 23.3 \pm 0.3 °C for all spectra, determined by the resonance frequency of water, using referencing to DSS (Böckmann et al. 2009). Three three-dimensional (3D) correlation experiments were used in this study, shown in Fig. 1. Transfer methods were chosen based on the work of Penzel et al. (Penzel et al. 2015), where it has been shown that for fast MAS (~90 kHz) that H–X and backbone C–N transfers are typically most efficient using adiabatic cross-polarization (CP)(Hediger et al. 1995), whereas C–C transfers are more efficient using J-coupling based transfers. Fig. 1a shows the pulse sequence for HACACB correlation. The initial CP step transfers from H α to C α , followed by a *J*-coupled transfer from C α (in-phase) to C β (anti-phase), while simultaneously evolving on the C α spin. After a $\pi/2$ pulse, magnetization then evolves on C β , followed by transfer back to C α , water suppression using the MISSISSIPPI sequence (Zhou and Rienstra 2008), and finally CP from C α to H α . Fig. 1b shows the pulse sequence for HACAN correlation ((H)NCAHA), which uses CP transfers at each step, and Fig. 1c shows the pulse sequence for HNCA and HNCO correlation ((H)CANH, (H)CONH), which also uses CP transfers at each step. Experimental parameters for each experiment are shown in Table 2. The signal to noise and the number of assigned peaks for each 3D experiment are also given in Table 2.




Fig. 1: 3D pulse sequences. **a** is used for HACACB correlation. t_1 , t_2 , and t_3 correspond to evolution on $C\alpha$, $C\beta$, and $H\alpha$, respectively. Selective pulses are all set to excite the aliphatic carbons ($C\alpha/C\beta$ in particular) without excitation of C' . **b** is used for HACAN correlation, with t_1 , t_2 , and t_3 corresponding to evolution on N, $C\alpha$, and $H\alpha$. **c** is used for HNCA and HNCO correlation, with t_1 , t_2 , and t_3 corresponding to evolution on $C\alpha$ or CO, N, and H^N

Table 2: Experimental settings

Experiment	(HA)CACB(CA)HA	(H)NCAHA	(H)CANH	(H)CONH
Sample	$H\alpha$ sample	$H\alpha H^N$ sample	H^N sample	H^N sample
MAS frequency [kHz]	60	60	60	60
Transfer 1	HACA-CP	HN-CP	HCA-CP	HCO-CP
Field [kHz] – 1H	99	104	97	97
Field [kHz] – X	49	45	39	44
Shape	tangent (1H)	tangent	tangent	tangent
Amplitude [%] / Angle [°]	40 / 80	40 / 80	40 / 80	40 / 80
Length [ms]	0.9	1.5	4.0	4.0
Transfer 2	CACB-INEPT	NCA-CP	CAN-CP	CON-CP
Field [kHz] – ^{15}N	–	37	16	75
Field [kHz] – ^{13}C	12.4 (max of Q3)	30	39	19
Shape	Q3 (250 μs)	tangent	tangent	tangent
Amplitude [%] / Angle [°]	–	40 / 80	40 / 80	40 / 80

Length [ms]	13.76 (Δ)	7.0	8.0	8.0
Transfer 3	CAHA-CP	CAHA-CP	NH-CP	NH-CP
Field [kHz] – ^1H	99	104	97	97
Field [kHz] – X	49	47	43	45
Shape	tangent	tangent	tangent	tangent
Amplitude [%] / Angle [°]	40 / 80	40 / 80	40 / 80	40 / 80
Length [ms]	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0
t_1 increments	208	60	308	470
Sweep width (t_1) [kHz]	20.31	5.17	25.66	42.77
Acquisition time (t_1) [ms]	5.12	6.38	6.00	5.50
t_2 increments	99	196	116	102
Sweep width (t_2) [kHz]	20.10	41.91	3.88	3.45
Acquisition time (t_2) [ms]	2.46	6.49	14.96	14.80
t_3 increments	3874	4152	4152	1186
Sweep width (t_3) [kHz]	138.89	138.89	138.89	39.68
Acquisition time (t_3) [ms]	13.95	14.95	14.95	14.94
^1H Waltz16 decoupling [kHz]	10.4	10.4	5.7	20
X Waltz16 decoupling [kHz]	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.7
Interscan delay [s]	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0
Number of scans	16	8	4	4
Total measurement time [h]	110.7	131.6	45.8	63.5
*Signal to Noise (median)	24	8.2	45	53
*Signal to Noise (max/min)	63/5	27/4	120/7	195/9
Number of assigned peaks	55	44	48	44

*Signal to noise statistics are taken only over assigned peaks.

Assignment

The chemical shifts of the $\text{C}\alpha$ and $\text{C}\beta$ resonances were estimated by calculating the expected change ($^T\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$) of resonance frequency with respect to the protonated protein for each atom using eq. (2). Values used for the parameters $^n\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ were those determined for solution-state α -synuclein, an intrinsically unstructured protein (Maltsev et al. 2012). For the $\text{H}\alpha$ and $\text{H}\alpha\text{H}^{\text{N}}$ sample, d_1 is zero for $\text{C}\alpha$: although there is only 25% protonation at the $\text{C}\alpha$ position, the pulse sequence only correlates $\text{C}\alpha$ which are protonated and therefore have no one-bond isotope effect. Also, d_2 and d_3 for $\text{C}\alpha$ in the $\text{H}\alpha$ sample include contributions from one deuterium each from the backbone amides, in addition to contributions from deuterium on the side chain. Note that in this study, we do not explicitly account for residual side chain

protonation since variation in deuteration of the side chain did not yield resolved peaks. In principle, it might be possible to use fractional values of the d_n to calculate average chemical shifts, however the transfer efficiency of the pulse sequences will be reduced at higher protonation levels, complicating the calculation of the d_n (see (Nietlistpach et al. 1996) for discussion of averaged quantities in cases of partial deuteration). The H^N sample is deuterated except at exchangeable positions so that the $C\alpha$ d_1 is one, and d_2 and d_3 only have contributions from the side chain. The ${}^T\Delta C(D)$ were added to the ${}^{13}C$ resonances found in the assignment of protonated HET-s(218-289), given in BMRB ascension number 11064 (Siemer et al. 2006; Van Melckebeke et al. 2010). ${}^{15}N$ resonances were estimated by shifting the existing resonance assignment by -1 ppm (shifts due to a combination of the isotope effect, in addition to pH and temperature differences).

Using these estimates, it was then possible to search in the acquired 3D spectra for resonances near to the estimated values. This procedure allowed identification of the isotopically shifted ${}^{15}N$ and ${}^{13}C$ resonances and assignment of the $H\alpha$ and H^N resonances. The HACACB correlation spectrum (Fig. 1a) was used to re-assign most $C\alpha$ and $C\beta$ resonances for the $H\alpha$ and $H\alpha H^N$ samples, along with assignment of the $H\alpha$ resonances. Glycine $H\alpha$ and $C\alpha$ assignments as well as several ambiguous assignments were determined for the $H\alpha$ and $H\alpha H^N$ samples using the HACAN correlation spectrum (Fig. 1b). The HACAN spectrum was also used to assign the N resonances in the $H\alpha$ and $H\alpha H^N$ samples. The $H\alpha$ and $C\alpha$ resonance assignments are plotted on a 2D HACA correlation spectrum shown in Fig. 2, where peaks are marked at the assigned position (average of positions from 2D and 3D spectra, as calculated by CCPN (Vranken et al. 2005)). Some peaks are not resolved in the 2D spectra, and near the termini or loops, may appear only weakly. In this case, the position has been taken only from the 3D spectra, but is also marked on the 2D spectrum (signal to noise and number of assigned peaks in the 3D spectra is included in Table 2). Note that several glycines are assigned to two peaks in the spectrum, due to different resonance frequencies for each of the two $H\alpha$ protons. The HNCA correlation spectrum (Fig. 1c) was used to re-assign $C\alpha$ and N resonances for the H^N sample, and determine the H^N assignment. The H^N and N resonance assignments are plotted on a 2D HN correlation spectrum shown in Fig. 3, where peaks are marked at the assigned position. An HNC(O) experiment was finally used to re-assign the C' resonances in the H^N sample, although no systematic change of the C' resonances is expected, since significant isotope affect has been seen in solution-state NMR (Gardner and

Kay 1998). The full assignment has been deposited in the BMRB under ascension number 26913.




Fig. 2: Assignment of 2D $\text{H}\alpha$ - $\text{C}\alpha$ correlation spectrum of HET-s(218-289), using the $\text{H}\alpha$ sample. Peaks are marked at the assigned position (average of all spectra used for assignment)

Results and Discussion

By using an estimation of the $^{13}\text{C}\alpha$ and $^{13}\text{C}\beta$ shifts using eq. (1), as well as a constant offset of ^{15}N shifts, we were able to re-assign the partially deuterated samples without using a backbone walk. In all, 93% of residues in the $\text{H}\alpha$ and $\text{H}\alpha\text{H}^{\text{N}}$ samples were assigned and 83% of residues in the H^{N} sample residues were assigned, for which the backbone resonances are known from the assignment of protonated HET-s(218-289). The remaining peaks were not visible in the 3D experiments, and so could not be assigned. The full assignment plot is shown in Fig. 4.




Fig. 3: Assignment of 2D H-N correlation of HET-s(218-289), using the H^N sample. Peaks are marked at the assigned position (average of all spectra used for assignment)

a

H^N Assignment

221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249
Ala	Ile	Val	Gly	Arg	Asn	Ser	Ala	Lys	Asp	Ile	Arg	Thr	Glu	Glu	Arg	Ala	Arg	Val	Gln	Leu	Gly	Asn	Val	Val	Thr	Ala	Ala	Ala
CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO
NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH
α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α
260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	
Thr	Thr	Asn	Ser	Val	Glu	Thr	Val	Val	Gly	Lys	Gly	Glu	Ser	Arg	Val	Leu	Ile	Gly	Asn	Glu	Tyr	Gly	Gly	Lys	Gly	Phe	Trp	
CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	CO	
NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	NH	
α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	

b

H_α Assignment

221	222	223	224	225	226	227	228	229	230	231	232	233	234	235	236	237	238	239	240	241	242	243	244	245	246	247	248	249
Ala	Ile	Val	Gly	Arg	Asn	Ser	Ala	Lys	Asp	Ile	Arg	Thr	Glu	Glu	Arg	Ala	Arg	Val	Gln	Leu	Gly	Asn	Val	Val	Thr	Ala	Ala	Ala
H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	
N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α
β	β	β		β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β		β	β	β	β	β	β	β
260	261	262	263	264	265	266	267	268	269	270	271	272	273	274	275	276	277	278	279	280	281	282	283	284	285	286	287	
Thr	Thr	Asn	Ser	Val	Glu	Thr	Val	Val	Gly	Lys	Gly	Glu	Ser	Arg	Val	Leu	Ile	Gly	Asn	Glu	Tyr	Gly	Gly	Lys	Gly	Phe	Trp	
H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	H _α	
N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α	α
β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β	β		β		β	β	β	β	β	β		β	β	β		β	β	β	β	β	β

Fig. 4: Assignment plot for H^N and $H\alpha$ resonances. In **a**, the resonance assignment is shown for the assignment of the H^N , along with re-assignment of N, $C\alpha$, and C' for the partially deuterated sample (H^N sample). In **b**, the resonance assignment is shown for the assignment of $H\alpha$, along with re-assignment of the N, $C\alpha$, and $C\beta$ resonances ($H\alpha$ and $H\alpha H^N$ samples). Note that parts of the termini and loop (218-220, 250-259, and 288-289) are excluded from the plot

The estimated $^{13}C\alpha$ and $^{13}C\beta$ deuterium isotope effect is compared with the experimentally measured isotope effect in Fig. 5a-c. To further characterize the deuterium isotope effect, the experimentally determined change in the $^{13}C\alpha$ and $^{13}C\beta$ resonance frequencies were then re-fitted to eq. (2) to obtain new values of the $^n\Delta C(D)$. Resonances from the $H\alpha$ and H^N samples were included in the fit, yielding $^1\Delta C(D) = -290 \pm 20$ ppb, $^2\Delta C(D) = -90 \pm 10$ ppb, and $^3\Delta C(D) = -10 \pm 8$ (95% confidence interval given). One further notes in Fig. 5d, that there is no clear trend in the change of C' resonances due to deuteration, therefore when calculating the above $^n\Delta C(D)$, only the experimental deuterium isotope effect of the $C\alpha$ and $C\beta$ nuclei were included. For ^{15}N resonances (Fig. 5e-f), there is a large overall change in resonance frequency, although this is larger than expected due to only the deuterium isotope effect, and is likely due to differences in pH and temperature as compared to the assignment of protonated HET-s.




Fig. 5: Changes in chemical shift. **a-d** show the changes in ^{13}C chemical shift resulting primarily from partial deuteration of the sample (colored bars). **a** and **c** show shifts for the H^α sample, for which C^α shifts due to deuteration two or more bonds away (pulse sequence only selects protonated C^α), and C^β shifts both due to one- and multi-bond isotope effect. **b** shows the C^α shift in the H^N sample, for which C^α shift also includes a one-bond isotope effect. **d** shows the shift of C' in the H^N sample, where only small shifts are observed. Predicted isotope effect is shown in **a-c** using eq. (2) with values of ${}^n\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ taken from α -synuclein, shown as black dots. **e** and **f** show the shifts for ^{15}N , however these are affected by pH and temperature in addition to the isotope effect and so were not fitted

The values of the ${}^n\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ determined from HET-s(218-289) are similar to those previously determined for other proteins using solution-state or solid-state NMR. Table 3 compares the values of the ${}^n\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ obtained for HET-s(218-289) to those previously determined for solution-state α -synuclein (Maltsev et al. 2012), Human carbonic anhydrase (Venters et al. 1996), and SH2 C-terminal domain (Gardner et al. 1997). In order to determine if there is any clear distinction between the isotope effect in the solution-state and the solid-state, we also calculate the ${}^n\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ using previously published data for Ubiquitin (Penzel et al. 2015; Schubert et al. 2006) and GB1(Tang et al. 2010). In fact, one finds that the values for the different proteins cannot be said to be different at the 95% confidence level (with the exception that ${}^3\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ for HET-s and Human carbonic anhydrase are significantly different). Furthermore, there is no apparent difference in the deuterium isotope effect for solid- and solution-state NMR. Note that previous studies have shown that some structural information is contained in the deuterium isotope effect, but the accuracy obtained here is insufficient to conclusively show correlation between structure and the isotope effect (Albildgaard et al. 2009; LeMaster et al. 1994; Morris and Murray 1975; Ottiger and Bax 1997).

Table 3: Comparison of fits to equation (2) for different proteins

Sample	State	${}^1\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ (ppb)	${}^2\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ (ppb)	${}^3\Delta\text{C}(\text{D})$ (ppb)
HET-s(218-289)	solid	-290 ± 20	-90 ± 10	-10 ± 8
α -synuclein ^{a,f}	solution	-283 ± 4	-94 ± 2	-30 ± 2
Human carbonic anhydrase ^{b,f}	solution	-290 ± 100	-130 ± 40	-70 ± 40
SH2 C-terminal domain ^c	solution	-250	-100	–
Human ubiquitin ^d	solid	-260 ± 70	-100 ± 30	-30 ± 30
GB1 ^e	solid	-260 ± 40	-90 ± 30	-20 ± 30

^aValues calculated by (Maltsev et al. 2012)

^bValues calculated by (Venters et al. 1996).

^cValues calculated by (Gardner et al. 1997)

^dCalculated from deuterated C α and C β shifts reported by (Penzel et al. 2015) and protonated shifts by (Schubert et al. 2006)

^eCalculated from deuterium isotope effect on aliphatic carbons reported by (Tang et al. 2010)

^fNote that one standard deviation was originally reported, which is multiplied by two to give the 95% confidence interval here

Error indicates the 95% confidence interval.

The similarity of the ${}^n\Delta C(D)$ for multiple proteins suggests that the approach used here should be a fairly robust method for re-assignment of partially deuterated proteins in solid-state NMR. In our assignment, we first estimated the C α and C β chemical shifts using the ${}^n\Delta C(D)$ determined from α -synuclein. However, given some variability for different proteins, it is not clear how important is the choice of reference values for the ${}^n\Delta C(D)$. In Fig. 6, we use the ${}^n\Delta C(D)$ for each protein in Table 3, and plot the offset from the calculated C α and C β shifts to the correct value for all assigned residues. As expected, when using the values derived from HET-s(218-289), the deviation from the correct value is smallest. However, using values derived from α -synuclein, SH2 C-terminal domain, Ubiquitin, and GB1 yields only slightly higher error. Values from Human carbonic anhydrase do deviate significantly, although it is not clear why this is the case. This indicates that for most proteins, the choice of values for the ${}^n\Delta C(D)$ is not critical. Also in Fig. 6, for each assigned residue we plot the offset in the C α and C β dimensions to the nearest neighboring C α /C β resonance. Therefore, when the distance to the nearest neighbor is smaller than errors arising in the prediction of the deuterium isotope effect, it is possible for assignment ambiguity to arise- but the plot does not indicate significant change in ambiguity depending on the reference protein chosen.




Fig. 6: Scatter plots of $C\alpha$ and $C\beta$ resonance estimation accuracy. In each plot, the HET-s(218-289) $C\alpha$ and $C\beta$ shifts for the $H\alpha$ sample are estimated using (2) and the ${}^n\Delta C(D)$ derived from each of the six proteins in Table 3. The difference of the correct shifts and the calculated shifts are plotted on the x- and y-axes for $C\alpha$ and $C\beta$ shifts, respectively, for each assigned residue (red circles). **a** also plots the difference of the shifts of the partially deuterated sample and the protonated sample without correction (blue +). Each plot also shows the distance of each resonance to the nearest neighboring resonance (black x), so that one expects assignment ambiguities where the nearest neighbors are closer to the origin than some of the estimated shifts. Note that although the ${}^n\Delta C(D)$ from HET-s (**a**) give the best estimation, it is not much better than estimations using the other protein data (excepting Human carbonic anhydrase)

Thus far, we have neglected the statistical distribution of deuteration in the $H\alpha$ sample (see Table 1). As stated above, the pulse sequence selects only protonated $C\alpha$, but deuteration at $C\beta$ ($\leq 25\%$ protonation) and more distant carbons will vary (Lundström et al. 2009). The consequence is that the same ${}^{13}C$ nucleus on different HET-s molecules will have different numbers of bonded protons, having potentially zero, one, or two bonded protons. Each of these HET-s configurations will lead to a different chemical shift for that ${}^{13}C$, and furthermore will affect chemical shifts of neighboring ${}^{13}C$. The result is that a distribution of chemical shifts is actually measured for any given resonance. In principle, this effect should be more pronounced for $C\beta$ resonances (compared to $C\alpha$), although we are not able to see this directly via separated resonances or clear shoulders on the measured resonances. For several residues, we are however able to measure both an $H\alpha$ - $C\alpha$ - $C\beta$ correlation and an $H\beta$ - $C\beta$ - $C\alpha$ correlation; for the $H\alpha$ - $C\alpha$ - $C\beta$ correlation, the $C\alpha$ is protonated and the $C\beta$ is deuterated, whereas in the latter case, the $C\beta$ is protonated and the $C\alpha$ is deuterated. This results in the

C α shifting to lower ppm values and the C β shifting to higher ppm values, by $|\Delta C(D) - {}^2\Delta C(D)| = 200$ ppb. This is shown in Fig. 7 for several residues, where the average change in shift of 189 ppb is in good agreement with the expected shift. Although separated resonances or shoulders are not visible for the individual peaks, variability in the degree of deuteration between different HET-s(218-289) molecules may broaden the C α and C β resonances in the H α and H α H^N samples. Also note that our fit of the one-, two-, and three- bond isotope effect (${}^1\Delta C(D)$, ${}^2\Delta C(D)$, ${}^3\Delta C(D)$) is likely to be slightly biased because we have not considered that different molecules in the same sample can have some variability in the deuteration level.




Fig. 7: Comparison of peak positions for H α -C α -C β (red) and H β -C β -C α (blue) correlations for selected residues. Peaks that are detected at the H α resonance frequency (see Fig. 1A for transfer sequence) do not have a one-bond isotope effect on the C α (δ_1 , red), but have a one-bond isotope effect on C β (δ_2 , red), and likewise, peaks detected at the H β resonance frequency have a reduced one-bond isotope effect at the C β position (δ_1 , blue), but have a one-bond isotope effect at the C α position (δ_2 , red). Therefore, when comparing the C α -C β cross peaks at the H α and H β positions from the same spectrum, one finds that the C α has shifted to lower ppm values and the C β has shifted to higher ppm values. Note that the peaks appear in opposite quadrants of the C-C plane; here, the ${}^{13}\text{C}$ axes of the H β -C β -C α correlation have been switched to overlay the peaks. Additional broadening in the δ_2 dimension is due to a shorter acquisition time (see Table 2)

We have not analyzed ${}^{15}\text{N}$ data for the deuterium isotope effect, because differences in pH (5.0 in this study, 7.5 in (Van Melckebeke et al. 2010)), and also temperature differences convolute the chemical-shift effects. The differences in ${}^{15}\text{N}$ chemical shift are nonetheless plotted in Fig. 5D-E, for the H α H^N and H^N samples, respectively, showing nearly the same

average change in the chemical shift and usually giving similar trends between the two samples.

Conclusions

$H\alpha$ and H^N resonances have been assigned for partially protonated HET-s(218-289) samples. Additionally $C\alpha$, $C\beta$, and N resonances have been corrected for isotope effects as compared to previous assignments (Siemer et al. 2006; Van Melckebeke et al. 2010). Changes in the $C\alpha$ and $C\beta$ resonances could be attributed primarily to the deuterium isotope effect, and therefore it was possible to calculate the deuterium isotope effect and approximate the $C\alpha$ and $C\beta$ resonance frequencies, then use these for assignment of the partially deuterated samples. After reassignment, the deuterium isotope effect in HET-s(218-289) yielded values for the ${}^n\Delta C(D)$ that are in good agreement with values previously reported in the literature. Relative uniformity of the isotope effect for different proteins simplifies the choice of reference ${}^n\Delta C(D)$ used in estimating chemical shifts.

References

- Agarwal V, Penzel S, Szekely K, Cadalbert R, Testori E, Oss A, Past J, Samoson A, Ernst M, Böckmann A, Meier BH (2014) De Novo 3D Structure Determination from Sub-milligram Protein Samples by Solid-State 100 kHz MAS NMR Spectroscopy. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 53:12253-12256
- Albildgaard J, Hansen PE, Manalo ME, LiWang A (2009) Deuterium isotope effects on N-15 backbone chemical shifts in proteins. *Journal of biomolecular NMR* 44:119-126
- Asami S, Schmieder P, Reif B (2010) High Resolution ¹H-Detected Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy of Protein Aliphatic Resonances: Access to Tertiary Structure Information. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 132:15133-15135
- Asami S, Szekely K, Schanda P, Meier BH, Reif B (2012) Optimal degree of protonation for ¹H detection of aliphatic sites in randomly deuterated proteins as a function of the MAS frequency. *Journal of biomolecular NMR* 54:155-168
- Balguerie A, Dos Reis S, Ritter C, Chaignepain S, Bénédicte C-S, Forge V, Bathany K, Lascu I, Schmitter J-M, Riek R, Saupe SJ (2003) Domain organization and structure-function relationship of the HET-s prion protein of *Podospora anserina*. *EMBO J* 22:2071-2081
- Berthelot K, Lecomte S, Gean J, Immel F, Cullin C (2010) A yeast toxic mutant of HET-s((218-289)) prion displays alternative intermediates of amyloidogenesis. *Biophys J* 99:1239-1246
- Böckmann A, Gardiennet C, Verel R, Hunkeler A, Loquet A, Pintacuda G, Emsley L, Meier BH, Lesage A (2009) Characterization of different water pools in solid-state NMR protein samples. *Journal of biomolecular NMR* 45:319-327
- Fowler DM, Koulov AV, Balch WE, Kelly JW (2007) Functional amyloid – from bacteria to humans. *Trends Biochem Sci* 32:217-224
- Gardner KH, Kay LE (1998) The use of ²H, ¹³C, ¹⁵N multidimensional NMR to study the structure and dynamics of proteins. *Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct* 27:357-406
- Gardner KH, Rosen MK, Kay LE (1997) Global Folds of Highly Deuterated, Methyl-Protonated Proteins by Multidimensional NMR. *Biochemistry* 36:1389-1401
- Glass NL, Kaneko I (2003) Fatal Attraction: Nonself Recognition and Heterokaryon Incompatibility in Filamentous Fungi. *Eukaryot Cell* 2:1-8
- Hansen PE (1988) Isotope Effects in Nuclear Shielding. *Prog Nucl Mag Res Sp* 20:207-255
- Hansen PE (2000) Isotope effects on chemical shifts of proteins and peptides. *Magn Reson Chem* 38:1-10
- Hediger S, Meier BH, Ernst RR (1995) Adiabatic passage Hartmann-Hahn cross polarization in NMR under magic angle sample spinning. *Chem Phys Lett* 240:449-456
- Huber M, Hiller S, Schanda P, Ernst M, Böckmann A, Verel R, Meier BH (2011) A Proton-Detected 4D Solid-State NMR Experiment for Protein Structure Determination. *Chemphyschem : a European journal of chemical physics and physical chemistry* 12:915-918
- Jameson CJ, Osten HJ (1984) The additivity of NMR isotope shifts. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 81:4293
- Jameson CJ, Osten HJ (1986) Theoretical Aspects of Isotope Effects on Nuclear Shielding. *Ann R NMR S* 17:1-78
- Knight MJ, Webber AL, Pell AJ, Guerry P, Barbet-Massin E, Bertini I, Felli IC, Gonnelli L, Pierattelli R, Emsley L, Lesage A, Herrmann T, Pintacuda G (2011) Fast resonance assignment and fold determination of human superoxide dismutase by high-resolution proton-detected solid-state MAS NMR spectroscopy. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 50:11697-11701

- Knowles TPJ, Vendruscolo M, Dobson CM (2014) The amyloid state and its association with protein misfolding diseases. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* 15:384-396
- LeMaster DM, Laluppa JC, Kushlan DM (1994) Differential deuterium isotope shifts and one-bond ¹H-¹³C scalar couplings in the conformational analysis of protein glycine residues. *Journal of biomolecular NMR* 4:863-870
- Lewandowski JR, Dumez J-N, Akbey Ü, Lange S, Emsley L, Oschkinat H (2011) Enhanced Resolution and Coherence Lifetimes in the Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy of Perdeuterated Proteins under Ultrafast Magic-Angle Spinning. *J Phys Chem Lett* 2:2205-2211
- Lundström P, Hansen DF, Vallurupalli P, Kay LE (2009) Accurate Measurement of Alpha Proton Chemical Shifts of Excited Protein States by Relaxation Dispersion NMR Spectroscopy. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 131:1915-1926
- Maciel GE, Ellis PD, Hofer DC (1967) Carbon-13 Chemical Shifts of the Carbonyl Group. V. Observation of a Deuterium Isotope Effect Using Carbon-13 Field-Frequency Lock. *J Phys Chem* 71:2160-2164
- Maji SK, Perrin MH, Sawaya MR, Jessberger S, Vadodaria K, Rissman RA, Singru PS, Nilsson KPR, Simon R, Schubert D, Eisenberg D, Rivier J, Sawchenko P, Wylie V, Riek R (2009) Functional Amyloids As Natural Storage of Peptide Hormones in Pituitary Secretory Granules. *Science* 325:328-332
- Maltsev AS, Ying J, Bax A (2012) Deuterium isotope shifts for backbone ¹H, ¹⁵N and ¹³C nuclei in intrinsically disordered protein α -synuclein. *Journal of biomolecular NMR* 54:181-191
- Meier BH, Böckmann A (2015) The structure of fibrils from 'misfolded' proteins. *Curr Opin Struc Biol* 30:43-49
- Morris DG, Murray AM (1975) ¹³C Chemical Shifts of I-Substituted Camphenes. *Perkin Trans* 2:539-541
- Nietlistpach D, Clowes RT, Broadhurst RW, Ito Y, Keeler J, Kelly M, Ashurst J, Oschkinat H, Domaille PJ, Laue ED (1996) An Approach to the Structure Determination of Larger Proteins Using Triple Resonance NMR Experiments in Conjunction with Random Fractional Deuteration. *J Am Chem Soc* 118:407-415
- Ottiger M, Bax A (1997) An Empirical Correlation between Amide Deuterium Isotope Effects on ¹³Ca Chemical Shifts and Protein Backbone Conformation. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 119:8070-8075
- Penzel S, Smith AA, Agarwal V, Hunkeler A, Org M-L, Samoson A, Böckmann A, Ernst M, Meier BH (2015) Protein resonance assignment at MAS frequencies approaching 100 kHz: a quantitative comparison of J-coupling and dipolar-coupling-based transfer methods. *Journal of biomolecular NMR* 63:165-186
- Saupe SJ (2000) Molecular Genetics of Heterokaryon Incompatibility in Filamentous Ascomycetes. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 64:489-502
- Schubert M, Manolikas T, Rogowski M, Meier BH (2006) Solid-state NMR spectroscopy of 10% ¹³C labeled ubiquitin: spectral simplification and stereospecific assignment of isopropyl groups. *J Biomol NMR* 35:167-173
- Siemer AB, Ritter C, Ernst M, Riek R, Meier BH (2005) High-Resolution Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy of the Prion Protein HET-s in Its Amyloid Conformation. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 44:2441-2444
- Siemer AB, Ritter C, Steinmetz MO, Ernst M, Riek R, Meier BH (2006) ¹³C, ¹⁵N Resonance assignment of parts of the HET-s prion protein in its amyloid form. *Journal of biomolecular NMR* 34:75-87

- Smith AA, Testori E, Cadalbert R, Meier BH, Ernst M (2016) Characterization of fibril dynamics on three timescales by solid-state NMR. *J Biomol NMR* 65:171–191. doi: 10.1007/s10858-016-0047-8
- Tang M, Comellas G, Mueller LJ, Rienstra CM (2010) High resolution $(1)(3)\text{C}$ -detected solid-state NMR spectroscopy of a deuterated protein. *Journal of biomolecular NMR* 48:103-111
- Van Melckebeke H, Wasmer C, Lange A, AB E, Loquet A, Böckmann A, Meier BH (2010) Atomic-Resolution Three-Dimensional Structure of HET-s(218-289) Amyloid Fibrils by Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 132:13765-13775
- Venters RA, Farmer BT, II, Fierke CA, Spicer LD (1996) Characterizing the Use of Perdeuteration in NMR Studies of Large Proteins: ^{13}C , ^{15}N and ^1H Assignments of Human Carbonic Anhydrase II. *J Mol Biol* 264:1101-1116
- Vranken WF, Boucher W, Stevens TJ, Fogh RH, Pajon A, Llinas M, Ulrich EL, Markley JL, Ionides J, Laue ED (2005) The CCPN data model for NMR spectroscopy: development of a software pipeline. *Proteins* 59:687-696
- Wasmer C, Lange A, Van Melckebeke H, Siemer AB, Riek R, Meier BH (2008) Amyloid Fibrils of the HET-s(218-289) Prion Form a β Solenoid with a Triangular Hydrophobic Core. *Science* 319:1523-1526
- Zhou DH, Rienstra CM (2008) High-performance solvent suppression for proton detected solid-state NMR. *J Magn Res* 192:167-172